Cardiovascular Pathology 42 (2019) 44–53

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Cardiovascular Pathology

Review Article

Pathobiology of cardiovascular diseases: an update

L. Maximilian Buja [a,b,*], Giulia Ottaviani [a,c], Richard N. Mitchell [d]

[a] Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, McGovern Medical School, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston (UTHealth), Houston, TX, USA
[b] Cardiovascular Pathology Research Laboratory, Texas Heart Institute, CHI St. Luke's Hospital, Houston, TX, USA
[c] "Lino Rossi" Research Center for the study and prevention of unexpected perinatal death and sudden infant death syndrome, Department of Biomedical, Surgical and Dental Sciences, University of Milan, Milan, Italy
[d] Department of Pathology, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 30 May 2019
Accepted 7 June 2019
Available online xxxx

Keywords:
Cardiovascular disease
Pathology
Pathobiology
Autopsy
Endomyocardial biopsy

ABSTRACT

This article introduces the Second Special Issue of *Cardiovascular Pathology* (*CVP*), the official journal of the Society for Cardiovascular Pathology (SCVP). This *CVP* Special Issue showcases a series of commemorative review articles in celebration of the 25th anniversary of *CVP* originally published in 2016 and now compiled into a virtual collection with online access for the cardiovascular pathology community. This overview also provides updates on the major categories of cardiovascular diseases from the perspective of cardiovascular pathologists, highlighting publications from *CVP*, as well as additional important review articles and clinicopathologic references.

1. Introduction

In 2018, *Cardiovascular Pathology* (*CVP*) published its first ever Special Issue presenting a virtual collection with online access to a series of Consensus Documents produced jointly by the Society for Cardiovascular Pathology (SCVP) and the Association for European Cardiovascular Pathology (AECVP) [1]. Given the popularity of that endeavor, *CVP* is excited to now publish a second Special Issue of *CVP* [2] incorporating the series of 25th anniversary commemorative *CVP* review articles [3–9]. These articles were conceived as a series with the general title of *Pathobiology of Cardiovascular Diseases: Past, Present, and Future Perspectives* [3]. The objectives of this second Special Issue of *CVP* are (1) to assemble the 25th Anniversary commemorative review articles into one cohesive virtual collection with online access for the cardiovascular pathology community and (2) to broaden the scope of the endeavor by providing updates and commentaries on the major categories of cardiovascular disorders — incorporating important clinical publications while also presenting the viewpoint of cardiovascular pathologists. For access to the Special Issues, go to https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/cardiovascular-pathology/special-issues.

1.1. Basic anatomy and physiology

Gross anatomy and histopathology are the mainstays of cardiovascular pathology practice [10]; consideration of the three-dimensional geometry of the heart deserves more attention. Hutchins and colleagues [11,12] published detailed studies of cardiac size, chamber volumes, valve orifices, and shape of the ventricles at autopsy. Differences in the shape of the right and left ventricles when arrested in systole or diastole have been demonstrated [11], and these features should be taken into account in making determinations regarding ventricular hypertrophy and dilation.

More recently, MacIver and colleagues [13,14] have elegantly demonstrated the three-dimensional architecture of the heart in relationship to cardiac function; some misconceptions regarding ventricular geometry also were clarified [15]. Recent reviews provide detailed analyses of structure and function of the right ventricle and left atrium in health and disease [16,17]. The challenge of separating physiological hypertrophy from pathological concentric and eccentric hypertrophy also has been addressed [18].

The Human Cell Atlas, a global initiative championed by the Broad Institute [19] (https://www.broadinstitute.org/research-highlights-human-cell-atlas), also promises to shed highly detailed insights into the complex individual genetic and cellular anatomy of the cardiovascular system. Such analyses have already revealed cellular heterogeneity in a host of tissues, elucidating such previously unrecognized cell populations as the pulmonary ionocyte, expressing the bulk of cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) in lung [20], and distinct subsets of hepatic macrophages [21]. Cardiovascular pathologists will

* Corresponding author at: Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, McGovern Medical School, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, 6431 Fannin St. MSB 2.276, Houston, TX 77030.
E-mail address: L.Maximilian.Buja@uth.tmc.edu (L.M. Buja).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2019.06.002
1054-8807/

be critical adjuncts and tour guides to the accurate identification, annotation, and exploration of heart and vessel tissues for these analyses.

2. Importance of core diagnostic approaches

2.1. Autopsy

The autopsy remains a procedure of paramount importance in investigation of cardiovascular disease and sudden deaths [22,23]. There are a paradox and a dilemma related to the development of new powerful approaches to obtaining important information from the autopsy while autopsy rates in nonforensic settings, including academic centers, remain distressingly low. Postmortem genetic testing, the so-called molecular autopsy, has become increasingly feasible utilizing next-generation sequencing of blood and tissues [22–25]. While fresh specimens are still preferable, utilization of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues is becoming increasingly practicable [26,27]. The emerging importance of the rapid research autopsy leverages powerful technological advances in genetic analyses and organoid cultures with a logistical system for performing autopsies within 6 h of death [23]. An entire recent issue of the journal *Circulation* was devoted to the application of the autopsy to cardiovascular investigation [28–36].

A major deterrent to routine incorporation of molecular diagnostics in routine autopsy practice is economic. Although the cost of next-generation sequencing has decreased substantially, most medical examiner jurisdictions do not have a budget for routine performance of postmortem genetic testing. A notable exception is the molecular genetic testing laboratory of the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner of New York City which tests for a diverse — but not exhaustive — panel of channelopathy genes in the setting of sudden cardiac death (SCD) [25]. Secondary, but no less knotty, issues include providing truly informed family consent for postmortem genetic testing, and determining who conveys the results and how potentially actionable molecular diagnoses are explained to the next-of-kin [37].

2.2. Endomyocardial biopsy

The development of the technology for endomyocardial biopsy (EMB) in the 1960s was a game-changer in cardiology, enabling premortem cardiac tissue analyses for storage disorders, myocarditis, and sarcoidosis that had not been previously possible [38]. With the dawn of successful cardiac transplantation enabled by the development of calcineurin inhibitors, the EMB surged to even greater importance as the gold standard for evaluating cellular rejection; Billingham and colleagues at Stanford first demonstrated the safety and efficacy of the approach in 1973 [39]. Despite limitations relating to sampling and interpathologist variability in diagnoses, the EMB remains the mainstay for surveillance and diagnosis in cardiac rejection; advances in contemporary imaging [40,41] and molecular biomarkers [42] have not made significant inroads on clinical practice in cardiac transplantation.

Thus, EMB interpretation is a core element of contemporary cardiovascular pathology practice; besides evaluating cellular and antibody-mediated rejection (and distinguishing those from ischemic injury, infections, and posttransplant lymphoproliferative disorders), the tissue diagnoses of inflammatory heart disease (myocarditis and sarcoidosis), infiltrative diseases (amyloidosis and lysosomal storage diseases), and toxic injury (chloroquine and anthracyclines) are all critical contributions that arise out of the cardiovascular pathology sign-out [43–47]. Novel tissue biomarkers — evaluable on biopsies — can even be superior to established clinical criteria (and serum analytes) for stratifying risk in heart failure patients [48]. With the increasing application of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) in cancer therapeutics, the EMB has also assumed new importance in the early diagnosis of potentially fatal ICI myocarditis [49]. The importance of EMB has been recognized by leading cardiology organizations, and the indications for EMB in various clinical scenarios have been defined [50,51].

Although Pereira et al. [40,41] have stated that contemporary imaging procedures can potentially replace EMB for the diagnosis of some myocardial pathology, EMB remains the standard for validation of imaging techniques, and it uniquely has the potential to yield a tissue diagnosis. Electroanatomic-mapping-guided EMB has the potential to improve test characteristics over conventional fluoroscopy-guided EMB [52]. Also, biventricular EMB of the right ventricle (RV) and left ventricle (LV) has been shown to have increased yield of positive findings compared to either selective RV or LV biopsy alone [53].

3. Vascular diseases

Ladich and colleagues [7,54,55] have provided an overview of vascular diseases reflecting the consensus statements of the SCVP and AECVP on inflammatory and noninflammatory aortic degenerative disorders. Inflammatory aortic diseases include atherosclerosis, aortitis, and periaortitis. Although clinically uncommon, aortitis is increasingly recognized as an important cause of aortic aneurysms and dissections. IgG4-related aortitis is a relatively newly recognized entity in this category. Pathologic diagnosis of specific types of aortitis is based on the pattern of inflammation and associated patient demographic and clinical findings.

Aortic aneurysms are typically subdivided into abdominal aortic aneurysms (AAAs) versus thoracic aortic aneurysms (TAAs), characteristically with different pathologies and etiologies [7]. AAAs are the most common type of aortic aneurysm and are attributed to underlying atherosclerotic pathology [7]. Some atherosclerotic aneurysms involve both the thoracic and abdominal aorta, i.e., throracoabdominal aortic aneurysms (TAAAs) [56]. Such atherosclerotic aortic aneurysms have distinct risk factors and genetic predisposition compared to usual atherosclerotic disease [57,58].

The causes of TAA vary depending on the site of involvement, but medial degeneration is a common pathologic substrate, regardless of etiology [7,55]. Compared to TAAA and AAA, thoracic aneurysms are more commonly associated with systemic hypertension, likely causing compromise of the *vasa vasorum* perfusion of the media; patients with bicuspid aortic valves are also prone to root dilation, attributed to a combination of abnormal flow through the bicuspid valve, and subtle genetic effects on matrix synthesis that may be associated with the bicuspid valve development. Mutations that affect transforming growth factor-β (as in Marfan's and Loeys–Dietz syndromes), primary matrix mutations (e.g., Ehlers–Danlos III), and endarteritis obliterans of the *vasa vasorum* vessels (luetic aortitis) are all less common causes of TAA — but nevertheless important (because they are amenable to therapeutic interventions). There is a genetic basis for most aortic aneurysms with prominent medial degeneration [59], and aortopathy is also a feature of several forms of congenital heart disease (CHD) [60,61].

Vascular calcification is now recognized as a highly regulated biological process [7]. Calcification may involve the intima associated with atherosclerotic pathology or in the media secondary to metabolic disease. Rarely, vascular calcification develops as a manifestation of genetic disorders.

4. Atherosclerosis and ischemic heart disease

4.1. Atherosclerosis

Pathologists have made landmark contributions to our understanding of the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis [62–64].The resultant comprehensive construct advanced by Russell Ross and colleagues — the response to injury theory of the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis — reflects a synthesis of extensive experimental evidence and correlation with disease expression in humans [65]. This theory posits that atherosclerosis develops as an inflammatory response of the arterial wall that is initiated by endothelial perturbation (damage) induced by multifactorial, chronic (repetitive) chemical and hemodynamic injury, and is followed by

complex secondary changes in the evolving lesions [66–68]. Thus, fundamentally, atherosclerosis is conceived as a specialized inflammatory disease, and atherogenesis as a process driven by inflammation and innate and acquired immunological mechanisms [69–71]. In this regard, the beneficial effects of the statins are likely a consequence of their anti-inflammatory pleotropic effects as much as from their lipid-lowering effect. Other interventions aimed at affecting inflammatory and immunological drivers of atherosclerosis are also garnering increased interest [72].

It also should be noted that the current iteration of the response to injury theory does not account for observations interpreted as early lesions developing as cell clones in the intima of blood vessels. The clonal origin hypothesis remains the subject of investigation and speculation [73]. Remarkably, the expansion of myeloid cell clones in geriatric bone marrow (so-called clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential or CHIP) has been correlated not only with an increased risk of hematologic malignancy (not too surprising) but also with atherosclerotic disease risk (extremely surprising) [74]. The relationship may be attributable to the selective expansion in inflammatory monocyte-macrophage lineages producing mediators such as interleukin-1 (IL-1) [75]. This becomes extremely clinically relevant in that IL-1 blockade has significant benefits against atherosclerotic disease burden and complications [76].

In the cardiovascular pathology community, the characterization and classification of lesions of atherosclerosis, arteriosclerosis, arteriolosclerosis, and vascular calcification continue to be discussed [77].

4.2. Ischemic heart disease and acute myocardial infarction

Buja and Vander Heide [5] provided a comprehensive perspective on the pathobiology of ischemic heart disease (IHD): past, present, and future. Topics covered included basic pathobiology of coronary artery disease, basic pathobiology of myocardial ischemic injury and acute myocardial infarction (AMI), importance of infarct size, the first phase of approaches to limit infarct size, basic pathobiology of myocardial reperfusion, clinical reperfusion therapy, myocardial stunning and hibernation, ischemic preconditioning, new insights into pathobiology with a focus on mitochondria, recent clinical trials for preservation of ischemic myocardium, and approaches to myocardial repair and regeneration [5,78]. Major knowledge gaps and future directions for IHD also were articulated (Table 1).

There also has been an evolution in the thinking regarding the relationship of coronary atherosclerosis to the development of an acute coronary syndrome (ACS) [79]. The traditional view proposes that the clinical horizon of acute IHD occurs when progressively accumulating atherosclerosis causes critical luminal compromise — usually involving multiple plaque formation. However, in the vulnerable plaque model, acute plaque change dominates the clinical decompensation. Thus, an acute ischemic event is not closely linked to the severity of coronary atherosclerosis (due to positive vascular remodeling; the Glagov effect) but rather is triggered by the development of instability and thrombosis of a vulnerable plaque that is frequently not critically stenotic. A modulating perspective is provided by the atherosclerotic plaque burden hypothesis: an individual patient may have multiple vulnerable coronary plaques; instability and thrombosis of a single vulnerable plaque may or may not trigger an acute ischemic event; the total burden of atherosclerotic disease is of major importance in leading to an ACS. This hypothesis reflects the complexity between the relationship of thrombosis of an atherosclerotic coronary artery and AMI. Indeed, determination of the link between coronary thrombosis and AMI has a long and convoluted history [63,80], although a causal role for coronary thrombosis has now been firmly established [5,78–80].

Percutaneous coronary intervention with angioplasty coupled with coronary stent placement is a well-established approach for managing ACS. Coronary stents have evolved from bare metal stents (BMS) to drug eluting stents (DES) to fully bioresorbable scaffolds. Virmani and colleagues [81–84] have performed extensive studies over more than a decade to characterize the vascular responses to implanted stents of various types and to elucidate clinical correlates of the pathobiology occurring in the stented segments. The pathological findings regarding vascular responses to BMS and DES clearly point to the importance of endothelization of the stented neointima; less than complete and effective endothelial covering will lead to adverse outcomes, including late thrombosis [85]. Adverse reactions to stents involve multiple interrelated mechanisms including stent characteristics, procedural factors, individual susceptibility influenced by genetic predisposition and clinical factors, and the inflammatory response. This complex milieu can result in delayed or impaired re-endothelialization, vascular perforation, or even focal aneurysm formation. Continued attention to the basic pathobiology of vascular responses to injury and interventions is of paramount importance in developing improved therapeutic interventions and optimal clinical outcomes [85].

Because of its importance in clinical decision making, documentation of the severity of coronary atherosclerosis has been a major focus of clinical research and cardiology practice for many years. Stenosis severity has traditionally been assessed by direct angiographic visualization or functionally through measurements of fractional flow reserve [86]. For both clinical and research purposes, histopathological assessment is important for correlation with angiographic and other assessments of the nature and extent of coronary artery disease. A variety of approaches have been used [87–89].

The array of diagnostic modalities has grown to include qualitative coronary angiography, quantitative coronary angiography, computed tomographic angiography, magnetic resonance imaging angiography, intravascular ultrasound, and optical coherence tomography (OCT) [90–93]. A focus of ongoing development work is fluorescence lifetime imaging. Various imaging modalities are purported to provide "virtual histology" of the coronary tree [90]. However, histopathology remains essential for validation of the accuracy of the imaging procedures [94–97].

An improved method for mapping and registration of coronary arteries in longitudinal view on histopathology has recently been developed; this involves a three-dimensional alignment procedure for postmortem quantitative coronary plaque analyses [89]. This new procedure has been applied to calcified coronary plaque analyses comparing postmortem computed tomography angiography (PMCTA), OCT, and histopathology. In 338 specimens, the 3D fusion approach, aligning the images of PMCTA and OCT with histopathology as the gold standard, allowed for a slice-based comparison of the different modalities. The results showed that PMCTA overestimates the calcified plaques while OCT underestimates these, compared to what is seen through the microscope.

AMI has now been classified into five types (Table 2) [98–100]; the scheme takes into account the advent of high-sensitivity troponin measurements and the underlying pathophysiology. Thus, a distinction is made between myocardial injury with elevated troponin due to nonischemic causes (e.g., myocarditis) versus type 2 AMI with elevated troponin and clinical evidence of myocardial ischemia. Both may be associated with guarded prognosis. However, utilizing strict criteria, type 2 AMI is currently being overdiagnosed [101]. We recommend that pathologists take this classification of AMI into account in evaluating and reporting AMIs along with the traditional characterizations regarding location, extent, and age of the lesions.

5. Sudden cardiac death, including sudden arrhythmic death (SAD)

5.1. Basic structure–function relationships of the electrical heart [4,8]

Saffitz and Corradi [4] have provided a perspective on the evolution of our understanding of how altered tissue structure determined by classical pathology contributes to the pathogenesis of major heart rhythm disorders. They reviewed the remarkable advances in our understanding of the genetic basis for cardiac rhythm disturbances and the elucidation of fundamental mechanisms of abnormal conduction

Table 1
Ischemic heart disease: major knowledge gaps and future research directions

Gaps	Research directions
Reliable clinical identification of vulnerable plaques leading to ACS and understanding of the underlying initiating mechanisms are inadequate.	Continued work is needed on noninvasive methods for distinguishing different types of plaques and identifying initiating mechanisms in clinical situations.
Successive generations of coronary stents have resulted in long-term patency of previously stenotic segments of coronary arteries; however, segments with drug-eluting stents are subject to late thrombosis and atherosclerosis.	Develop new strategies to retard intimal thickening due to proliferation of myofibroblasts and to promote endothelial regeneration.
The progression from reversible to irreversible cardiomyocyte injury involves oncotic and apoptotic pathways, but the complex interactions are not fully understood.	Further define these pathways while investigating possible targets for therapeutic interventions.
While components of the trigger phase of IP have been well established, the ultimate effector of the protective effect of preconditioning has not been determined.	Continue to investigate biochemical and molecular mechanisms of the mediator/effector phase of IP.
While experimental studies have provided evidence that a number of pharmacological agents and pathophysiological interventions can exert protective effects on the evolution of myocardial infarction, application of these approaches in clinical trials have yielded generally equivocal results, including the most recent trials combining pharmacological agents and conditioning protocols.	Continue to refine the design of clinical trials with the aim of extending proof of principle into practical clinical application for improvement in morbidity and mortality of patients with IHD.
While advances in the last 50 years have resulted in major reduction in the morbidity and mortality from ACS, there has been a progressive increase in the incidence of patients with chronic IHD requiring advanced therapies.	Since progression of chronic heart failure is caused by progressive pathological remodeling of the myocardium, further research is needed to gain a better understanding of pathological remodeling and to develop approaches to modulating its development and progression.
While a rationale for cell-based therapy for salvage and repair of ischemic myocardium and reversal of chronic heart failure has been advanced, the clinical trials of such therapy have yielded only modest results particularly in relationship to consideration of return on investment.	Develop new paradigms with a stronger experimentally grounded basis for continuation of cell-based therapeutic interventions.

IP, ischemic preconditioning.
Adapted from: Buja LM, Vander Heide RS. Pathobiology of ischemic heart disease: past, present and future. *Cardiovasc Pathol* 2016;25:214–20. doi:10.1016/j.carpath.2016.01.007 [5].

and impulse formation. Ottaviani and Buja [8] have provided a complementary review of advances in the study of anatomic and pathological changes of the conduction tissue in relationship to age of onset of SCD.

SCD is defined as the unexpected death without an obvious noncardiac cause that occurs within 1 h of witnessed onset of symptoms (established SCD) or within 24 h of unwitnessed onset of clinical manifestations (probable SCD). The incidence in the United States is reported as 69/100,000 per year [8]. SCD appears in 13.4% of death certificates. The incidence of SCD has a peak in infancy and decreases in older children; then, in adults, it increases exponentially with age, surpassing the risk for infants by the age of 40 [102].

5.2. Perinatal and infant deaths [8]

The focus of investigation of perinatal deaths has been expanded based on convincing evidence for a continuum involving sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS), sudden perinatal unexpected death, and sudden intrauterine death syndrome (SIUDS). SIDS, also called crib death, is the most frequent form of death in the first year of life, striking 1 baby in every 1700–2000. Despite advances in maternal–neonatal care, SIUDS has an incidence six to eight times greater than that of SIDS [103–105]. The SIDS–SIUDS complex [105] has been defined as the sudden death of a fetus after the 25th gestational week or infant under 1 year of age which is unexpected by history and remains unexplained after a thorough case investigation, including examination of the death scene; performance of a general autopsy; and examination of the placenta, umbilical cord, and membranes. A complete and careful autopsy examination is required to rule out various causes and to document subtle changes associated with unexplained perinatal and infant deaths. An evolving understanding of the pathogenesis of SIDS and related conditions is based on postulated cardiorespiratory and respiratory–reflexogenic mechanisms related to minute lesions of the central nervous system, particularly of the brainstem, together with involvement of the cardiac nervous and conduction system. Frequent congenital abnormalities are likely morphological substrates for SIDS–SIUDS; these are mainly represented by alterations of the cardiac conduction system, such as accessory pathways and abnormal resorptive degeneration, along with hypoplasia, agenesis, or neuronal immaturity of vital brainstem structures [8,103–105]. A novel hypothesis has recently been advanced linking SIDS to CO^2 retention [106].

5.3. Sudden death of adolescents and adults [5]

SCD includes deaths due to nonarrhythmic, mechanical causes, such as ruptured AMI, and deaths due to fatal ventricular arrhythmia, i.e., SAD and sudden arrhythmic death syndrome (SADS) [107,108]. Dysfunctions of the cardiac conduction and autonomic nervous systems are known to contribute to SCD pathogenesis, as are ventricular

Table 2
Clinical classification of different types of myocardial infarction

Infarction types	Clinical features
Type 1 MI	Spontaneous myocardial infarction related to ischemia due to a primary coronary event such as plaque erosion and/or rupture, fissuring, or dissection
Type 2 MI	Myocardial infarction secondary to ischemia due to either increased oxygen demand or decreased supply, e.g., coronary artery spasm, coronary embolism, anaemia, arrhythmias, hypertension, or hypotension
Type 3 MI	Sudden unexpected cardiac death, including cardiac arrest, often with symptoms suggestive of myocardial ischemia, accompanied by presumably new ST elevation, or new LBBB, or evidence of fresh thrombus in a coronary artery by angiography and/or at autopsy, but death occurring before blood samples could be obtained, or at a time before the appearance of cardiac biomarkers in the blood
Type 4A MI	Myocardial infarction associated with PCI
Type 4B MI	Myocardial infarction associated with stent thrombosis as documented by angiography or at autopsy
Type 5 MI	Myocardial infarction associated with CABG

MI, myocardial infarction; LBBB, left bundle branch block; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting.
Adapted from: Thygesen K, Alpert JS, White HD, Joint ESC/ACCF/AHA/WHF Task Force for the Redefinition of Myocardial Infarction, Jaffe AS, Apple FS, et al. Universal definition of myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 2007;116:2634-53. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.187397 [98].

arrhythmias triggered by ectopic foci in hypertrophied hearts and those with acute ischemia [4,8].

Guidelines have been published for autopsy investigation of SCD [109,110]. Many cases have IHD as the pathological substrate [111]. Other causes are more common in younger individuals including coronary artery anomalies, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, and arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy [108]. Acute aortic dissection is reported to have an outside-of-hospital death rate of 20% [112].

Genetic factors contributing to SCD and SADS are now recognized to be important [113,114]. Subjects with primary arrhythmias, including prolonged QT syndromes and channelopathies, typically have hearts with no gross or histopathological findings. The only pathological finding in other subjects may be significant left ventricular hypertrophy. Left ventricular hypertrophy is a well-documented, independent risk factor for SCD [115–118]. Postmortem genetic testing can contribute significant information in determining the substrate for SCD and SADS [24,25,30–35].

6. Congenital heart disease

The in-depth characterization of the anatomic pathology and pathophysiology of CHD contributed by expert pathologists has led to accurate early diagnosis and effective surgical treatments for CHD [104,119,120]. Advances also have been made in understanding the developmental biology and molecular pathogenesis of CHD [121–123]. A symposium on CHD has been published in this journal addressing anatomic and pathophysiological classification and postoperative pathology of CHD as well as challenges and opportunities for CHD in adults [124–127].

Specific environmental risk factors, such as maternal smoking, air and water pollution, food concentration, pesticides, etc., can interact with the individual genetic constitution in complex ways, which may lead to polymorphisms and/or mutations of specific diseases leading to abnormal cardiac morphogenesis and CHD. Success in diagnosis and surgical correction of CHD has led to the development of the new subspecialty of adult CHD. Multidisciplinary teams — including obstetricians; pediatric and adult cardiologists; anesthesiologists; cardiac surgeons; and, above all, cardiovascular pathologists — are essential for understanding, managing, and treating CHD to provide optimal outcomes. Further studies are needed to identify more precise etiologies, preventive measures, and standardized diagnostic and therapeutic guidelines to improve the survival and quality of life for CHD in fetuses, children, young adults, and geriatrics [119,120].

7. Valvular heart disease

Schoen and Gotlieb have reviewed major advances in the understanding of the structure, function, and biology of native valves and the pathobiology and clinical management of valvular heart disease [6,128]. In high-income countries today, the two major causes of clinically significant acquired valvular disease are degenerative valve diseases led by calcific aortic valve disease (CAVD) and myxomatous mitral valve prolapse disease (MVP) [6,128]. Conversely, in low-income countries, rheumatic heart disease remains a major problem [129,130]. CAVD leads to aortic stenosis/calcific aortic stenosis [131,132]. MVP leads to mitral valve prolapse with variable mitral regurgitation and, in syndromic form, susceptibility to potentially fatal arrhythmias [133,134]. Regarding pathogenesis, transcriptional regulation of heart valve development and disease is being defined, as is the role of hemodynamics and cellular and subcellular dynamics of the valve components [6,128,135–138]. Perturbations of valvular interstitial cells figure prominently in the pathobiology of both conditions [6,128].

The two categories of prosthetic valves utilized in valve replacement are mechanical valves and tissue valves [6,128]. Open chest valve replacement under cardiopulmonary bypass is increasingly being superseded by minimally invasive catheter-based valve replacement procedures, particularly transcatheter aortic valve implantation. Similarly, total mitral valve replacement is being supplanted when possible by mitral valve sparing procedures, including the use of various mitral valve clip devices. Pathology associated with these devices and procedures has been described [6,10,128,139–144].

8. Cardiomyopathies and myocarditis

The cardiomyopathies, or heart muscle diseases, received formal recognition and classification by the World Health Organization in 1980 [145]. Subsequently, research has led to more refined definitions and increased understanding of these entities [146–148]. Working groups of the American Heart Association and the European Society of Cardiology have developed complimentary classifications of the cardiomyopathies which recognize primary genetic, primary acquired, and mixed etiologies of cardiomyopathies [149–151]. These principles are recognized in an approach linking etiologic to clinicopathological features (Fig. 1). A cardiomyopathy compendium was published in the September 15, 2017, issue of *Circulation Research* presenting important advances in the pathobiology, pathogenesis, clinical recognition, diagnostic imaging, and natural history of these conditions [25,152–162].

9. Cardiac repair and regeneration

The field of cardiac regenerative medicine has developed over the past decade based on intense interest in the biology and potential therapeutic applications of myocardial and vascular stem cells [163]. There was an initial siren call that such preparations could bypass the nonregenerative properties of mammalian myocardium and pointed tantalizingly to the potential for significant myocardial restoration and sustained functional improvement following acute or chronic injury [164–166]. The rationale for cell-based therapy is based on an overly optimistic goal that this therapy can effectively modulate the basic pathobiology of the myocardium during stages of compensatory hypertrophy and failure in response to stressors, as elucidated by detailed quantitative studies conducted by pathologists and experimental biologists. However, recent developments have tempered much of the initial

Combined Etiologic, Molecular and Pathologic Classification of Cardiomyopathies

Etiology

- Primary gene mutation
- Primary environmental acquired insult – virus, drug, toxin, stress
- Gene-environment interaction

Molecular Pathotype	Pathophysiological Type
Cytoskeletal CMP (Sarcolemma/sarcomere linkage)	Dilated CMP Non-compaction LV CMP
Cell Junction CMP	ARVD/C, cardiocutaneous syndromes
Sarcomeric CMP	Hypertrophic CMP and Restrictive CMP
Ion Channel CMP	Long and short QT syndromes, Brugada syndrome, catecholaminergic polymorphic VT

CMP, cardiomyopathy; ARVD/C, arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy: LV, left ventricle; VT, ventricular tachycardia.

Fig. 1. Combined etiologic, molecular, and pathologic classification of cardiomyopathies. CMP, cardiomyopathy; ARVD/C, arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy: LV, left ventricle; VT, ventricular tachycardia. Modified from: Thiene G, Basso C, Calabrese F, Angelini A, Valente M. Twenty years of progress and beckoning frontiers in cardiovascular pathology: cardiomyopathies. *Cardiovasc Pathol* 2005;14:165-9 doi:10.1016/j.carpath.2005.03.008 [146]. Poller W, Kühl U, Tschoepe C, Pauschinger M, Fechner H, Schultheiss H-P. Genome–environment interactions in the molecular pathogenesis of dilated cardiomyopathy. *J Mol Med* (Berl) 2005;83:579–86. doi:10.1007/s00109-005-0664-2 [147].

enthusiasm for cardiac cell-based therapy with a recalibration of expectations.

Millions of dollars have been expended on clinical trials of cardiac stem cell therapy yielding unconvincing results regarding the efficacy of stem cell therapy to produce sustained improvement of cardiac structure. The clincal and experimental studies show that mesenchymal stem cells and cardiac-derived stem cells do not impart signficant remuscularization of infarcted myocardium and are associated with only modest short-term enhancement of cardiac function at best. More promising candidates for cell-based therapy for IHD are cardiomyocytes derived from embronic stem cells or inducible pleuripotential stem cells, but the durability and arrhythmogenicity of these preparations remain concerns [163–166]. The same reservations apply to the proposed utilization of various tissue engineering methods for application of stem cells to the heart [167].

Regarding the underlying issues of regenerative capacity and the mechanism(s) responsible, a strong consensus has emerged that the limited regenerative capability of mamalian myocardium is primarily a consequence of low-level reentry of mature cardiomyocytes into the cell cycle and not, as previously asserted, on the differentiation of stem cells into cardiomyocytes [168]. This consensus is grounded in detailed quantitative studies conducted by pathologists and experimental biologists [163–166].

Based on the overall poor results and at best modest cardiac functional improvement with exogenous stem cell therapy, further investment of human and financial resources in such therapy does not currently appear warranted. However, investigating the molecular basis for the limited replicative capacity of cardiomyocytes likely represents a more fruitful line of investigation for potential therapeutic intervention [123,169–171]. The current bottom line is that the ability of exogenously administered stem cells to produce biologically and clinically significant enhancement of myocardial repair — much less regeneration — after injury remains unproven; cardiovascular pathologists can help clear the murkiness of the field by providing rigorous tissue evaluations [164,172].

10. Heart failure

Halushka, Mitchell, and Padera [9] reviewed the concepts and treatments of heart failure from the last 25 years, highlighting some of the new directions in nonpharmacologic therapy. Previous reports in this journal have focused on the pathophysiology and pathobiology of heart failure as well as biomarkers for monitoring this condition [173–177]. Whether acute or chronic, heart failure remains a major health care crisis affecting over 6 million Americans and over 23 million people worldwide. Roughly half of those affected will die within 5 years, and the annual cost exceeds $30 billion in the United States alone [102]. Although medical therapy has made some modest inroads in partially stemming the heart failure tsunami, there remains a significant population for whom medication is unsuccessful or has ceased being effective; such patients can benefit from heart transplantation or mechanical circulatory support [9]. Indeed, in the past quarter century (and as covered in *Cardiovascular Pathology* over those years), significant improvements in clinicopathologic understanding [176,177] and in engineering design have materially enhanced the toolkit of options for such refractory patients. Mechanical devices, whether total artificial hearts or ventricular assist devices, have been reengineered to reduce basic wear and tear, thus extending device longevity while minimizing thromboses and other complications. Transplant survival has also been extended through a better comprehension of and improved therapies for transplant vasculopathy and antibody-mediated rejection.

Recent developments have led to a convergence of cardiovascular medicine and oncology, and the emergence of a new cardio-oncology subspecialty [178]. Significantly, excluding demise due to the malignancy itself, treatment-induced adverse cardiovascular events are the leading cause of death in cancer patients. In calculating the relative risks and benefits of anticancer therapy, it is therefore important to consider the morbidity and mortality associated with antitumor therapy itself. Chemotherapy, targeted therapies, immune checkpoint blockade, and radiation therapy can all adversely impact cardiac function; their effects can also be synergistic. Consequently, it is important that possible therapeutic side effects be recognized and effectively controlled. Glass and Mitchell [178] have reviewed the mechanisms and histopathologic findings associated with common forms of potentially cardiotoxic cancer therapy including anthracyclines, tyrosine kinase inhibitors, and most recently immune checkpoint inhibitors [49]. Although the histologic findings in many cases are nonspecific, in the appropriate clinical context, therapeutic cardiotoxicity can be inferred and the treatment approach refined appropriately.

11. Tumors of the heart and blood vessels

Tumors of the heart and blood vessels, while uncommon, continue to fascinate pathologists. This is reflected in the large number of case reports and review articles published in *CVP* [3]. These reports often feature unusual features and presentations of primary cardiac tumors as well as the more common metastatic tumors. The review articles include several longitudinal experiences of major medical centers [179–189]. Collectively, these articles provide a comprehensive analysis of tumors of the heart and blood vessels. In recent years, a major monograph and an updated atlas on this topic have been published [190,191].

Conflict of interest statement

I as well as my coauthors, Dr. Ottaviani, and Dr. Mitchell, have nothing to disclose and no conflicts of interest regarding the content of this manuscript.

Acknowledgement

This project was funded by local support.

References

Introduction

[1] Seidman MA. Consensus documents — past, present, and future. Cardiovasc Pathol 2018;36:42–3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2018.06.003.
[2] Buja L, Ottaviani G, Mitchell R. Pathobiology of cardiovascular diseases: an update. Cardiovasc Pathol 2019;42:44–53.
[3] Buja LM. Cardiovascular pathology: looking back on the first 25 years and forward into the future. Cardiovasc Pathol 2016;25:1–2. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2015.11.002.
[4] Saffitz JE, Corradi D. The electrical heart: 25 years of discovery in cardiac electrophysiology, arrhythmias and sudden death. Cardiovasc Pathol 2016;25:149–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2015.11.005.
[5] Buja LM, Vander Heide RS. Pathobiology of ischemic heart disease: past, present and future. Cardiovasc Pathol 2016;25:214–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2016.01.007.
[6] Schoen FJ, Gotlieb AI. Heart valve health, disease, replacement, and repair: a 25-year cardiovascular pathology perspective. Cardiovasc Pathol 2016;25:341–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2016.05.002.
[7] Ladich E, Yahagi K, Romero ME, Virmani R. Vascular diseases: aortitis, aortic aneurysms, and vascular calcification. Cardiovasc Pathol 2016;25:432–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2016.07.002.
[8] Ottaviani G, Buja LM. Anatomopathological changes of the cardiac conduction system in sudden cardiac death, particularly in infants: advances over the last 25 years. Cardiovasc Pathol 2016;25:489–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2016.08.005.
[9] Halushka MK, Mitchell RN, Padera RF. Heart failure therapies: new strategies for old treatments and new treatments for old strategies. Cardiovasc Pathol 2016;25:503–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2016.08.008.

Basic Anatomy and Physiology

[10] Buja LM, Butany J. Cardiovascular pathology. . 4th ed.New York: Elsevier; 2016.
[11] Hutchins GM, Anaya OA. Measurements of cardiac size, chamber volumes and valve orifices at autopsy. Johns Hopkins Med J 1973;133:96–106.
[12] Hutchins GM, Bulkley BH, Moore GW, Piasio MA, Lohr FT. Shape of the human cardiac ventricles. Am J Cardiol 1978;41:646–54.

[13] MacIver DH, Stephenson RS, Jensen B, Agger P, Sánchez-Quintana D, Jarvis JC, et al. The end of the unique myocardial band: part I. Anatomical considerations. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2018;53:112–9. https://doi.org/10.1093/ejcts/ezx290.
[14] MacIver DH, Partridge JB, Agger P, Stephenson RS, Boukens BJD, Omann C, et al. The end of the unique myocardial band: part II. Clinical and functional considerations. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2018;53:120–8. https://doi.org/10.1093/ejcts/ezx335.
[15] Buckberg GD, Nanda NC, Nguyen C, Kocica MJ. What is the heart? Anatomy, function, pathophysiology, and misconceptions. J Cardiovasc Dev Dis 2018;5. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcdd5020033.
[16] Sanz J, Sánchez-Quintana D, Bossone E, Bogaard HJ, Naeije R. Anatomy, function, and dysfunction of the right ventricle. JACC State-of-the-Art Review J Am Coll Cardiol 2019;73:1463–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2018.12.076.
[17] Thomas L, Marwick TH, Popescu BA, Donal E, Badano LP. Left atrial structure and function, and left ventricular diastolic dysfunction. JACC state-of-the-art review J Am Coll Cardiol 2019;73:1961–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2019.01.059.
[18] Carbone A, D'Andrea A, Riegler L, Scarafile R, Pezzullo E, Martone F, et al. Cardiac damage in athlete's heart: when the "supernormal" heart fails! World J Cardiol 2017;9:470–80. https://doi.org/10.4330/wjc.v9.i6.470.
[19] Regev A, Teichmann SA, Lander ES, Amit I, Benoist C, Birney E, et al. The human cell atlas. Elife 2017;6. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.27041.
[20] Plasschaert LW, Žilionis R, Choo-Wing R, Savova V, Knehr J, Roma G, et al. A single-cell atlas of the airway epithelium reveals the CFTR-rich pulmonary ionocyte. Nature 2018;560:377–81. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0394-6.
[21] MacParland SA, Liu JC, Ma X-Z, Innes BT, Bartczak AM, Gage BK, et al. Single cell RNA sequencing of human liver reveals distinct intrahepatic macrophage populations. Nat Commun 2018;9:4383. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06318-7.

Autopsy

[22] Buja LM, Barth RF, Krueger GR, Brodsky SV, Hunter RL. The importance of the autopsy in medicine: perspectives of pathology colleagues. Acad Pathol 2019;6:2374289519834041. https://doi.org/10.1177/2374289519834041.
[23] Hooper JE, Williamson AK, editors. Autopsy in the 21st century. Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing AG; 2019. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-98373-8.
[24] Tester DJ, Ackerman MJ. The role of molecular autopsy in unexplained sudden cardiac death. Curr Opin Cardiol 2006;21:166–72.
[25] Tang Y, Stahl-Herz J, Sampson BA. Molecular diagnostics of cardiovascular diseases in sudden unexplained death. Cardiovasc Pathol 2014;23:1–4.
[26] Iddawela M, Rueda OM, Klarqvist M, Graf S, Earl HM, Caldas C. Reliable gene expression profiling of formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded breast cancer tissue (FFPE) using cDNA-mediated annealing, extension, selection, and ligation whole-genome (DASL WG) assay. BMC Med Genomics 2016;9:54. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12920-016-0215-4.
[27] Baudhuin LM, Leduc C, Train LJ, Avula R, Kluge ML, Kotzer KE, et al. Technical advances for the clinical genomic evaluation of sudden cardiac death: verification of next-generation sequencing panels for hereditary cardiovascular conditions using formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues and dried blood spots. Circ Cardiovasc Genet 2017;10. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCGENETICS.117.001844.
[28] Thiene G, Saffitz JE. Autopsy as a source of discovery in cardiovascular medicine: Then and now. Circulation 2018;137:2683–5. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.033234.
[29] Goldman L. Autopsy 2018: still necessary, even if occasionally not sufficient. Circulation 2018;137:2686–8. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.033236.
[30] Tseng ZH, Olgin JE, Vittinghoff E, Ursell PC, Kim AS, Sporer K, et al. Prospective countywide surveillance and autopsy characterization of sudden cardiac death: POST SCD Study. Circulation 2018;137:2689–700. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.033427.
[31] Myerburg RJ. Cardiac and noncardiac causes of apparent sudden arrhythmic deaths: shadows in a spectrum. Circulation 2018;137:2701–4. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.034594.
[32] Shanks GW, Tester DJ, Ackerman JP, Simpson MA, Behr ER, White SM, et al. Importance of variant interpretation in whole-exome molecular autopsy: population-based case series. Circulation 2018;137:2705–15. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.031053.
[33] Junttila MJ, Holmström L, Pylkäs K, Mantere T, Kaikkonen K, Porvari K, et al. Primary myocardial fibrosis as an alternative phenotype pathway of inherited cardiac structural disorders. Circulation 2018;137:2716–26. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.032175.
[34] Judge DP, Brown EE. Bringing autopsies into the molecular genetic era. Circulation 2018;137:2727–9. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.033235.
[35] Lacour P, Buschmann C, Storm C, Nee J, Parwani AS, Huemer M, et al. Cardiac implantable electronic device interrogation at forensic autopsy: an underestimated resource? Circulation 2018;137:2730–40. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.032367.
[36] Herrington DM, Mao C, Parker SJ, Fu Z, Yu G, Chen L, et al. Proteomic architecture of human coronary and aortic atherosclerosis. Circulation 2018;137:2741–56. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.034365.
[37] Sklar J. The clinical autopsy and genetic testing. Am J Pathol 2019;189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajpath.2019.05.006.

Endomyocardial Biopsy

[38] Sekiguchi M, Konno S. Histopathological differentiation employing endomyocardial biopsy in the clinical assessment of primary myocardial disease. Jpn Heart J 1969;10:30–46.
[39] Caves PK, Stinson EB, Billingham M, Shumway NE. Percutaneous transvenous endomyocardial biopsy in human heart recipients. Experience with a new technique. Ann Thorac Surg 1973;16:325–36.
[40] Pereira NL, Grogan M, Dec GW. Spectrum of restrictive and infiltrative cardiomyopathies: part 1 of a 2-part series. J Am Coll Cardiol 2018;71:1130–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2018.01.016.
[41] Pereira NL, Grogan M, Dec GW. Spectrum of restrictive and infiltrative cardiomyopathies: part 2 of a 2-part series. J Am Coll Cardiol 2018;71:1149–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2018.01.017.
[42] Kransdorf EP, Kobashigawa JA. Novel molecular approaches to the detection of heart transplant rejection. Per Med 2017;14:293–7. https://doi.org/10.2217/pme-2017-0024.
[43] Leone O, Veinot JP, Angelini A, Baandrup UT, Basso C, Berry G, et al. 2011 consensus statement on endomyocardial biopsy from the Association for European Cardiovascular Pathology and the Society for Cardiovascular Pathology. Cardiovasc Pathol 2012;21:245–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2011.10.001.
[44] Thiene G, Bruneval P, Veinot J, Leone O. Diagnostic use of the endomyocardial biopsy: a consensus statement. Virchows Arch 2013;463:1–5. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00428-013-1430-4.
[45] Basso C, Calabrese F, Angelini A, Carturan E, Thiene G. Classification and histological, immunohistochemical, and molecular diagnosis of inflammatory myocardial disease. Heart Fail Rev 2013;18:673–81. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10741-012-9355-6.
[46] Pollack A, Kontorovich AR, Fuster V, Dec GW. Viral myocarditis — diagnosis, treatment options and current controversies. Nat Rev Cardiol 2015;12:670–80. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrcardio.2015.108.
[47] Nair V, Belanger EC, Veinot JP. Lysosomal storage disorders affecting the heart: a review. Cardiovasc Pathol 2019;39:12–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2018.11.002.
[48] Stone JR. Novel prognostic tissue markers in congestive heart failure. Cardiovasc Pathol 2015;24:65–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2014.07.010.
[49] Raikhelkar J, Uriel N. Immune checkpoint inhibitor myocarditis. Curr Opin Cardiol 2019;34:303–6. https://doi.org/10.1097/HCO.0000000000000622.
[50] Cooper LT, Baughman KL, Feldman AM, Frustaci A, Jessup M, Kuhl U, et al. The role of endomyocardial biopsy in the management of cardiovascular disease: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association, the American College of Cardiology, and the European Society of Cardiology. Circulation 2007;116:2216–33. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.186093.
[51] Caforio ALP, Pankuweit S, Arbustini E, Basso C, Gimeno-Blanes J, Felix SB, et al. Current state of knowledge on aetiology, diagnosis, management, and therapy of myocarditis: a position statement of the European Society of Cardiology Working Group on Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases. Eur Heart J 2013;34:2636–48, 2648a-2648d. doi:https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/eht210.
[52] Vaidya VR, Abudan AA, Vasudevan K, Shantha G, Cooper LT, Kapa S, et al. The efficacy and safety of electroanatomic mapping-guided endomyocardial biopsy: a systematic review. J Interv Card Electrophysiol 2018. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10840-018-0410-7.
[53] Yilmaz A, Kindermann I, Kindermann M, Mahfoud F, Ukena C, Athanasiadis A, et al. Comparative evaluation of left and right ventricular endomyocardial biopsy: differences in complication rate and diagnostic performance. Circulation 2010;122:900–9. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.924167.

Vascular Diseases

[54] Stone JR, Bruneval P, Angelini A, Bartoloni G, Basso C, Batoroeva L, et al. Consensus statement on surgical pathology of the aorta from the Society for Cardiovascular Pathology and the Association for European Cardiovascular Pathology: I. Inflammatory diseases. Cardiovasc Pathol 2015;24:267–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2015.05.001.
[55] Halushka MK, Angelini A, Bartoloni G, Basso C, Batoroeva L, Bruneval P, et al. Consensus statement on surgical pathology of the aorta from the Society for Cardiovascular Pathology and the Association for European Cardiovascular Pathology: II. Noninflammatory degenerative diseases — nomenclature and diagnostic criteria. Cardiovasc Pathol 2016;25:247–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2016.03.002.
[56] Frederick JR, Woo YJ. Thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm. Ann Cardiothorac Surg 2012;1:277–85. https://doi.org/10.3978/j.issn.2225-319X.2012.09.01.
[57] Toghill BJ, Saratzis A, Bown MJ. Abdominal aortic aneurysm — an independent disease to atherosclerosis? Cardiovasc Pathol 2017;27:71–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2017.01.008.
[58] Golledge J. Abdominal aortic aneurysm: update on pathogenesis and medical treatments. Nat Rev Cardiol 2019;16:225–42. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41569-018-0114-9.
[59] Pinard A, Jones GT, Milewicz DM. Genetics of thoracic and abdominal aortic diseases. Circ Res 2019;124:588–606. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.118.312436.
[60] Niwa K. Landmark lecture: Perloff lecture: tribute to Professor Joseph Kayle Perloff and lessons learned from him: aortopathy in adults with CHD. Cardiol Young 2017;27:1959–65. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1047951117002116.
[61] Fabian O, Gebauer R, Koblizek M, Hornofova L, Janousek J. Histopathological evidence of aortopathy in newborns and infants with tetralogy of Fallot at the time of the surgical repair. Cardiovasc Pathol 2019;40:59–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2019.02.004.

Atherosclerosis

[62] Furie MB, Mitchell RN. Plaque attack: one hundred years of atherosclerosis in The American Journal of Pathology. Am J Pathol 2012;180:2184–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajpath.2012.04.003.

[63] Hort W. History of cardiovascular pathology. Z Kardiol 2002;91. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00392-002-1404-z Suppl 4:20–4.
[64] Mayerl C, Lukasser M, Sedivy R, Niederegger H, Seiler R, Wick G. Atherosclerosis research from past to present — on the track of two pathologists with opposing views, Carl von Rokitansky and Rudolf Virchow. Virchows Arch 2006;449:96–103. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00428-006-0176-7.
[65] Newby AC. An overview of the vascular response to injury: a tribute to the late Russell Ross. Toxicol Lett 2000;112–113:519–29.
[66] Gotlieb AI. Atherosclerosis and acute coronary syndromes. Cardiovasc Pathol 2005;14:181–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2005.03.007.
[67] Gimbrone MA, García-Cardeña G. Vascular endothelium, hemodynamics, and the pathobiology of atherosclerosis. Cardiovasc Pathol 2013;22:9–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2012.06.006.
[68] Gimbrone MA, García-Cardeña G. Endothelial cell dysfunction and the pathobiology of atherosclerosis. Circ Res 2016;118:620–36. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.115.306301.
[69] Wong BW, Meredith A, Lin D, McManus BM. The biological role of inflammation in atherosclerosis. Can J Cardiol 2012;28:631–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2012.06.023.
[70] Zhong S, Li L, Shen X, Li Q, Xu W, Wang X, et al. An update on lipid oxidation and inflammation in cardiovascular diseases. Free Radic Biol Med 2019. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2019.03.036.
[71] Zhao TX, Mallat Z. Targeting the immune system in atherosclerosis. JACC state-of-the-art review J Am Coll Cardiol 2019;73:1691–706. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2018.12.083.
[72] Libby P, Everett BM. Novel antiatherosclerotic therapies. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2019;39:538–45. https://doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.118.310958.
[73] Schwartz SM, Virmani R, Majesky MW. An update on clonality: what smooth muscle cell type makes up the atherosclerotic plaque? F1000Research 2018;7. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.15994.1.
[74] Jaiswal S, Natarajan P, Silver AJ, Gibson CJ, Bick AG, Shvartz E, et al. Clonal hematopoiesis and risk of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. N Engl J Med 2017;377:111–21. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1701719.
[75] Fuster JJ, Walsh K. Somatic mutations and clonal hematopoiesis: unexpected potential new drivers of age-related cardiovascular disease. Circ Res 2018;122:523–32. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.312115.
[76] Libby P, Loscalzo J, Ridker PM, Farkouh ME, Hsue PY, Fuster V, et al. Inflammation, immunity, and infection in atherothrombosis. JACC review topic of the week J Am Coll Cardiol 2018;72:2071–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2018.08.1043.
[77] Fishbein MC, Fishbein GA. Arteriosclerosis: facts and fancy. Cardiovasc Pathol 2015;24:335–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2015.07.007.

Ischemic Heart Disease [5]

[78] Buja LM. The pathobiology of acute coronary syndromes: clinical implications and central role of the mitochondria. Texas Hear Inst J 2013;40:221–8.
[79] Libby P. Mechanisms of acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med 2013;369:883–4. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc1307806.
[80] Weisse AB. The elusive clot: the controversy over coronary thrombosis in myocardial infarction. J Hist Med Allied Sci 2006;61:66–78. https://doi.org/10.1093/jhmas/jrj003.
[81] Otsuka F, Finn AV, Yazdani SK, Nakano M, Kolodgie FD, Virmani R. The importance of the endothelium in atherothrombosis and coronary stenting. Nat Rev Cardiol 2012;9:439–53. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrcardio.2012.64.
[82] Nakano M, Otsuka F, Yahagi K, Sakakura K, Kutys R, Ladich ER, et al. Human autopsy study of drug-eluting stents restenosis: histomorphological predictors and neointimal characteristics. Eur Heart J 2013;34:3304–13. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/eht241.
[83] Mori H, Torii S, Harari E, Jinnouchi H, Brauman R, Smith S, et al. Pathological mechanisms of left main stent failure. Int J Cardiol 2018;263:9–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2018.02.119.
[84] Jinnouchi H, Torii S, Sakamoto A, Kolodgie FD, Virmani R, Finn AV. Fully bioresorbable vascular scaffolds: lessons learned and future directions. Nat Rev Cardiol 2019;16:286–304. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41569-018-0124-7.
[85] Buja LM. Vascular responses to percutaneous coronary intervention with bare-metal stents and drug-eluting stents. a perspective based on insights from pathological and clinical studies J Am Coll Cardiol 2011;57:1323–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2010.11.033.
[86] Shah SM, Pfau SE. Coronary physiology in the cardiac catheterization laboratory. J Clin Med 2019;8. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm8020255.
[87] Dulohery K, Papavdi A, Michalodimitrakis M, Kranioti EF. Evaluation of coronary stenosis with the aid of quantitative image analysis in histological cross sections. J Forensic Leg Med 2012;19:485–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jflm.2012.04.024.
[88] Barth RF, Kellough DA, Allenby P, Blower LE, Hammond SH, Allenby GM, et al. Assessment of atherosclerotic luminal narrowing of coronary arteries based on morphometrically generated visual guides. Cardiovasc Pathol 2017;29:53–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2017.05.005.
[89] Precht H, Broersen A, Kitslaar PH, Dijkstra J, Gerke O, Thygesen J, et al. A novel alignment procedure to assess calcified coronary plaques in histopathology, post-mortem computed tomography angiography and optical coherence tomography. Cardiovasc Pathol 2019;39:25–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2018.11.005.
[90] Garcia-Garcia HM, Gonzalo N, Regar E, Serruys PW. Virtual histology and optical coherence tomography: from research to a broad clinical application. Heart 2009;95:1362–74. https://doi.org/10.1136/hrt.2008.151159.
[91] Matthews SD, Frishman WH. A review of the clinical utility of intravascular ultrasound and optical coherence tomography in the assessment and treatment of coronary artery disease. Cardiol Rev 2017;25:68–76. https://doi.org/10.1097/CRD.0000000000000128.
[92] Maehara A, Matsumura M, Ali ZA, Mintz GS, Stone GW. IVUS-guided versus OCT-guided coronary stent implantation: a critical appraisal. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2017;10:1487–503. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2017.09.008.
[93] Stone GW, Mintz GS, Virmani R. Vulnerable plaques, vulnerable patients, and intravascular imaging. J Am Coll Cardiol 2018;72:2022–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2018.09.010.
[94] Aboshady I, Cody DD, Johnson EM, Gahremanpour A, Vela D, Khalil KG, et al. Flat-panel versus 64-channel computed tomography for in vivo quantitative characterization of aortic atherosclerotic plaques. Int J Cardiol 2012;156:295–302. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2010.11.011.
[95] Phipps JE, Hoyt T, Vela D, Wang T, Michalek JE, Buja LM, et al. Diagnosis of thin-capped fibroatheromas in intravascular optical coherence tomography images: effects of light scattering. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 2016;9. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.115.003163.
[96] Jo JA, Park J, Pande P, Shrestha S, Serafino MJ, Rico Jimenez J de J, et al.. Simultaneous morphological and biochemical endogenous optical imaging of atherosclerosis. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2015;16:910–8. https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jev018.
[97] Phipps JE, Vela D, Hoyt T, Halaney DL, Mancuso JJ, Buja LM, et al. Macrophages and intravascular OCT bright spots. a quantitative study JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2015;8:63–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2014.07.027.
[98] Thygesen K, Alpert JS, White HD, Joint ESC/ACCF/AHA/WHF Task Force for the Redefinition of Myocardial Infarction, Jaffe AS, Apple FS, et al.. Universal definition of myocardial infarction. Circulation 2007;116:2634–53. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.187397.
[99] Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, Chaitman BR, Bax JJ, Morrow DA, et al. Fourth universal definition of myocardial infarction (2018). Circulation 2018;138:e618–51. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000617.
[100] Sandoval Y, Jaffe AS. Type 2 myocardial infarction. JACC review topic of the week J Am Coll Cardiol 2019;73:1846–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2019.02.018.
[101] McCarthy C, Murphy S, Cohen JA, Rehman S, Jones-O'Connor M, Olshan DS, et al. Misclassification of myocardial injury as myocardial infarction: implications for assessing outcomes in value-based programs. JAMA Cardiol 2019:Epub ahead of print. doi:10.1001/jamacardio.2019.0716.

Basic Structure–Function Relationships of the Electrical Heart [4,8]

[102] Benjamin EJ, Muntner P, Alonso A, Bittencourt MS, Callaway CW, Carson AP, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics — 2019 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2019;139:e56–528. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000659.
[103] Ottaviani G. Crib death — sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS). Sudden infant and perinatal unexplained death: the pathologist's viewpoint. 2nd ed. Heidelberg, Germany: Springer International Publishing AG; 2014. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-08347-6.
[104] Ottaviani G, Buja LM. Update on congenital heart disease and sudden infant/perinatal death: from history to future trends. J Clin Pathol 2017;70:555–62. https://doi.org/10.1136/jclinpath-2017-204326.
[105] Ottaviani G. Defining sudden infant death and sudden intrauterine unexpected death syndromes with regard to anatomo-pathological examination. Front Pediatr 2016;4:103. https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2016.00103.
[106] Jaster JH, Zamecnik J, Giannì AB, Ottaviani G. CO^2-related vasoconstriction superimposed on ischemic medullary brain autonomic nuclei may contribute to sudden death. Cardiovasc Pathol 2019;38:42–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2018.10.009.
[107] Steinhaus DA, Vittinghoff E, Moffatt E, Hart AP, Ursell P, Tseng ZH. Characteristics of sudden arrhythmic death in a diverse, urban community. Am Heart J 2012;163:125–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahj.2011.09.016.
[108] Myerburg RJ. Sudden cardiac death. interface between pathophysiology and epidemiology Card Electrophysiol Clin 2017;9:515–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccep.2017.07.003.
[109] Basso C, Burke M, Fornes P, Gallagher PJ, de Gouveia RH, Sheppard M, et al. Guidelines for autopsy investigation of sudden cardiac death. Virchows Arch 2008;452:11–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00428-007-0505-5.
[110] Basso C, Aguilera B, Banner J, Cohle S, D'Amati G, de Gouveia RH, et al. Guidelines for autopsy investigation of sudden cardiac death: 2017 update from the Association for European Cardiovascular Pathology. Virchows Arch 2017;471:691–705. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00428-017-2221-0.
[111] Buja LM, Willerson JT. Relationship of ischemic heart disease to sudden death. J Forensic Sci 1991;36:25–33.
[112] Prakash SK, Haden-Pinneri K, Milewicz DM. Susceptibility to acute thoracic aortic dissections in patients dying outside the hospital: an autopsy study. Am Heart J 2011;162:474–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahj.2011.06.020.
[113] Noseworthy PA, Newton-Cheh C. Genetic determinants of sudden cardiac death. Circulation 2008;118:1854–63. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.783654.
[114] Schwartz PJ, Crotti L, George AL. Modifier genes for sudden cardiac death. Eur Heart J 2018;39:3925–31. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehy502.
[115] Haider AW, Larson MG, Benjamin EJ, Levy D. Increased left ventricular mass and hypertrophy are associated with increased risk for sudden death. J Am Coll Cardiol 1998;32:1454–9.
[116] Reinier K, Dervan C, Singh T, Uy-Evanado A, Lai S, Gunson K, et al. Increased left ventricular mass and decreased left ventricular systolic function have independent

pathways to ventricular arrhythmogenesis in coronary artery disease. Hear Rhythm 2011;8:1177–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrthm.2011.02.037.
[117] Shenasa M, Shenasa H. Hypertension, left ventricular hypertrophy, and sudden cardiac death. Int J Cardiol 2017;237:60–3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2017.03.002.
[118] van der Harst P, van Setten J, Verweij N, Vogler G, Franke L, Maurano MT, et al. 52 genetic loci influencing myocardial mass. J Am Coll Cardiol 2016;68:1435–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2016.07.729.

Congenital Heart Disease [8]

[119] Ottaviani G, Buja LM. Congenital heart disease. In: Buja L, Butany J, editors. Cardiovasc. Pathol. 4th ed., New York: Elsevier; 2016, p. 611–47. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-420219-1.00014-8.
[120] Rickert-Sperling S, Kelly RG, Driscoll DJ, editors. Congenital heart diseases: the broken heart. Vienna: Springer Verlag; 2016. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7091-1883-2.
[121] Nemer M. Genetic insights into normal and abnormal heart development. Cardiovasc Pathol 2008;17:48–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2007.06.005.
[122] Cui M, Wang Z, Bassel-Duby R, Olson EN. Genetic and epigenetic regulation of cardiomyocytes in development, regeneration and disease. Development 2018;145. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.171983.
[123] Wang J, Liu S, Heallen T, Martin JF. The Hippo pathway in the heart: pivotal roles in development, disease, and regeneration. Nat Rev Cardiol 2018;15:672–84. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41569-018-0063-3.
[124] Schoen FJ. Introduction to congenital heart disease articles in cardiovascular pathology. Cardiovasc Pathol 2010;19:257–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2010.04.008.
[125] Thiene G, Frescura C. Anatomical and pathophysiological classification of congenital heart disease. Cardiovasc Pathol 2010;19:259–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2010.02.006.
[126] Edwards WD. Postoperative pathology of congenital heart disease. Cardiovasc Pathol 2010;19:275–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2010.02.004.
[127] McManus B. Adult congenital heart disease — challenges and opportunities for pathologists. Cardiovasc Pathol 2010;19:281–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2009.10.005.

Valvular Heart Disease [6]

[128] Schoen FJ. Cardiac valves and valvular pathology. Cardiovasc Pathol 2005;14:189–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2005.03.005.
[129] Watkins DA, Johnson CO, Colquhoun SM, Karthikeyan G, Beaton A, Bukhman G, et al. Global, regional, and national burden of rheumatic heart disease, 1990-2015. N Engl J Med 2017;377:713–22. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1603693.
[130] Arora S, Ramm CJ, Bahekar AA, Vavalle JP. Evaluating health of emerging economies through the eyes of heart valve disease in the transcatheter era. Glob Heart 2017;12:301–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gheart.2017.01.016.
[131] Yap S-C, Takkenberg JJ, Witsenburg M, Meijboom FJ, Roos-Hesselink JW. Aortic stenosis at young adult age. Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther 2005;3:1087–98. https://doi.org/10.1586/14779072.3.6.1087.
[132] Yutzey KE, Demer LL, Body SC, Huggins GS, Towler DA, Giachelli CM, et al. Calcific aortic valve disease: a consensus summary from the Alliance of Investigators on Calcific Aortic Valve Disease. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2014;34:2387–93. https://doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.114.302523.
[133] Coté N, Mahmut A, Bosse Y, Couture C, Pagé S, Trahan S, et al. Inflammation is associated with the remodeling of calcific aortic valve disease. Inflammation 2013;36:573–81. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10753-012-9579-6.
[134] Akahori H, Tsujino T, Masuyama T, Ishihara M. Mechanisms of aortic stenosis. J Cardiol 2018;71:215–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjcc.2017.11.007.
[135] Wirrig EE, Yutzey KE. Transcriptional regulation of heart valve development and disease. Cardiovasc Pathol 2011;20:162–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2010.06.010.
[136] Grewal N, Girdauskas E, DeRuiter M, Goumans M-J, Poelmann RE, Klautz RJM, et al. The role of hemodynamics in bicuspid aortopathy: a histopathologic study. Cardiovasc Pathol 2019;41:29–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2019.03.002.
[137] Gomel MA, Lee R, Grande-Allen KJ. Comparing the role of mechanical forces in vascular and valvular calcification progression. Front Cardiovasc Med 2018;5:197. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcvm.2018.00197.
[138] Enriquez-Sarano M, Akins CW, Vahanian A. Mitral regurgitation. Lancet 2009;373:1382–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60692-9.
[139] Yahagi K, Ladich E, Kutys R, Mori H, Svensson LG, Mack MJ, et al. Pathology of balloon-expandable transcatheter aortic valves. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2017;90:1048–57. https://doi.org/10.1002/ccd.27160.
[140] Fishbein GA, Schoen FJ, Fishbein MC. Transcatheter aortic valve implantation: status and challenges. Cardiovasc Pathol 2014;23:65–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2013.10.001.
[141] Loeser H, Wittersheim M, Puetz K, Friemann J, Buettner R, Fries JWU. Potential complications of transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) — an autopsy perspective. Cardiovasc Pathol 2013;22:319–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2013.01.006.
[142] Markham R, Kyranis S, Aroney N, Lau K, Poon K, Scalia G, et al. Transcatheter mitral valve intervention: an emerging treatment for mitral regurgitation. Intern Med J 2018;48:382–90. https://doi.org/10.1111/imj.13750.
[143] Tabata N, Sinning J-M, Kaikita K, Tsujita K, Nickenig G, Werner N. Current status and future perspective of structural heart disease intervention. J Cardiol 2019. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjcc.2019.02.022.
[144] Kitkungvan D, Nabi F, Kim RJ, Bonow RO, Khan MA, Xu J, et al. Myocardial fibrosis in patients with primary mitral regurgitation with and without prolapse. J Am Coll Cardiol 2018;72:823–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2018.06.048.

Cardiomyopathies and Myocarditis

[145] Report of the WHO/ISFC task force on the definition and classification of cardiomyopathies. Br Heart J 1980;44:672–3. https://doi.org/10.1136/hrt.44.6.672.
[146] Thiene G, Basso C, Calabrese F, Angelini A, Valente M. Twenty years of progress and beckoning frontiers in cardiovascular pathology. Cardiovasc Pathol 2005;14:165–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2005.03.008.
[147] Poller W, Kühl U, Tschoepe C, Pauschinger M, Fechner H, Schultheiss H-P. Genome–environment interactions in the molecular pathogenesis of dilated cardiomyopathy. J Mol Med (Berl) 2005;83:579–86. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00109-005-0664-2.
[148] Weintraub RG, Semsarian C, Macdonald P. Dilated cardiomyopathy. Lancet (London, England) 2017;390:400–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31713-5.
[149] Maron BJ, Towbin JA, Thiene G, Antzelevitch C, Corrado D, Arnett D, et al. Contemporary definitions and classification of the cardiomyopathies: an American Heart Association scientific statement from the Council on Clinical Cardiology, Heart Failure and Transplantation Committee; Quality of Care and Outcomes Research and Functional Genomics and Translational Biology Interdisciplinary Working Groups; and Council on Epidemiology and Prevention. Circulation 2006;113:1807–16. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.174287.
[150] Elliott P, Andersson B, Arbustini E, Bilinska Z, Cecchi F, Charron P, et al. Classification of the cardiomyopathies: a position statement from the European Society of Cardiology Working Group on Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases. Eur Heart J 2008;29:270–6. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehm342.
[151] Thiene G, Corrado D, Basso C. Revisiting definition and classification of cardiomyopathies in the era of molecular medicine. Eur Heart J 2008;29:144–6. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehm585.
[152] Braunwald E. Cardiomyopathies: an overview. Circ Res 2017;121:711–21. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.311812.
[153] Lee TM, Hsu DT, Kantor P, Towbin JA, Ware SM, Colan SD, et al. Pediatric cardiomyopathies. Circ Res 2017;121:855–73. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.309386.
[154] Jan MF, Tajik AJ. Modern imaging techniques in cardiomyopathies. Circ Res 2017;121:874–91. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.309600.
[155] McKenna WJ, Maron BJ, Thiene G. Classification, epidemiology, and global burden of cardiomyopathies. Circ Res 2017;121:722–30. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.309711.
[156] McNally EM, Mestroni L. Dilated cardiomyopathy: genetic determinants and mechanisms. Circ Res 2017;121:731–48. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.309396.
[157] Marian AJ, Braunwald E. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: genetics, pathogenesis, clinical manifestations, diagnosis, and therapy. Circ Res 2017;121:749–70. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.311059.
[158] Nishimura RA, Seggewiss H, Schaff HV. Hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy: surgical myectomy and septal ablation. Circ Res 2017;121:771–83. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.309348.
[159] Corrado D, Basso C, Judge DP. Arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy. Circ Res 2017;121:784–802. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.309345.
[160] Trachtenberg BH, Hare JM. Inflammatory cardiomyopathic syndromes. Circ Res 2017;121:803–18. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.310221.
[161] Muchtar E, Blauwet LA, Gertz MA. Restrictive cardiomyopathy: genetics, pathogenesis, clinical manifestations, diagnosis, and therapy. Circ Res 2017;121:819–37. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.310982.
[162] Towbin JA, Jefferies JL. Cardiomyopathies due to left ventricular noncompaction, mitochondrial and storage diseases, and inborn errors of metabolism. Circ Res 2017;121:838–54. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.310987.

Cardiac Repair and Regeneration

[163] Blau HM, Daley GQ. Stem cells in the treatment of disease. N Engl J Med 2019;380:1748–60. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1716145.
[164] Buja LM, Vela D. Cardiomyocyte death and renewal in the normal and diseased heart. Cardiovasc Pathol 2008;17:349–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2008.02.004.
[165] Buja LM. Cardiac repair and the putative role of stem cells. J Mol Cell Cardiol 2019;128:96–104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yjmcc.2019.01.022.
[166] Chien KR, Frisén J, Fritsche-Danielson R, Melton DA, Murry CE, Weissman IL. Regenerating the field of cardiovascular cell therapy. Nat Biotechnol 2019;37:232–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0042-1.
[167] Madonna R, Van Laake LW, Botker HE, Davidson SM, De Caterina R, Engel FB, et al. ESC Working Group on Cellular Biology of the Heart: position paper for cardiovascular research: tissue engineering strategies combined with cell therapies for cardiac repair in ischaemic heart disease and heart failure. Cardiovasc Res 2019;115:488–500. https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvz010.
[168] Eschenhagen T, Bolli R, Braun T, Field LJ, Fleischmann BK, Frisén J, et al. Cardiomyocyte regeneration: a consensus statement. Circulation 2017;136:680–6. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.029343.
[169] Barile L, Lionetti V. Prometheus's heart: what lies beneath. J Cell Mol Med 2012;16:228–36. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1582-4934.2011.01487.x.

[170] Franklin S, Kimball T, Rasmussen TL, Rosa-Garrido M, Chen H, Tran T, et al. The chromatin-binding protein Smyd1 restricts adult mammalian heart growth. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2016;311:H1234–47. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.00235.2016.
[171] Leach JP, Heallen T, Zhang M, Rahmani M, Morikawa Y, Hill MC, et al. Hippo pathway deficiency reverses systolic heart failure after infarction. Nature 2017;550:260–4. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24045.
[172] Vela D, Gahremanpour A, Buja LM. Method for sectioning and sampling hearts for histologic evaluation after delivery of biological agents by transendocardial injection. Cardiovasc Pathol 2015;24:304–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2015.04.005.

Heart Failure

[173] Kemp CD, Conte J V. The pathophysiology of heart failure. Cardiovasc Pathol n.d.;21:365–71. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2011.11.007.
[174] Fedak PWM, Verma S, Weisel RD, Li R-K. Cardiac remodeling and failure. Cardiovasc Pathol 2005;14:1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2004.12.002.
[175] Fedak PWM, Verma S, Weisel RD, Li R-K. Cardiac remodeling and failure. Cardiovasc Pathol 2005;14:49–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2005.01.005.
[176] Ottaviani G, Radovancevic R, Kar B, Gregoric I, Buja LM. Pathological assessment of end-stage heart failure in explanted hearts in correlation with hemodynamics in patients undergoing orthotopic heart transplantation. Cardiovasc Pathol 2015;24:283–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2015.06.002.
[177] Ottaviani G, Segura AM, Rajapreyar IN, Zhao B, Radovancevic R, Loyalka P, et al. Left ventricular noncompaction cardiomyopathy in end-stage heart failure patients undergoing orthotopic heart transplantation. Cardiovasc Pathol 2016;25:293–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2016.03.004.
[178] Glass CK, Mitchell RN. Winning the battle, but losing the war: mechanisms and morphology of cancer-therapy-associated cardiovascular toxicity. Cardiovasc Pathol 2017;30:55–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2017.06.009.

Tumors of the Heart and Blood Vessels

[179] Odim J, Reehal V, Laks H, Mehta U, Fishbein MC. Surgical pathology of cardiac tumors. Two decades at an urban institution Cardiovasc Pathol 2003;12:267–70.
[180] North PE, Waner M, Buckmiller L, James CA, Mihm MC. Vascular tumors of infancy and childhood: beyond capillary hemangioma. Cardiovasc Pathol 2006;15:303–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2006.03.001.
[181] Thomas-de-Montpréville V, Nottin R, Dulmet E, Serraf A. Heart tumors in children and adults: clinicopathological study of 59 patients from a surgical center. Cardiovasc Pathol 2007;16:22–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2006.05.008.
[182] Coard KCM. Primary tumors of the heart: experience at the University Hospital of the West Indies. Cardiovasc Pathol 2007;16:98–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2006.09.006.
[183] Burke A, Virmani R. Pediatric heart tumors. Cardiovasc Pathol 2008;17:193–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2007.08.008.
[184] Patel J, Sheppard MN. Pathological study of primary cardiac and pericardial tumours in a specialist UK centre: surgical and autopsy series. Cardiovasc Pathol 2010;19:343–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2009.07.005.
[185] Strecker T, Rösch J, Weyand M, Agaimy A. Primary and metastatic cardiac tumors: imaging characteristics, surgical treatment, and histopathological spectrum: a 10-year-experience at a German heart center. Cardiovasc Pathol 2012;21:436–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2011.12.004.
[186] Agaimy A, Rösch J, Weyand M, Strecker T. Primary and metastatic cardiac sarcomas: a 12-year experience at a German heart center. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 2012;5:928–38.
[187] Barreiro M, Renilla A, Jimenez JM, Martin M, Al Musa T, Garcia L, et al. Primary cardiac tumors: 32 years of experience from a Spanish tertiary surgical center. Cardiovasc Pathol 2013;22:424–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2013.04.006.
[188] Saad AM, Abushouk AI, Al-Husseini MJ, Salahia S, Alrefai A, Afifi AM, et al. Characteristics, survival and incidence rates and trends of primary cardiac malignancies in the United States. Cardiovasc Pathol 2018;33:27–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2017.12.001.
[189] Wang J-G, Wang B, Hu Y, Liu J-H, Liu B, Liu H, et al. Clinicopathologic features and outcomes of primary cardiac tumors: a 16-year-experience with 212 patients at a Chinese medical center. Cardiovasc Pathol 2018;33:45–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2018.01.003.
[190] Basso C, Valente M, Thiene G, editors. Cardiac Tumor Pathology. Springer: London and New York; 2013. p. 197.
[191] Burk A, Tavora FR, Maleszewski JJ, Frazier AA. Tumors of the Heart and Great Vessels. AFIP Atlas of Tumor Pathology. 2015;22(4):419 Silver Spring, Maryland: ARP Press.