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Abstract—An improved topology for a multi-pulse AC/DC converter-based on 6-pulse rectifier modules operating in a 
degraded mode is described. Its main application is HVDC and VFD systems, where reliability, availability, and maintainability 
are the most critical requirements. A new concept called, “three-phase electronic Z/z transformers,” which provides separated 
voltages with reconfigurable phase-shifting angles and voltage ratios, is discussed. The number of acceptable faulty rectifier 
modules is defined at the design stage. Such features enable AC/DC rectifier systems to be modular and reconfigurable. In 
contrast with conventional topologies, the proposed structure can operate optimally as an 18-pulse, 12-pulse, or 6-pulse rectifier in 
faulty conditions of local transformers or rectifier modules. An implementation method for a degraded 24-pulse rectifier with its 
control architecture including the Z/z transformers’ command unit is discussed. A 1.5 kV, 18 kVA, 18-pulse AC/DC converter 
associated with a real-time system control is designed and tested. Promising results validate the new concept.

Index Terms—Multi-pulse AC/DC converter, HVDC, VFD, reliability, degraded mode, electronics Z/z transformer.

I. INTRODUCTION

R
ELIABILITY, availability and maintainability (RAM) of 
high-voltage DC (HVDC) transmission and variable 
frequency drive (VFD) systems are the key criteria to 
effectively secure the global demand for the generation of 
electric power [1] - [3]. In these systems, the load commutated 
converter (LCC) technology for very high-power AC/DC and 
DC/AC conversion not only provides pulsating DC or AC 
power, but also an efficient and high-power quality interface to 
AC systems (e.g., grid, motors) [1] - [4], [3] - [6]. In addition, 
there is the need to study the reliability of multi-pulse LCC in the 
Zhundong-Sichuan HVDC project which was launched in 
China to better understand the power and investments involved 
in making multi-pulse LCC reliable (2015, ±1100 kV, 10 GW, 2600 km) [6]. Figure 1 is a summary of potential candidates for a multi-pulse AC/DC rectifier for high-power systems.

Fig. 1. Topology candidates for high-power AC/DC converters: (a) conventional 12-pulse converter, (b) modern 12-pulse converter, (c) proposed topology.

a) Conventional multi-pulse AC/DC. This rectifier is the 
earliest and most widely known technology that is used within 
HVDC and VFD systems. It is efficient and gives a large 
amount of power, it is also reliable, and its architecture may 
allow it to continue to operate even in faulty conditions [5] - 
[7]. Fig. 1a is a 12-pulse rectifier-based thyristor which is also 
known as a 12-pulse LCR (load commutated rectifier). It is a 
current source converter that consists of a 12-pulse transformer 
(or phase-shifting transformer) and two series-connected 6-pulse rectifiers. The shifting angles ( $\delta_i = 0$ and $\delta_i = 30^\circ$) 
between each secondary winding and the primary winding of the transformer are key elements for obtaining pulsating DC power with reduced voltage ripples and current harmonics at the grid side.

However, the structure of the 12-pulse transformer does not 
enable the rectifier to operate efficiently in a degraded mode. 
For example, a severe failure in any part of a transformer may 
result in a total system shut down if no redundant transformer 
is installed; additionally, including a redundant transformer will 
increase the size and cost of the rectifying system.

b) Modern multi-pulse AC/DC rectifier. To overcome the issue 
related to single-thread 12-pulse converters, series, or parallel
connections of two or more 12-pulse converters have been developed in many projects [1,5,6]. Fig. 1b shows an example of a 12-pulse rectifier with two threads or modules (12-pulse transformer and rectifier) connected in series at the DC side. In contrast to the topology shown in Fig. 1a, this setup can continue to operate as a single-module 12-pulse rectifier in the case of failure of one module.

The main drawback of this topology is the poor current harmonic performance at the grid-side, since it always operates as a 12-pulse rectifier in a normal or degraded mode of one module. Therefore, it requires the same filtering devices of the same size as a single-thread 12-pulse rectifier shown in Fig. 1a. The use of filtering devices in high-power systems may increase the risks of electrical resonance with AC systems (e.g., electric network, AC motors).

The topology shown in Fig. 1b can be improved to operate as a 24-pulse rectifier in normal mode if only the two windings at the primary side of the 12-pulse transformers are configured in a zigzag to generate phase shifting angles of +7.5° and −7.5°. However, in this configuration, the 24-pulse rectifier can only operate as a 6-pulse rectifier in a degraded mode, since the phase-shifting angle does not correspond to an 18-pulse or 12-pulse operation.

c) Proposed topology: Using the same number of 6-pulse rectifiers as shown in Fig. 1b but with four separated three-phase variable phase shifting transformers instead of two 12-pulse transformers, we propose a new topology, as shown in Fig. 1c. The proposed topology operates as a 24-pulse rectifier in its normal mode and, respectively, as an 18-, 12- and 6-pulse rectifier in the case of one, two or three faulty modules in the degraded mode. Compared to the topology of Fig. 1b, this topology can withstand the same amount of power when using the same power rating as its transformers and rectifiers. Moreover, it generates fewer AC components superposed to the DC-side voltage and fewer current harmonic components propagated at the grid side.

In practice, three-phase transformers are easier to manufacture compared to multi-pulse transformers; thus, the proposed topology operating in normal mode with a constant phase-shifting angle can be less expensive than standard topologies. In this paper, the variable three-phase-shifting transformer is called the electronics Z/z transformer, since its phase-shifting angle and voltage ratios are both variable through the electronics interface associated with the tap change of each of the windings. The choice of adding this electronic function to the three-phase transformer will depend on the design objective and the investment involved because the additional electronic function is only activated in a faulty condition and requires additional switches and a control algorithm to operate in a degraded mode.

The traditional topologies of multi-pulse AC/DC converters operating in a degraded mode are described in section II, and the proposed topologies are described in section III. The new concept, an electronics Z/z transformer is described in section IV. An experimental prototype of an 18 kVA, 1.5 kV, 18-pulse AC/DC converter with the associated control system has been designed and tested to validate the proposed concept. Simulation and experimental results are discussed in section V.

II. THE TRADITIONAL TOPOLOGY OF A MULTI-PULSE AC/DC RECTIFIER OPERATING IN A DEGRADED MODE

Fig. 2 is an example of a standard rectifier topology adopted in high-power HVDC or VFD systems [1-5]. It is a 24-pulse rectifier system including a set of phase-shifting three-phase transformers and four series-connected 6-pulse rectifier modules. For this specific configuration, there is a 15°phase-shift between the secondary side voltages of the transformer.

To increase the availability of such system, the input rectifier system should tolerate faults occurring in a partial module (M1 to M4), therefore output DC bypass switches have been inserted in Fig. 2. For the system to operate with failed modules, the voltage phase-shift should be changed from 15° to 20°, then 30° for respectively a healthy system, a degraded 18-pulse with one failed module and a degraded 12-pulse with two failed modules for example. Unfortunately, since the voltage phase-shifting angles are all constant per design, it might become challenging to fulfil the grid side current harmonic requirements such as specified in international standard [17].

From Fig. 2, let’s consider \( k_{1x} \), \( k_{1y} \), \( k_{2x} \) and \( k_{2y} \) as two complementary switches associated to each partial module. If only one module is faulty, then \( k_{1x} = 0 \) and \( k_{2y} = 1 \); the remaining modules can continue to operate but with a reduced power.

Consequently, per current phasor summation requirement, the system can only operate in 18 or 12 configurations if the phase shift angles of each case are respected (±15° or ±20°). This is particularly true in VFD applications where a floating grounding point is used on the DC-side (i.e., the DC-link is not grounded). In such case, the system is only grounded in the primary side of the multi-secondary transformer. As a result, the system can operate safely and can be downgraded (with a reduced power rating) from a 24-pulse rectifier to an 18, 12 or even 6-pulse rectifier.

In HVDC transmission systems with a 24-pulse rectifier, three DC cables are used: positive and negative rails use a
Let’s consider the following notation $M_{s(1,2,3), \beta} = 0$ corresponds to a healthy module, while $M_{s(1,2,3), \beta} = 1$ is for a faulty module. In general, if $n$ is the number of modules, the system will generate $p$-pulse on the dc side (with $p = 6n$), with the secondary side voltages of the transformer regularly shifted by $60/n$ degrees. Consequently, $2^n - 2$ corresponds to 14 possible failures. In the $S_i$ solution (see Table I), using a standard 24-pulse rectifier with additional bypass switches as shown in Fig 2, there are six possibilities to operate in a degraded mode: two modes as 12-pulse rectifier (12P) and four modes as 6-pulse rectifier (6P). During each mode, the pulsating DC power transferred to the dc link corresponds respectively, to a half and a quarter of the total power under normal operation (no faulty module). Conversely, the topology shown in Fig. 2 is in opposition to optimal an operation in a degraded mode, since it leaves 8 modes among 14, where a 24-pulse rectifier can no longer operate in analytical conditions for current harmonic cancellation [4].

Therefore, a solution based on a Z/z transformer has been proposed. The resulting number of pulses in degraded conditions are shown in table II (see S2 column). With the proposed approach, all 14 possibilities can lead to a system operating in a degraded mode with a substantially high number of pulse as indicated in Table II. Among them, there are 04 modes corresponding to 18-pulse (18P), 06 modes to 12-pulse (12P) and 04 modes to 6-pulse (6P) rectifiers. Thus, the same installation of a 24-pulse rectifier can operate as three different number of pulse in a degraded mode, without adding any new full three-phase transformer to the system. However, a supplementary circuitry will be required as discussed in the next section.

III. PROPOSED TOPOLOGY: Z/z TRANSFORMER

Because of the significant investment involved in high-power HVDC systems such as those listed in [6], ongoing HVDC projects in China are intensifying research regarding fault-tolerance techniques in AC/DC and DC/AC systems. Recent investigations in this area are mainly focused on the power conversion stage with IGBTs or IGCTs, and their control and modulation strategies, [9]-[11]. This section describes an approach focused on increasing the availability of a multi-winding transformer and multi-pulse rectifier system for HVDC transmission systems, by using separated three-phase transformers with adjustable phase-shift angles of their voltage, instead of a single multi-secondary transformer with constant angle per design. Obviously, the proposed solution is also applicable to large VFDs with tight requirements on grid side current THD.

![Fig. 3. Proposed topology for a 24-pulse rectifier-based four Z/z transformers, that provides variable-shifting angles and turn ratios.](image-url)
voltage ratios to operate as an 18-pulse rectifier and to maintain the adjusted amount of power. These angles are, respectively -20°, 0 and +20°. The voltage ratio can be kept equal to 1/4 of that in the normal mode or can be increased to 1/3 in order to compensate for the diminution of power due to the faulty module, which is bypassed.

However, it is challenging to have an exact voltage boost to compensate for the loss of power; therefore, a slight decrease in the overall power is expected. Normally, the system should be designed with a sufficient voltage margin such that during the transformer reconfiguration the transformer voltage is slightly boosted to compensate for the possible voltage loss due to the bypass of the faulty module. As explained in section II, solution S2 shown in Table I, indicates all possible modes that can be obtained. They are dependent on the number of faulty six-pulse modules. Unfortunately, increasing the number of components will reduce the system reliability, and consequently, they should be included in the plant maintenance plan. However, this solution can achieve greater system availability with grid-side current THD within acceptable margins such as the ones specified in IEEE 519 [17] as well as reduced loss of power when at least one module is faulted and bypassed.

IV. AN IMPLEMENTATION METHOD OF A THREE-PHASE ELECTRONICS Z/z TRANSFORMER

A. General Principle

![Fig. 4. General principle of a three-phase electronic Z/z transformer: (a) Transformer connected in Z/z, (b) taps change variation principle.](image)

Figure 4 shows the configuration of the windings and the step variation mechanism of an electronic Z/z transformer. The primary and secondary windings consist of $N_k(1,2,3,A)$ windings. $N_1$ and $N_2$ are located on the primary side, while $N_3$ and $N_4$ are those located on the secondary side, as shown in Fig. 4a. Initially, the primary and secondary windings are in a zigzag connection (Z/z). By knowing that the Z/z connection is the combination of the wye and delta connections, it is possible to obtain one of them by properly selecting the tap level of each winding. For example, if $N_2 = 0$ and $N_3 = 0$ the transformer has a wye-wye (Y/y - 0°) formation. Similarly, if $N_2 = 0$ and $N_4 = 0$ the transformer is configured in wye-delta (Y/d - 30°). Thus, the Z/z transformer represented in Fig. 4a can be configured to adjust predefined phase shift angles.

Each winding $N_k(1,2,3,A)$ in Fig. 4a consists of $kn$ tapping coils, as shown in Fig. 4b. The windings variation principle is to step the cursor $k'$ from $kn$ to $k0$ or in the reverse direction. When the tap cursor of windings $N_1 - N_2$ or $N_3 - N_4$ is between $k0 \leq k' \leq kn$, the windings are connected in a zig-zag formation. They are configured in delta or wye connections when the cursor is at the same position as $k0$ or $kn$. The discrete change of the phase-shifting angle and the winding turn ratio is adjusted to define the correct value of $N_k$ to $N_{k'}$ in a degraded mode and are pre-designed as described in [4].

B. Summarized voltage phasor analysis for the tap change windings design

![Fig. 5. Different configuration of a Z/z transformer. a-1) Y/z transformer connection; a-2) phasor diagram. b-1) D/z transformer connection; b-2) phasor diagram.](image)

Figure 5 shows possible configurations of a Z/z transformer. To design each tap winding, consider $N_2 = 0$ and the phase-shifting angle defined as $0 < \delta < 30°$. For this case, the Z/z transformer which was shown in Fig. 4a becomes equivalent to the structure represented in Fig. 5a-1 and its equivalent phasor diagram is presented in Fig. 5a-2. In the same way when $N_1 = 0$ and the phase-shifting angle defined as $30° < \delta < 0$ , the Z/z transformer of Fig. 4a becomes equivalent to Fig. 5b-1 and its voltage phasor diagram is shown in Fig. 5b-2. Applying basic trigonometric formulas to these two phasors diagrams, for respectively the triangle $o \ f s_1, s_2$ and $s_1, s_2, s_3$ then $o \ f s_1, s_3, s_2$ and $s_1, s_2, s_3$ respectively as shown in Fig. 5a-2 and Fig. 5b-2, we obtain Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) given below. It is these equations which are used to preset the number of turns of each tap winding corresponding to a specific operating mode.

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{N_1}{N_1+N_2} &= \frac{V_{a}}{V_{ab}} = \sin(30°-\delta) \\
\frac{V_{ab}}{N_1+N_2} &= \sin(30°+\delta) \\
\frac{N_1}{N_1+N_4} &= \frac{1}{2\sin(30°+\delta)} \cdot V_{ab} \\
\frac{V_{ab}}{N_1+N_4} &= \sin(\delta) \\
\frac{N_2}{N_2+N_4} &= \frac{V_{b}}{V_{ab}} = \sin(60°-\delta) \\
\frac{V_{ab}}{N_2+N_4} &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sin(60°-\delta)} \cdot V_{ab}
\end{align*}$$

In practice, it is very difficult to obtain more than two faulty rectifier modules at the same time. Therefore, the realistic case consists to design a Z/z transformer that can operate from 24-
pulse to 18-pulse rectifier (with two modes only). Thus, the phase-shifting angles are respectively 0, ±15°, 30° for a normal mode operation, and 0, ±20° in a degraded mode operation. When the number of operating mode is known, the number of tap windings is defined (two taps for this case) and the topology of the power switches associated to these tap windings is also derived as explained in next section.

C. Implementation for a 24-pulse rectifier

An example for the implementation of Z/z transformers for a 24-pulse rectifier with the original phase-shifting angles of 0, ±15° and 30° is shown in Fig. 6. These angles should be readjusted to 0, ±20° in a faulty condition. Their primary windings are configured in Y-grounded with bidirectional power switches associated to the secondary windings to achieve the discrete variation of the transformer’s phase shift angle.

\[
\begin{align*}
V_a &= \sin\left(30° + |\delta|\right) \left[1 - \frac{\sin\left(30° - |\delta|\right)}{\sin\left(30° + |\delta|\right)}\right] V_{ab} \sqrt{3} \\
V_b &= \sin\left(30° - |\delta|\right) V_{ab} \sqrt{3} \\
V_c &= \sin\left(30° + |\delta|\right) V_{ab} \sqrt{3}
\end{align*}
\]  
Eq. (3)

The four transformers configured as shown in Fig. 6 have twelve power switches per transformer. If a fault occurs in only one of the rectifier modules of the 24-pulse rectifier, the system is downgraded to an 18-pulse rectifier by adjusting the voltages across \( N_3 \) and \( N_4 \). The desired voltage and phase-shifting angle are pre-designed as described previously and the final equations to obtain the voltage across each tap winding are given in Eq. (3).

The additional power losses due to the power switches have been estimated to 0.15% of the global DC power that can be generated by each six-pulse rectifier module for a 10 MW VFD system. We emphasize that, the losses calculation was based on the IGBT FZ1200R17HE4P of Infineon with the rated power of 2 MW and dynamic characteristics described in [21]. We have also assumed that the conduction losses of the IGBT antiparallel diode and switching losses are negligible since in this utilization the power switches do not continuously switch in steady state as it would be in VFD application. Only the on-state conduction losses were considered. Thus, for the implementation of an input transformer of a 24-pulse rectifier configured as shown in Fig. 6, the power losses will be approximately 15 kW per transformer.

D. Control architecture for a 24-pulse rectifier system

Figure 7 shows a high-level overview of the system control architecture of a multi-pulse LCI, with p-pulse on the rectifier side and q-pulse on the inverter side. A similar configuration can be adopted for HVDC transmission system as shown in Fig. 8. Each power conversion unit (Rectifier or sending-end, inverter or receiving end) has a dedicated slave controller synchronized through the grid-side or motor-side voltage, and they are supervised by two independent master controllers. The transformer has its own controller integrating protection functions. In VFD applications these functionalities can be integrated to one physical controller, with some of the transformer protective functions handled by industrial protective relays [16], [18-19].

Fig. 7: High-level overview of the control system of a multi-pulse LCI VFD

Figure 8 shows a detail functional architecture of the rectifier or sending-end power converter unit. Each rectifier module has a local slave controller for its gating, protective functions and grid synchronization. There is a master controller for the startup and shutdown sequential control, a closed-loop controller for...
speed or torque (VFD application) as well as the control of DC-link current (e.g., internal VFD DC-link or transmission current control). And finally, there is a Z/z transformer command module with monitoring, gate drivers level and power system protective functions with relaying per IEEE C37.2 standard [16]. This module is taking of the transformer reconfiguration through the power switches.

Fig. 8: Control architecture of input rectifier with a Z/z-Transformer.

In general, the control strategy for the local controller used in standard VFDs and HVDC systems is often based on linear controllers (PI regulators with possible decoupling network and anti-windup strategies), the synchronizing unit is a phase-locked-loop (PLL) which is based on a voltage controlled oscillator in series with a low-pass filter for the control of the angular frequency of the grid voltage or motor-side back EMF (in VFD systems). Initial implementation for such functions can be found in most of commercial time-domain transient simulator software in electrical engineering [22-24]. For example, a model for implementing a single pole HVDC system including all functions as represented in Fig. 8 is available in the library models of [22]. Similar master/Slave controller can be found in [5].

E. Notes on industrial system implementation

For industrial systems, there is no need for a special fault detection circuit. Instead, existing protection features for such systems can be used. These features include the following [16]: i) transformer monitoring and protection through industrial protective relays, such as instantaneous overcurrent (50), AC time overcurrent (51), as well as differential protection relays (87); ii) sending-end converter overcurrent protection (instantaneous and AC time overcurrent, 50/51); iii) undervoltage protection (27) and under-frequency protection (81U); iv) overall converter monitoring system resulting from the gate drivers; and v) earth-fault protection in the power stages.

In addition, because of the power level and voltage rating of large power systems (e.g., HVDC and large VFDs), it is beneficial to clearly state that the system should not be reconfigured online. All the aforementioned fast protective functions should act normally to protect the integrity of the system, including opening the main breaker, which may lead to a partial system shutdown if required.

Usually, the reconfiguration of the system should be decided by the plant electrical team after a trip and also as a result of a quick root cause failure assessment. Once it has been confirmed that the fault is only impacting a rectifier module, the electrical team can proceed with system reconfiguration. Such an approach is recommended in industrial applications in order to avoid consequential failures of other parts of the power system that may result from the transient behavior of faulted power equipment.

A control algorithm that takes into account a pre-identified system reconfiguration and that is based on Table I will be developed and executed through the HMI control panel. A reconfiguration guide should be provided to the electrical team in order to easily resume the system operation in a degraded mode. Specifically, for HVDC applications, the management of the failure of one module on the positive or negative pole should be done during the design stage as follows:

i) A system should be designed with a 25% voltage margin: in the design stage, the overall system can be designed to output 125% of the needed power in order to achieve a global 25% power redundancy. In that case, bypassing a healthy rectifier module will reconfigure the rectifier side from a 24- to a 12-pulse system; this will then achieve 75% of the nominal power available instead of 50% if the system is designed without a voltage margin.

ii) A system designed with a 25% voltage reserve and a 25% current margin: more power might be achieved if the design team decides to select the overall current transmission with up to a 25% current margin combined with a 25% voltage reserve. In that case, once the system is reconfigured from 24-pulse to a 12-pulse degraded mode, the current reference is ramped to 125% of the nominal transmission power; therefore, the achievable power can reach approximately 93.75% of its nominal power. However, this type of design will increase transmission losses and produce a high magnitude of low-order harmonics. The design team should take such considerations into account, as well as conduct a trade-off study for selecting the setup of the final design specifications.

V. SIMULATION AND EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS

A. Simulation set-up and results

For validation, simulation models of a 24-pulse rectifier system, as shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, have been developed with the software Simpowersystems of MATLAB/Simulink. The model of the transformers was implemented using basic single-phase transformers with seven tap windings per device to the secondary side for demonstration purposes only. Bidirectional power switches are used. The fault detection circuit was implemented as described in [18]. The control logic of switches associated to the tap windings of each transformer was developed to include all operating mode as described in Table I. The turn and voltage ratios for each mode were pre-calculated.
as described in Eq. (1) and Eq. (2). These values were used to configure the primary side of the transformers and then identify the desired number of windings at the secondary side. The parameters of the simulation are shown in Table II, and the results are shown in Figure 9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values in simulation</th>
<th>Values in experiment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supply voltage</td>
<td>1440 V</td>
<td>380 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply frequency</td>
<td>50 Hz</td>
<td>50 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line reactor</td>
<td>1 mH</td>
<td>2.5 mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformers</td>
<td>150 kW</td>
<td>9 × 2 kVA/3 devices by cabinet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage ratio</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Constant : M=1/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turn ratio</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>0.227 and 1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectifier</td>
<td>24-pulse</td>
<td>18-pulse (degraded from 24-pulse)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage ratio</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Constant : M=1/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turn ratio</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>0.227 and 1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load</td>
<td>Current source (50 A)</td>
<td>3 kW and 6 kW RL load (100 \Omega) and 40 (100 \Omega), 50 mH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyristor firing angle</td>
<td>(\alpha = 30^\circ)</td>
<td>(\alpha = 0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figs. 9a, 9b and 9c were obtained when validating the proposed electronics Z/z transformer. Two open circuit faults on partial modules were simulated to observe the performances of the entire system in degraded modes. Fig. 9a, shows that before the instant 0.06 s, all transformers are operating in normal condition. The phase-shifting angle between two consecutive line-to-line voltages is 15° as shown in Fig. 9b, and the voltage ratio is \(V_{AB} = \frac{1}{4}\). After 0.06s, the first preprogrammed fault was detected on M4 and then isolated from the rest of the system, including its transformer. Therefore, the remaining healthy transformers are reconfigured to produce the phase shifting angles of \(20^\circ \leftrightarrow 0 \leftrightarrow +20^\circ\) (Fig. 9b) and voltage ratio \(\frac{V_{ab}}{V_{AB}} = \frac{1}{3}\) (Fig. 9c). A similar scenario occurs at time 0.12 s, where a second preprogrammed fault was detected on modules M3 and M4. As shown in Fig. 9b and Fig. 9c, the remaining transformers are reconfigured so that \(30^\circ \leftrightarrow 0\) is the shifting angle between them. This configuration is required for a 12-pulse rectifier.

Because electronic Z/z transformers can change their phase-shifting angles and voltage ratio, the 24-pulse rectifier system can then operate optimally. It configures itself to the nearest pulse number based on the number of faulty modules (Fig. 9d, 9e and 9f). Fig. 9d shows the total pulsed DC voltage at the DC side of the 24-pulse rectifier proposed in Fig. 3. This figure illustrates that, the DC voltage dynamically changes the number of pulses from a normal mode of operation (24-pulse) to any degraded mode corresponding to any number of faulty modules. For example, during the time 0.04 to 0.08 s, in Fig. 9d the rectifier is operating as an 18-pulse rectifier because the number of remaining modules is \(n = 3\). Moreover, during the instants 0.08 to 0.12 s and 0.12 to 0.16 s, the output DC voltage of the rectifier respectively produces 12 and 6 pulses since two and three faulty modules were detected.

---

Fig. 9. Simulation results of a 24-pulse rectifier based electronic Z/z transformers in normal and degraded mode. (a) Line-to-line voltage of transformers, (b) phase shifting angles, (c) voltage ratios. (d) total DC-link voltage, (e) total grid-side current, (f) propagated current harmonic spectrum of each mode at the grid-side.
Fig. 9e shows the input AC current profile at the primary side of all transformers (for only one phase). This is a well-known grid-side current profile due to rectifier-systems-based thyristors or diodes [4]. But in this case, the frequency of the harmonics is adjustable. When neglecting inter-harmonics, the frequency $f_{h} = \frac{|6n \pm 1|}{f_1}$ of the current harmonic changes as a function of the number of remaining modules $n$. Moreover, $h$ is the harmonic rank, $h=0, 1, 2, \ldots$. If only one module is faulty, then $n=3$. Thus, the frequency of the current harmonics is $f_{h} = |18 \pm 1|f_1$. For $h=1$ and $f_1 = 50$ Hz, we obtain the frequencies of negative and positive sequence harmonics equal to 850 Hz and 950 Hz, as shown in Fig. 9f. This also shows the total harmonic distortion $THD_{i}$ in the normal and degraded modes. These values can be compared to the one recommended by IEEE standard 519 for a 12-pulse rectifier.

In summary, the rectifier system remains in operation in a degraded mode with a reduced harmonic performance compared in the normal mode. However, the main benefit of using this approach is its capabilities in faulty conditions, especially in the 18-pulse mode, because it allows the system to continue delivering power with better quality while awaiting technical solutions for its maintenance.

B. Performance comparison between the standard topology (Fig. 2) and proposed topology (Fig. 3)

This sub-section compares the standard and proposed topologies. The electronics Z/z transformers are set so that they do not boost the voltages in faulty conditions. In this case, their voltage ratio does not change as in a conventional 24-pulse transformer. Figure 10 shows the results of the comparison in the time domain (Fig. 10a and 10b) and in the frequency domain (Fig. 10c).

In a previous discussion, it was demonstrated that the 24-pulse rectifier-based topology in Fig. 3 can optimally operate as an 18-, 12-, or 6-pulse rectifier in a degraded mode. However, this is not possible with the traditional topology (Fig. 2). The difference is illustrated through Figs. 10a and 10b. There, it can be seen that in normal mode (i.e., M1 to M4 are healthy), the DC-link voltages and AC current waveforms are the same in the steady state for both topologies and operate as a 24-pulse rectifier. However, when one or two modules are faulty, the traditional topology (Fig. 2) can no longer operate as an 18- or 12-pulse rectifier. This can be verified by counting the number of pulses of the variable denoted by $U_{dc}$ (Fig. 2) from 0.04 s to 0.06 s and from 0.06 s to 0.08 s (one cycle of the fundamental current). The results show six pulses per cycle for the black color curve. These results are confirmed in the frequency domain (Fig. 10c), where the amplitudes of the relevant current harmonic waveforms, denoted by $I_h$ (Fig. 2) have their peak values at 250 Hz even when only one module is faulty. Taking as an example only the case where the module M4 is faulty, it can be seen that the frequency interval of 250 and 950 Hz, the amplitude waveform of the current harmonics is the same as in the case where M4, M3, and M2 (three modules) are faulty. Then, its trajectory changes between 950 Hz to 1250 Hz (see $I_h$ of Fig. 2 in Fig. 10c for only an M4 faulty).

In a degraded mode, it can be stated that, at low frequencies (below 950 Hz), a traditional topology that is based on a 24-pulse rectifier operates as a 6-pulse rectifier with increased current distortions compared to those obtained in the same conditions when using the proposed topology (see THDi in Fig. 10c). However, when three modules are faulty, the two topologies behave similarly. The total harmonic distortion is around 24.5%, which is above the recommended value for 6-pulse rectifiers. Thus, if no filtering solutions are available, the system may be shut down for both topologies.

![Figure 10](image)

Fig. 10. Simulation results of 24-pulse rectifier based traditional (Fig. 2) and proposed (Fig. 3) topologies. (a) DC-link voltage, (b) grid-side current in time-domain, (c) relevant current harmonic amplitudes in frequency domain. The amplitude of the fundamental current is $I_1 = 56.71$ A (base value).

C. Experimental set-up and results

For the experimental validation, the simulated system has been reduced as it follows: i) the system implemented is an 18-pulse rectifier degraded from 24-pulse with a reduced power of 18 kVA. This power is shared between three cabinets of 6 kVA, 18-pulse rectifiers connected in series); ii) per cabinet, three Z/z transformers are used to generate $0, \pm 20^\circ$ or $0, 30^\circ$, as phase-shifting angles respectively in a normal or degraded mode; iii) electromechanical contactors acting as power switches are considered. Thus, gate driver circuits are not needed, including their extra power supplies when using electronics switches such as IGBTs or GTOs; iv) only the results of one cabinet are discussed in this subsection.

Figure 11 shows the detailed power circuit of cabinet 1 with the photo of the system that was tested to validate our study.
(cabinet 1 only). It represents a part of the HVDC and VFD experiment test-bench that is still under development. The control system is based on Simulink real-time, where the host PC includes Simulink software which is used to develop the control algorithm and to generate and download the executable files for the target PC through TCP/IP. The target PC is an industrial PC-based National Instrument boards PCI-6229, which interfaces the power stage with the control software. For comparison with the simulations, experimental parameters are also shown in Table II, and the results are shown in Fig. 12.

![Fig. 11. Simplified laboratory prototype. (a) the detailed power circuit of cabinet 1, (b) photo of cabinet 1 under test with the detailed view of the three-phase Z/z transformers.](image)

![Fig. 12. Experimental results of an 18-pulse rectifier degraded from a 24-pulse rectifier. The normal mode is when the 3 rectifier modules are healthy. The degraded mode is when any of the modules are faulty.](image)
The shifting angles of healthy transformers are adjusted to standard [17].

was 4.2% in the normal mode and suddenly increased to 14.65 Hz in degraded modes, as shown in Figs. 12f and 12i. At this harmonic components in both cases are located at 250 and 350 rectifier modules were not adjusted. The dominant current module because the phase-shifting angles of the healthy second fault scenario occurs, corresponding to 02 faulty over a cycle of the fundamental. After approximately 2 s, the behaves as a 6-pulse rectifier in terms of the number of pulses second fault scenario occurs, corresponding to 02 faulty modules, which leads the rectifier to operate with only one module because the phase-shifting angles of the healthy rectifier modules were not adjusted. The dominant current harmonic components in both cases are located at 250 and 350 Hz in degraded modes, as shown in Figs. 12f and 12i. At this point, the total harmonic distortion increased significantly. It was 4.2% in the normal mode and suddenly increased to 14.65 % and then 23.65% for each faulty case (see Figs. 12f and 12i). Those values are above the values specified in the IEEE 519 standard [17].

In Figs. 12g and 12h the results are improved when the phase-shifting angles of healthy transformers are adjusted to $\delta \in \{0, 30^\circ\}$ for the case with two healthy modules. Furthermore, cancellation of the current harmonics occurs. Now, the relevant low order harmonics are located at 550 and 650 Hz (Fig. 12h) instead of 250 and 350 Hz, as in Fig. 12f, and the total harmonic distortion is improved from 14.65% to 8.25%.

VI. CONCLUSION

This paper proposed an improved topology of a multi-pulse AC/DC rectifier system to enable a degraded mode operation of VFD and HVDC systems with a faulty transformer or rectifier module but with acceptable grid side current distortion. The analyzed system is based on a 24-pulse rectifier system with four separated three-phase transformers, which are called electronic Z/z transformers, since their phase shifting angles and voltage ratios are reconfigurable through electronic command. The proposed configuration improves the conventional structure of a 24-pulse rectifier system, introducing the ability to adjust and improve the grid side current distortion in a degraded mode.

The principle and an implementation method of the proposed electronics Z/z transformer has been discussed. Moreover, the integration of the Z/z transformer command unit within the control architecture for VFD and HVDC systems has been presented. Finally, a reduced scale laboratory experimental set-up rated 18 kVA, 1.5 kV and 18-pulse converter has been built and tested to confirm the accuracy of the investigations.

In future, the effects of the additional switches on the dynamic performances of the AC/DC rectifier system will be investigated.
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