Correlations of the liquefaction resistance of sands in spreader dumps of lignite opencast mines with CPT tip resistance and shear wave velocity
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ABSTRACT

Correlations between the liquefaction resistance of sands in spreader dumps of lignite opencast mines on one side and the CPT tip resistance or the shear wave velocity on the other side have been developed based on an extensive experimental study on 10 different sands. The cyclic stress ratio \( CRR(N_f = 10) \) for a failure in 10 cycles, defined as the liquefaction resistance herein, was determined from undrained cyclic triaxial tests for all materials. The CPT tip resistance \( q_c \) was measured in soundings performed in a calibration chamber. The shear wave velocity \( v_S \) was obtained from measurements in a triaxial cell by means of bender elements. All three quantities \( CRR(N_f = 10), q_c \) and \( v_S \) were determined for different relative densities \( D_r \). In case of \( q_c \) and \( v_S \), also the pressure-dependence was examined in order to derive suitable equations for a pressure-normalization being necessary for the interpretation of field test data, giving values \( q_{c1} \) and \( v_{S1} \) for a mean effective stress of \( p'_e = 100 \text{ kPa} \). The procedure for the development of the \( CRR(N_f = 10)=q_{c1} \) and \( CRR(N_f = 10)=v_{S1} \) correlations based on the experimental data is explained. The correlations derived for the various sands in the present study are analyzed with respect to the influence of the grain size distribution curve and compared to respective relationships for natural soils or uncompacted recent artificial fills from the literature.

1. Introduction

The liquefaction resistance of a ground is often evaluated based on correlations with the CPT or SPT penetration resistance \([5,6,10,19,23,24,27,28,30-33]\) or with the shear wave velocity \([4,10,11,20,29,35]\). Examples for respective correlation diagrams are shown in Figs. 1–3. They are showing a cyclic resistance ratio, defined as the shear stress amplitude \( \tau_{ampl} \) causing a liquefaction in a certain number of cycles divided by the vertical effective stress \( p'_e \) on the ordinate and the penetration resistance or shear wave velocity on the abscissa. Such diagrams have been developed by collecting field data from CPT or SPT (tip resistance \( q_c \) in case of CPT, blow count \( N_BT \) in case of SPT) or shear wave velocity measurements \( v_S \). Furthermore, one needs information regarding the cyclic stress ratio CSR induced in the ground by an earthquake and whether this action has lead to a liquefaction or not. Combinations of CSR with \( q_c, N_BT \) or \( v_S \) having lead to liquefaction are usually marked by filled symbols (see Figs. 1–3), while the symbols for combinations without any visible signs of liquefaction remain empty. Finally, a bounding curve is drawn, separating the “liquefaction” from the “no liquefaction” cases. These bounding curves sometimes consider further parameters like fines content or mean grain size. They are usually established for a certain earthquake magnitude \( M_w \). Curves for other magnitudes can be obtained by multiplication with a correction factor \( M\sigma \). However, almost all such correlations proposed in the literature are valid for natural soils only (see Figs. 1 and 2). A first CSR-\( v_S \) correlation diagram for uncompacted recent artificial fills has been recently proposed by Dobry et al. \([11]\) (Fig. 3). No correlations for an estimation of the liquefaction resistance of such fills based on CPT or SPT field data exist so far. The experimental study presented in this paper is part of an ongoing research done in the laboratory of the Institute of Soil Mechanics and Rock Mechanics (IBF) at Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT). This research is dedicated to the soils deposited in the dumps of the opencast mines in the Rhenish lignite-mining area. After the depletion of the mines in several years to decades, the remaining holes of the currently three active mines will be recultivated as lakes. The deposited soils in the dumps will then form the embankment of these lakes. Since the Rhenish lignite-mining area lies in a region with seismic activity the
liquefaction resistance of the deposited soils is of interest. The maximum moment magnitude \( MW \) of earthquakes in the Lower Rhine Embayment based on palaeoseismic and tectonic studies has been estimated to 6.8–7.0 (e.g. Refs. [3,13,14]). Such strong earthquakes have return periods of several thousand years. Despite the existence of to date 57 mining lakes in this region, there was only one minor local effect of slope deformation, induced by the 1992 Roermond earthquake \( (MW = 5.4, [8]) \) which was obviously not caused by liquefaction \[26\].

However, the embankments of the presently planned mining lakes are designed for the ground motion of an \( MW = 6 \) earthquake with a typical number of equivalent cycles of \( N_{eq} = 10 \) and the corresponding distance from the epicenter of 5 km.

For an evaluation of the liquefaction resistance of the sands in the spreader dumps based on CPT or shear wave velocity field data, suitable correlation diagrams are needed. The applicability of the available correlations for natural soils to these artificial fills cannot be presumed in view of the significant differences in fabric resulting from the deposition process (see Ref. [36] for details). Therefore, specific correlation diagrams for the sands in the spreader dumps have to be established. In contrast to the diagrams in Figs. 1–3 these new correlations cannot be based on \( CSR \leftrightarrow q_c \) or \( CSR \leftrightarrow \nu_s \) field data, because such data do not exist since the embankments have not been formed yet. The sands in the dumps of the three active mines in the Rhenish lignite-mining area are currently in a partially saturated state. Collecting \( CSR \leftrightarrow q_c \) or \( CSR \leftrightarrow \nu_s \) field data for correlation diagrams requires a water-saturation of the sands, however, and the occurrence of several earthquakes of different magnitudes to collect a sufficient amount of data for the correlations. Therefore, in order to judge the liquefaction resistance of the dumps before flooding of the lakes, another procedure had to be chosen for the development of the correlation diagrams. The new diagrams are based on an extensive laboratory study, involving undrained cyclic triaxial tests, CPT data in a calibration chamber and shear wave velocity measurements. Several typical sands from the spreader dumps have been considered in the study.

A parametric study on the liquefaction resistance with numerous undrained cyclic triaxial tests performed on various soils from the dumps in the Rhenish lignite-mining area is documented in Ref. [36]. Field tests with the aim to determine the relative density in the dumps are also described in Ref. [36]. Typical CPT data from a dump in the Rhenish lignite-mining area, showing rather small values of tip resistance \( q_{ct} \), are provided in Fig. 4.

### 2. Tested materials

Ten materials were involved in the present study. Eight of them were sampled in the spreader dumps of the opencast mines in the Rhenish lignite-mining area. The nomination of these sands has been overtaken from Ref. [36], see Table 1. Two standard sands of the IBF, named C1 and C2, were also included in the program. The grain size distribution curves of all tested materials are summarized in Fig. 5. They encompass the whole bandwidth of granular soils typically encountered in the dumps of the opencast mines under consideration. The parameters of the test materials are provided in Table 1. The fines content \( FC \) of the soils from the dumps consists of low to highly plastic...
diameter and 10 cm in height. Parts of the samples were prepared by a special method developed to reproduce the deposition process in a spreader dumps and thus the initial fabric generated by this process. In this “free fall” method (see also [36]) the moist sand falls out of a certain height (usually 1.5 m) in the split mould. Different initial densities were achieved by varying the water content during preparation. The maximum relative densities that can be achieved by this method are limited, however, depending on the grain size distribution of the test material. In order to reach higher densities than those reported in Ref. [36], additional samples were prepared by moist tamping in eight layers using a degree of undercompaction of 10% according to Ladd [21]. After preparation, all samples were fully saturated with demineralized deaerated water using a back pressure of 500 kPa, consolidated isotropically under a mean effective stress of \( p'_{\text{o}} = 100 \) kPa and then subjected to an undrained cyclic loading till failure. Failure was defined as reaching an axial strain of \( \varepsilon_{\text{ax}} = 10\% \) during either compression or extension. Beside the variation of density, each material was tested under various amplitudes of cyclic loading.

Typical test results for sands from the spreader dumps are presented in Fig. 5. The diagrams in Fig. 6 collects the results of all tests performed in the present study, in diagrams giving the cyclic stress ratio \( CSR = q / (2p'_{\text{m}}) \) as a function of the number of cycles to failure. Each data point belongs to a single test. The relative density \( D_r = (\varepsilon_{\text{max}} - \varepsilon_{\text{min}}) / (\varepsilon_{\text{max}} - \varepsilon_{\text{min}}) \) of the respective test, measured after the closure of the drainage lines, is given beside the data points. Points with similar densities are fitted with curves. The average density of the respective tests is given beside the curves. The diagrams in Fig. 6 show the well-known increase of the applicable number of cycles with a reduction in amplitude and an increase in density. The liquefaction resistance \( CRR(N_f = 10) \) (cyclic resistance ratio) was read out of the diagrams in Fig. 6, on the curves for the different \( D_r \) values, as the cyclic stress ratio causing failure in \( N_f = 10 \) cycles. The number of cycles \( N_f = 10 \) was chosen because it is typical for an earthquake in the Rhenish lignite-mining area.

In Fig. 7 the \( CRR(N_f = 10) \) values are given as a function of relative density \( D_r \) for all tested materials. The linear relationship between \( CRR(N_f = 10) \) and \( D_r \) for the soils from the dumps in Ref. [36] is confirmed by the data in Fig. 7 at even the higher relative densities tested in the present study. Although the \( CRR(N_f = 10)\)-data of some of the soils (e.g. C1, D14) could be approximated even slightly better by nonlinear curves, linear functions are regarded as sufficient in the range of tested densities. The quality of the fit can be judged based on the adjusted R-squares \( R^2 \) given in the legend of Fig. 7 (obtained with program Origin). A sharp increase of \( CRR(N_f = 10) \) at larger densities, as it is sometimes reported in the literature [34], has not been observed for the soils from the dumps within the tested \( D_r \) range. In Fig. 7, for a given relative density, the lowest liquefaction resistance is observed for the material D16 having the highest fines content. The largest \( CRR(N_f = 10) \) values were obtained for the clean medium coarse sand C2.

The dependencies between the liquefaction resistance and the parameters of the grain size distribution curve can be judged based on Fig. 8. It shows data for two different relative densities, \( D_r = 30\% \) and 60%. The data for \( D_r = 30\% \) are the same as analyzed in Ref. [36], but supplemented by the results for material D16 (the \( CRR(D_r) \) curve for D16 in Fig. 7 had to be extrapolated to \( D_r = 30\% \)) and restricted to the ten soils considered in the present paper. In contrast to Ref. [36] the additional tests on samples prepared by moist tamping allow the analysis of the dependencies at a higher relative density, i.e. at \( D_r = 60\% \). The decrease of the liquefaction resistance with increasing plastic fines content known from Ref. [36] for \( D_r = 30\% \) is visible also in the reduced data set in Fig. 8a. It is even more pronounced in the present study due to the additional data for D16 having a high fines content of almost 40%. The rise of \( CRR(N_f = 10) \) with increasing mean grain size \( d_{50} \) is more evident in the data set for \( D_r = 30\% \) (Fig. 8b) than for \( D_r = 60\% \) (Fig. 8c). Neglecting the single data point for sand D11, Fig. 8c

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mat.</th>
<th>FC</th>
<th>( d_{50} )</th>
<th>( C_u )</th>
<th>( d_{\text{max}} )</th>
<th>( d_{\text{min}} )</th>
<th>( e_{\text{min}} )</th>
<th>( e_{\text{max}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.290</td>
<td>1.580</td>
<td>0.677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D13</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.283</td>
<td>1.698</td>
<td>0.543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D11</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.245</td>
<td>1.631</td>
<td>0.619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.413</td>
<td>1.682</td>
<td>0.564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D9</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.428</td>
<td>1.776</td>
<td>0.486</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 4.** Example of CPT data from a dump in the Rhenish lignite-mining area.

**Fig. 5.** Grain size distribution curves of the tested materials.
and $f$ give hints for a reduction of the liquefaction resistance with growing uniformity coefficient $C_u$. The data set for a larger number of materials analyzed in Ref. [36], however, allowed no final conclusion regarding a possible $C_u$ dependence of $CRR(N_f = 10)$. A comparison of the observed tendencies with the literature is undertaken in Ref. [36].

4. **CPT soundings in the calibration chamber**

A scheme of the calibration chamber for CPT at the IBF is shown in Fig. 9. It allows testing of cylindrical samples with a diameter of 1 m and a height of 1.5 m. The lateral boundary of the cell and the bottom and top plates are equipped with membranes. By applying an air pressure to these membranes the sample can be set under an isotropic or anisotropic state of stress. Only isotropic stress conditions were tested in the present study so far. The maximum pressure applicable to the membranes is 300 kPa. The top plate includes a central hole with a vertical guidance through which a standard CPT probe (the same as used in field testing) can be pushed into the sample up to a depth of about 1.2 m. The tests were realized with a constant penetration velocity of 16 mm/s by means of a hydraulic system.

All samples were prepared by moist tamping in 15 layers each having a thickness of about 10 cm. The water contents lay in the range

---

**Fig. 6.** Cyclic stress ratio $CSR = q_{amp}/(2p')$ as a function of the number of cycles to failure for all tested materials obtained from undrained cyclic triaxial tests.
5% ≤ w ≤ 15% (in detail: 5.8% ≤ w ≤ 11.2% for D13, 7.3% ≤ w ≤ 8.5% for D14, 6.6% ≤ w ≤ 11.4% for D15 and 5.4% ≤ w ≤ 14.7% for D16), corresponding to degrees of saturation in the range 22.7% ≤ S ≤ 55.7% (in detail: 22.7% ≤ S ≤ 48.0% for D13, 29.5% ≤ S ≤ 35.7% for D14, 29.9% ≤ S ≤ 55.7% for D15 and 24.2% ≤ S ≤ 54.1% for D16). The tests in the calibration chamber were performed on partially saturated samples, because the CPT in the spreader dumps, which will be used as the basis for the evaluation of the liquefaction resistance, will be performed before flooding of the lakes. It should be mentioned that even after flooding the soils in the dumps will probably not have reached a fully water-saturated state (see the test series on the influence of the degree of saturation in Ref. [36]).

The range of water contents chosen in the calibration chamber tests agrees well with the range encountered in the dumps in field tests. The field tests revealed that sands with higher plastic fines contents usually possess a higher water content.

After completion of a test, the local distribution of relative density in the large sample was determined by taking subsamples by means of thin-walled stainless steel tubes measuring 150 mm in diameter and 170 mm in height (Fig. 10). The samples were taken in four different depths, in a distance of about 250 mm from the center of the calibration chamber. In the upper three depths three samples per layer were taken equally distributed over the cross-section. In the lowest layer only two samples could be taken, because a person had to enter the chamber for sampling. Unfortunately, no direct information of the local relative density in the central part of the sample, i.e. in the line of sounding can be obtained, since the soil is disturbed (compacted) there as a result of the sounding. The average value of all tube samples of a certain layer is set into approach as the relative density of that layer.

A typical result of a CPT in the calibration chamber is shown in Fig. 11. It has been obtained for the material D14 in a test with a pressure $p = 100$ kPa. Fig. 11a presents the development of the tip resistance $q_c$ with depth $z$. The strong initial increase of $q_c$ up to a depth of

![Fig. 11](image)
0.2 m is caused by the penetration of the CPT probe into the sample. The tip resistance remains almost constant during further penetration, showing a very small reduction with depth only. The course of shaft friction $f_z$ with depth resembles that of $q_c$ (Fig. 11b), leading to a rather constant friction ratio of $R_f = f_z/q_c \approx 0.4\%$ (Fig. 11c), which lies in the range of typical values for sand. Fig. 11d presents the variation of relative density $D_r$ with depth, evaluated based on the subsamples taken during the dismantling of the sample. The filled circular symbols represent the $D_r$ values of the individual tubes, while the continuous line connects the average $D_r$ values calculated for each depth. The relative density shows a slight decrease with depth, which is the reason for the similar tendencies in the curves $q_c(z)$ and $f_z(z)$. The water content $w$ determined based on the tube samples is shown in a similar representation in Fig. 11e. It is almost constant in the whole sample. For each layer of tube samples an average value of the tip resistance $q_c$ was determined, shown as the filled circular symbols in Fig. 11a. The averaging of the $q_c(z)$ curve was undertaken over the height of the tube sample (170 mm). A correction of the values $q_c = d_{chamber}$ measured in the calibration chamber tests by a factor $K_{cc}$ in order to convert them to values $d_{field}$ to be expected in the field is not undertaken in this paper. It is unclear so far if the available correction functions in the literature, e.g. the one proposed by Mayne & Kulhawy [22].

$$K_{cc} = \left( \frac{\eta - A}{\eta_0} \right)^{-D_r/B}$$

with the ratio $\eta = D_1/d_r$ of the diameters of the calibration chamber ($D_1$) and the CPT probe ($d_r$) and three parameters $A$, $B$ and $\eta_0$, are applicable to the rather loose soils from the dumps. For orientation, with the diameters $D_1 = 1.0$ m and $d_r = 36$ mm of the applied equipment and assuming $A = 0$ and $\eta_0 = 60$ (similar to Ref. [9]), Eq. (1) delivers values of the correction factor $K_{cc}$ between 1.05 (for loose sand and $B = 1$) and 2.0 (for dense sand and $B = 4$). The development of a suitable $K_{cc}$ factor for the soils from the dumps is planned for the future, based on CPT in calibration chambers which different sample diameters. In the following, the $q_c$ values measured in the calibration chamber are presented and further analysed without applying such correction.

Due to the large effort for a single test, only four of the ten materials introduced in Section 2 have been tested in the calibration chamber so far, namely the sands D13 to D16. In a first series of tests, for each soil several samples having different densities were tested at a pressure $p = 100$ kPa. In a second test series performed on each soil, the pressure was varied between 0 and 300 kPa in steps of 25 or 50 kPa. In this second series all samples had a similar density. For each combination of density and pressure a new sample was prepared. Preliminary multi-stage tests in which different pressures were applied in succession have been proven as unfeasible.

Fig. 12 presents the results of the first test series performed with a variation of density and a constant pressure $p = 100$ kPa. The average $q_c$ values (filled circular symbols in Fig. 11a) are plotted versus the corresponding average $D_r$ values (connected by the line in Fig. 11d). Only the data of the three lower layers of tube samples taken at depths $0.4 \leq z \leq 1.2$ m have been considered in Fig. 12. The data of the uppermost layer ($z \geq 0.2$ m) has been omitted since it may be falsified by boundary effects, in view of its vicinity to the point of entrance of the CPT probe. For all four tested materials, the data in Fig. 12 show an overproportional increase of the tip resistance with increasing relative density. Obviously, for a certain $D_r$, the $q_c$ values of the materials D13 and D16 lie significantly below those of D14 and D15. This may be a consequence of the higher plastic fines content of these materials (D13: $FC = 10.7\%$, D16: $FC = 39.8\%$). The scatter of data for the material D15 is larger than for all other tested soils, probably because of its larger content of gravelly particles. For comparison, the $q_c(D_r)$ relationships provided in German standard code DIN 4094–1 [1] for natural granular soils, in particular uniformly graded sands and sand-gravel mixtures, have been added to Fig. 12. The data of the present test series shows some discrepancies from the DIN curves, revealing that the dependence of the $q_c(D_r)$ relationship on the grain size distribution curve is somewhat more complex for the sands in the spreader dumps. Further research with additional tests on other materials from the dumps is necessary to work out this dependence more clearly.

The pressure-dependence of $q_c$ is inspected in Fig. 13, based on the data from the second test series with a variation of $p$ at almost constant density for a certain soil. When calculating the mean stress from $p = (\sigma_1 + 2\sigma_0)/3$ the vertical stress $\sigma_0$ in the middle of the sample resulting from the self-weight of the sample has been considered. The corresponding portion of radial stress is estimated from $\sigma_{0,\text{rad}} = K_0 \sigma_0$ with $K_0 = 0.5$. The portion of mean stress resulting from the self-weight of the soil sample amounts $\sigma_0 \approx 10$ kPa. The state of stress caused by the self-weight is superposed by the isotropic stress applied via the membranes of the calibration chamber. The ranges of relative densities
obtained from the tube samples in this test series, which are inevitable despite constant target density, are given in the legend of Fig. 13. They were somewhat different for the four tested materials. The scatter of data in Fig. 13 mainly results from variations in density, and is again larger for the coarsest material D15. Despite that scatter an underproportional increase of the tip resistance with pressure is evident in Fig. 13 for all four tested materials.

In order to evaluate CPT field test data with respect to the liquefaction resistance, the tip resistance $q_c$ measured in a certain depth and thus at a certain vertical effective stress $\nu$ is converted to a value $q_{c1}$ at a certain reference stress $\nu_1 = 98$ or 100 kPa [18,29,30,32]:

$$q_{c1} = C_{qc} q_c$$

(2)

In order to derive a suitable correction factor $C_{qc}$, the data in Fig. 13 have been further analyzed in Fig. 14. First, the scatter has been reduced by converting the measured data to $q_c$ values for the average relative density $D_r$ of the tests performed on a certain material, using the curves $q_c(D_r)$ shown in Fig. 12. The average values $D_r$ are given in the legend of Fig. 14. In a second step, the $q_c$ data were divided by $q_{c1}$, which is defined as the $q_c$ value at the reference pressure $p = 100$ kPa. Note that $\sigma'_{\nu} \approx p' = p$ holds for the tests of the present study with their
almost isotropic stress conditions. Despite the large scatter of data in Fig. 14 a curve-fitting was undertaken using the following function

\[ q_{c1} = \frac{1}{C_{qc}} = \left( \frac{p}{100} \right)^c \]  

(3)

delivering a constant \( c = 0.59 \) (see solid curve in Fig. 14).

It should be kept in mind that the pressure-dependence of \( q_c \) has been studied for a narrow range of relative densities only. Therefore, the application of Eq. (3) to lower or higher relative densities could lead to some error, which cannot be quantified yet. However, usually no \( D_r \) dependence is considered in formulas like (3) proposed in the literature [18,29,30,32].

5. Correlation between CPT tip resistance and liquefaction resistance

The procedure for the development of a correlation between the CPT tip resistance measured in the calibration chamber and the liquefaction resistance \( CRR(N_f = 10) \) derived from the undrained cyclic triaxial tests is shown in Fig. 15, based on the data for the sand D13. Fig. 15a repeats the relationship between \( CRR(N_f = 10) \) and relative density \( D_r \) for \( p'_0 = 100 \) kPa from Fig. 7, while Fig. 15c contains the \( q_{c1} \)-\( D_r \) relationship from Fig. 12, but shown with reversed axes. Since all tests in Fig. 12 have been performed with \( p = 100 \) kPa, \( q_{c1} = q_c \) holds for this data set. For certain values of relative density \( D_r \), the corresponding values of \( CRR(N_f = 10) \) and \( q_{c1} \) are taken from the diagrams in Fig. 15a and c and plotted against each other in the \( CRR(N_f = 10) \)-\( q_{c1} \) diagram provided in Fig. 15b. The points for different \( D_r \) values are connected forming the correlation between \( CRR(N_f = 10) \) and \( q_{c1} \). In this case, \( CRR(N_f = 10) \) grows somewhat underproportional with \( q_{c1} \).

Note that the relative density \( D_r = (e_{max} - e)/(e_{max} - e_{min}) \) is evaluated with constant values of \( e_{max} \) and \( e_{min} \) determined from standard laboratory tests at zero pressure (\( p = 0 \)) in this study. An application of an alternative definition of \( D_r \), using pressure-dependent \( e_{max} \) and \( e_{min} \) values derived from oedometric or isotropic compression tests [25], would not change the correlation curve in Fig. 15b. This is due to the fact that \( D_r \) is only an auxiliary quantity in the development of the correlation curve, used to read data from both types of test at the same state, i.e. at same \( D_r \).

The \( CRR(N_f = 10) \)-\( q_{c1} \) correlations derived for the four soils D13 - D16 are collected in Fig. 16. For comparison the relationships for natural soils from the literature [16,17, after Dobry & Abdoun [10]].

Fig. 16. Comparison of the \( CRR(N_f = 10) \)-\( q_{c1} \) correlations derived for the four tested sands D13 - D16 with relationships for natural soils and \( M_w = 7.5 \) from the literature (Idriss & Boulanger [16,17], after Dobry & Abdoun [10]).
while the published relationships for natural soils reveal the opposite trend, i.e. a strongly overproportional increase of CRR at higher values of tip resistance. Typical values of the pressure-normalized tip resistance $q_{c1}$ in the spreader dumps of the Rhenish lignite-mining area lie between 2 and 10 MPa (compare Fig. 4). In that range the liquefaction resistance $CRR(N_e = 10)$ derived from the novel correlations is somewhat larger than that obtained from the correlations proposed in the literature for natural soils. An application of correction factors $K_{cc}$, in order to convert calibration chamber to field values, would shift the new curves to the right in Fig. 16 and thus even lead to a flatter course.

The diagram with the novel correlation curves is applied in the same way as that in Fig. 1 and similar diagrams in the literature. For a soil with a given $q_{c1}$ value, an earthquake causing a cyclic loading with a cyclic stress ratio $CSR = \frac{\text{ampl}}{\text{ampl} 0}$ lying above the correlation curve will probably lead to liquefaction, while no liquefaction is expected in case of a CSR lying below that curve.

When comparing the novel correlation curves for the soils in the dumps with those available in the literature, it should be kept in mind that the relationships from the literature are valid for an earthquake magnitude $MW = 7.5$. Applying the formula $MSF = 6.9 \exp(-MW/4) - 0.058 \leq 1.8$ proposed by Idriss [15] (see also Boulanger & Idriss [6]), the magnitude scaling factor would be $MSF = 1.48$ for $MW = 6$. Consequently, the curves for the natural sands in Fig. 16 would have to be scaled by this $MSF$ value, i.e. they would be shifted upwards, to obtain curves applicable to earthquakes with $MW = 6$. In that case most of the novel curves for the dumped soils lay below those for natural soils from the literature. The new correlations for the sands from the spreader dumps do not incorporate any uncertainties regarding a suitable choice of $MSF$ since they were established directly for the number of equivalent cycles $N_{eq} = 10$ being realistic for an earthquake in the Rhenish lignite-mining area.

6. Measurements of shear wave velocity with bender elements

For all ten materials introduced in Section 2 except D16 the shear wave velocity was measured in triaxial samples (diameter 100 mm, height 100 mm). The end plates of the triaxial device were equipped with bender elements. The samples were prepared by moist tamping in eight layers using a degree of undercompaction of 10%. Afterwards the samples were water-saturated, but no back pressure was applied (i.e. $p = p'$ holds). The effective stress was isotropically increased from $p = 50$ kPa to $p = 800$ kPa in steps of 50 kPa (up to $p = 200$ kPa) or 100 kPa (at $p > 200$ kPa). At each pressure level the shear wave velocity was measured by means of the bender elements. A single sinusoidal pulse was applied at one end plate. Both the transmitted signal and the signal received at the opposite end plate, after wave propagation through the sample, were recorded at an oscilloscope and later analyzed at a PC. The frequency of the transmitted signal was chosen within the range $8 \leq f \leq 11$ kHz with the aim to receive an output signal that is clearly interpretable. Typical signals for different pressures measured at the same sample are provided in Fig. 18. The shear wave velocity $v_{S}$ was calculated with the travel time $t_t$ determined from

![Fig. 17. Shear wave velocity $v_{S}$ in dependence of void ratio $e$ and pressure $p$ for all tested materials.](image-url)
a comparison of the transmitted and received signals and the length $L_t$ of the travel path which was set equal to the distance of the tips of the bender elements (in accordance with [7,12]).

In contrast to the calibration chamber tests the samples were tested water-saturated in the present test series with the bender elements in order to allow volume change measurements during the pressure increase via the squeezed out pore water. Preliminary comparative tests on moist and water-saturated samples on a sand with low fines content had demonstrated a negligible effect of the state of saturation on the measured shear wave velocities. The shear wave velocities of the partially saturated samples fell within the range of scatter of the data for the fully water-saturated samples. The effect of partial saturation on the shear wave velocities could be more pronounced in case of the soils with higher fines content, which will be studied in more detail in future.

For each material several samples with different initial relative densities were tested. Fig. 17 collects the diagrams showing the shear wave velocity $v_S$ as a function of void ratio $e$ at different pressures $p$ for all materials. The well-known increase of $v_S$ with decreasing void ratio (i.e. increasing density) and growing pressure is evident in these graphs. The relationship between $v_S$ and $e$ is almost linear for all materials. The diagrams in Fig. 19a and b show the well-known underproportional increase of shear wave velocity with pressure for two different initial relative densities $D_{r0} = 30\%$ or $60\%$, respectively. c),d) Same data in a representation with $v_S/v_{S1}$ versus $p$, with $v_{S1} = v_S(p = 100\,\text{kPa})$ on the ordinate.

![Fig. 18. Signals received with the bender elements at different pressures on the same sample.](image)

![Fig. 19. a),b) Dependence of shear wave velocity $v_S$ on pressure $p$ for initial relative densities $D_{r0} \approx 30\%$ or $60\%$, respectively. c),d) Same data in a representation with $v_S/v_{S1}$ versus $p$, with $v_{S1} = v_S(p = 100\,\text{kPa})$ on the ordinate.](image)

![Fig. 20. Shear wave velocity $v_S$ for $p = 100\,\text{kPa}$ as a function of relative density $D_r$ for all tested materials.](image)
relative densities $D_{r0}$ ≈ 30% and ≈ 60%.

Fig. 20 presents the relationships between the shear wave velocity at a pressure $p = 100$ kPa and relative density $D_r$ for all tested materials. The linear $v_S$-$e$ curves in Fig. 17 are reflected in the linear $v_S$-$D_r$ relationships in Fig. 20. For each material the $v_S$ values for relative densities of 30% and 60% have been read out of the linear curves in Fig. 20 and plotted versus the parameters of the grain size distribution curve in Fig. 21. The diagrams show only weak trends for a decrease of $v_S$ with fines content $FC$ and an increase with mean grain size $d_{50}$, while the effect of $C_u$ is small.

For the evaluation of field data, again a function for the pressure-normalization of $v_S$ is necessary. In order to derive such function, the $v_S(p)$ data for a certain material shown in Fig. 19a and b has been fitted by the power law.

---

**Fig. 21.** Shear wave velocity $v_S$ for $p = 100$ kPa and a-c) $D_r = 30\%$ or d-f) $D_r = 60\%$ in dependence of fines content $FC$, mean grain size $d_{50}$ and uniformity coefficient $C_u$.

**Fig. 22.** Development of the correlation diagram between shear wave velocity $v_S$ for $p = 100$ kPa and liquefaction resistance $C_{R(N = 10)}$ for material D13.
The relationships will be another issue of further research. The curves for the relationships of the different materials were developed. The curves for $\text{CRR} = 1$ have to be fulfilled at $p = 100$ kPa. Obviously, the $v_s/v_1$ data for a certain relative density fall together in an almost unique curve. Furthermore, the average curves $v_s(p)/v_1$ for $D_r = 30\%$ and $60\%$ do not differ much. Therefore, they can be described by a unique function (dashed curve in Fig. 19c and d):

$$\frac{v_s}{v_1} = \frac{1}{C_{v_s}} \left( \frac{p}{100} \right)^c$$

with the parameter $c = 0.28$. A presentation analogously to Eq. (2) reads:

$$v_s = C_{v_s} v_1$$

7. Correlation between shear wave velocity and liquefaction resistance

The correlations between the liquefaction resistance $\text{CRR}(N_1 = 10)$ and the shear wave velocity $v_1$ for $p = 100$ kPa are established in the same way as the $\text{CRR}(N_1 = 10)-q_c$ correlations. The procedure is shown for the material D13 in Fig. 22, where the $v_1-D_r$ relationship from Fig. 20 ($v_s = v_1$ because of $p = 100$ kPa) replaces the $q_c-D_r$ diagram used in Fig. 15. The resulting correlation between $\text{CRR}(N_1 = 10)$ and $v_1$ in Fig. 22c has a linear shape, since both relationships $\text{CRR}(N_1 = 10)-D_r$ and $v_1-D_r$ had been approximated by linear curves.

The same applies to the $\text{CRR}(N_1 = 10)-v_1$ relationships derived for the other tested materials. They are collected in Figure 23. The relative position of the $\text{CRR}(N_1 = 10)-v_1$ relationships of the different materials approximately agrees with that of the $\text{CRR}(N_1 = 10)-D_r$ curves in Fig. 7. Materials with a higher fines content and a lower mean grain size tend to possess a lower liquefaction resistance. The new correlations for the sands from the dumps mostly lie below the $\text{CRR}-v_1$ relationship for natural sands with different amount of fines according to Andrus & Stokoe [4], but slightly above the bounding curve for uncompacted recent artificial fills proposed by Dobry et al. [11] (Fig. 23).

It has to be considered, however, that the $\text{CRR}-v_1$ relationships of Andrus & Stokoe [4] and Dobry et al. [11] have been developed for $M_{wv} = 7.5$. Using the equation for the magnitude scaling factor $MSF = (M_{wv}/7.5)^{2.56}$ given in Fig. 2, a value of $MSF = 1.77$ is obtained for $M_{wv} = 6$. The curves for $M_{wv} = 7.5$ would have to be scaled by this $MSF$ value to obtain relationships applicable for $M_{wv} = 6$. If such scaling is done, the $\text{CRR}-v_1$ curves of the new correlations for the sands from the spreader dumps would lie below the respective relationships based on real seismic events from the literature.

8. Summary, conclusions and outlook

Correlations between the liquefaction resistance of sands from spreader dumps of open cast mines in the Rhenish lignite-mining area with both the CPT tip resistance $q_c$ and the shear wave velocity $v_s$ have been developed based on an extensive laboratory testing program. Eight materials from the dumps and two standard sands of the IBF were used for the experimental investigation. The liquefaction resistance $\text{CRR}(N_1 = 10)$ necessary to cause a failure ($10\%$ axial strain) in $10^6$ cycles was determined in undrained cyclic triaxial tests on samples prepared with different densities and loaded by different amplitudes. The CPT tip resistance was determined from soundings in a calibration chamber, while the shear wave velocity was measured in triaxial samples by means of bender elements. Both quantities $q_c$ and $v_s$ were obtained for different combinations of pressure and density. Based on the data correlations between $\text{CRR}(N_1 = 10)$ and the $q_c$ and $v_s$ values for a pressure $p = 100$ kPa (i.e. for $q_{c1}$ and $v_{s1}$) were developed. Furthermore, equations for the pressure-normalization of $q_c$ and $v_s$ have been derived, which are necessary for the analysis of field test data.

The $\text{CRR}(N_1 = 10)-q_{c1}$ correlations of three of the four tested materials almost coincide, while the material with the lowest fines content and the steepest grain size distribution curve shows significantly larger $\text{CRR}(N_1 = 10)$ values for the same $q_{c1}$. The $\text{CRR}(N_1 = 10)-q_{s1}$ relationships of the sands from the spreader dumps run flatter than those derived for natural sands in the literature and they do not show the strong overproportional increase at larger values of tip resistance which is evident in the available correlation diagrams for natural soils.

The nine $\text{CRR}(N_1 = 10)-v_{s1}$ correlations established so far all show a linear shape. For a certain value of $v_s$ the liquefaction resistance $\text{CRR}(N_1 = 10)$ read from the correlations depends on the grain size distribution curve of the material. The relative position of the correlation curves in the $\text{CRR}(N_1 = 10)-v_{s1}$ diagram shows the same trends as the $\text{CRR}(N_1 = 10)-D_r$ relationships derived from the undrained cyclic triaxial tests. Materials with a higher fines content and a lower mean grain size tend to possess a lower liquefaction resistance.

In future, the dependencies of the $\text{CRR}(N_1 = 10)-q_{s1}$ and the $\text{CRR}(N_1 = 10)-v_{s1}$ correlations on grain size distribution curve will be further inspected based on additional experimental data collected for several other sands from the dumps with various grain size distribution curves. Such dependence on the grain size distribution curve would mean that one also needs general information about the granulometric composition of the sands in the dumps in order to select a suitable correlation curve. CPT soundings and measurements of the shear wave velocity (e.g. by means of a seismic CPT) would thus have to be accompanied by exemplary drillings in order to obtain disturbed sand samples for further classification in the laboratory.

Furthermore, up to now only isotropic states of stress have been investigated. The effect of stress anisotropy on the $\text{CRR}(N_1 = 10)-q_{c1}$ and the $\text{CRR}(N_1 = 10)-v_{s1}$ relationships will be another issue of further research.

Since the $\text{CRR}(N_1 = 10)-q_{c1}$ and $\text{CRR}(N_1 = 10)-v_{s1}$ correlations for a certain material proposed in this paper have been derived from laboratory data for the same relative density in all three types of tests, both types of correlations should deliver the same liquefaction resistance if applied to real test data. In future the equivalence of both correlations will be checked in a field campaign, where various quantities (amongst others $q_{s1}$ and $v_{s1}$) are measured and undisturbed samples are taken for the determination of the liquefaction resistance in the laboratory.

In the undrained cyclic triaxial tests the loading has been started directly after sample preparation, the application of the initial effective stress and a resting time of about 1 h to wait for sample deformations. The same applies to the measurements of $q_c$ and $v_s$ in the calibration.
chamber or with the bender elements. Therefore, aging effects which may increase all three quantities, CRR\(N = 10\), \(q_c\) and \(v_s\), with time, and their possible influence on the correlation curves have not been studied yet. This will be a matter of future research.

The development of a correction factor \(K\) for the conversion of \(q_c = q_{\text{chamber}}\) values measured in the calibration chamber to \(q_{\text{Field}}\) values to be expected in the field will be another topic of future research. For that purpose, soundings in calibration chambers with different diameters will be performed.
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