### Theoretical & Applied Mechanics Letters 9 (2019) 236-245

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### **Theoretical & Applied Mechanics Letters**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/taml



### Yu-Ning Huang<sup>\*</sup>, Wei-Dong Su, Cun-Biao Lee

State Key Laboratory for Turbulence and Complex Systems, College of Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

Dedicated in memory of Clifford Truesdell on the occasion of his 100th Anniversary

### HIGHLIGHTS

• We investigate the Weissenberg effect, i.e., the normal stress effect or the elastic effect, of the turbulence of a Newtonian fluid.

• We put forth a general Weissenberg number of turbulence to measure the elastic effects of the turbulent Newtonian fluids at large.

• The general Weissenberg number can also be used to characterize the elastic effects of non-Newtonian fluids in laminar flow.

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 18 February 2019 Received in revised form 29 March 2019 Accepted 10 April 2019 Available online 25 April 2019 This article belongs to the Fluid Mechanics.

Keywords: Normal stress difference Natural time of turbulence Weissenberg number of turbulence Elastic effect Non-Newtonian fluid

### ABSTRACT

Within the framework of the Navier–Stokes equations, the Weissenberg effect of turbulence is investigated. We begin with our investigation on the elastic effect of homogeneous turbulent shear flow. First, in the sense of Truesdell (Physics of Fluids, 1964) on the natural time of materials, we derive the natural time of turbulence, and use it together with the natural viscosity of turbulence derived in the article of Huang et al. (Journal of Turbulence, 2003) to define the natural Weissenberg number of turbulence as a measure of the elastic effect of homogeneous turbulence. Second, we define a primary Weissenberg number of turbulence, which in laminar flow reduces to the Weissenberg number widely applied in rheology to characterize the elasticity of visco-elastic fluids. Our analysis based on the experimental results of Tavoularis and Karnik (Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 1989) indicates that the larger is the Weissenberg number of turbulence, the more elastic becomes the turbulent flow concerned. Furthermore, we put forth a general Weissenberg number of turbulence, which includes the primary Weissenberg number of turbulence as a special case, to measure the overall elastic effects of turbulence. Besides, it is shown that the general Weissenberg number can also be used to characterize the elastic effects of non-Newtonian fluids in laminar flow.

©2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of The Chinese Society of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

### 1 Introduction

\* Corresponding author.

It is well known that one of the most famous actions of normal stress differences is the appearance of the Weissenberg effect in a visco-elastic fluid. In his celebrated article entitled "A continuum theory of rheological phenomena", Weissenberg [1] reported the so-called rod-climbing phenomenon in laminar flow of a visco-elastic fluid, a popular phenomenon that can be observed in daily life when one stirs a bowl of cake batter or a can of paint—though, interestingly, this phenomenon can never be seen in laminar flow of a Newtonian fluid, such as drinking water. In fact, it is the inequality of the normal stresses, a.k.a. the normal stress effect or the Weissenberg effect, that plays a vital role in this rod-climbing phenomenon of a fluid. The normal stress effect of a visco-elastic fluid generated in a viscometric flow, i.e., the Weissenberg effect, is the equivalent of the Poynting effect [2] in a nonlinear elastic material—that is, to produce a state of simple shear in a nonlinear elastic material, normal stresses as well as a shear stress are needed, and the normal stresses are unequal. It was Truesdell [3] who first proposed in 1952 to call this phenomenon the Poynting effect. Interestingly,

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.taml.2019.03.004

E-mail address: yuninghuang@126.com (Y.N. Huang).







<sup>2095-0349/© 2019</sup> The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of The Chinese Society of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

both well-known effects are a direct consequence of non-zero normal stress differences of the materials under shear or shearing.

In this work, we shall investigate the elastic effects of the turbulence of an incompressible Newtonian fluid. An incompressible Newtonian fluid is purely viscous, exhibiting no elastic effect, as can be read off from its constitutive equation

$$\mathbf{T} = -p\mathbf{1} + 2\mu\mathbf{D},\tag{1}$$

where **T** is the Cauchy stress tensor, *p* is the pressure, **1** is the unit tensor,  $\mu$  is the viscosity,  $\mathbf{D} = [\text{grad}\mathbf{v} + (\text{grad}\mathbf{v})^T]/2$  is the stretching tensor with **v** being the velocity, as is clearly shown in a laminar viscometric flow, say, a simple shearing flow described in a rectangular Cartesian coordinate system

$$v_1 = \kappa x_2, \quad v_2 = v_3 = 0,$$
 (2)

where  $\kappa$  is the shear rate. In this flow,  $T_{11} = T_{22} = T_{33} = -p$ , hence the normal stress differences

$$\sigma_1(\kappa) = T_{11} - T_{33} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \sigma_2(\kappa) = T_{22} - T_{33} = \mathbf{0},$$
 (3)

whereas  $T_{12} = \mu \kappa \neq 0$ . Indeed, there exists no normal stress effect.

By contrast, the turbulent Newtonian fluid generally behaves like a non-Newtonian fluid that exhibits nonlinear visco-elastic and memory effects. For instance, Rivlin [4] noted that some analogy may exist between the turbulent Newtonian fluid and the laminar flow of a non-Newtonian fluid and suggested that there may occur the normal stress effect in a turbulent Newtonian fluid. Also, Liepmann [5] suggested that a non-Newtonian fluid constitutive equation for turbulence may be able to account for the large-eddy structures and self-excited oscillations of turbulent boundary layers. Townsend [6] studied the phenomenon of entrainment in free turbulent flows and concluded that the initial response of turbulence to distortion is elastic in character. Crow [7] investigated the relaxation effects in turbulent flow of an incompressible Newtonian fluid and pointed out that the turbulence responds initially to an arbitrary deformation like an elastic medium. By employing the methods and reasoning of rational mechanics, Lumley [8] showed that in homogeneous turbulent shear flow the turbulence of a Newtonian fluid has the same behavior as that of a nonlinear visco-elastic fluid, a simple fluid in the sense of Noll [9]. Speziale [10] and Huang and Rajagopal [11] investigated respectively, among others, the origin of the turbulent secondary flow of a Newtonian fluid in a straight tube of non-circular cross-section, which actually is a normal stress effect. She et al. [12] performed numerical simulations to study the visco-elastic behavior of a turbulent Newtonian fluid. Huang et al. [13] proved the existence of the natural viscosity of turbulence of a Newtonian fluid based on the Reynolds stress transport equation, which is comparable to the existence of the natural viscosities, in the sense of Truesdell [14, 15], of a large number of non-Newtonian fluids which are special cases of the simple fluid of Noll [9].

Here, we consider an incompressible Newtonian fluid with constant mass density  $\varrho$  and viscosity  $\mu$ . The linear momentum equations, i.e., the Navier–Stokes equations, and the continuity equation read

$$\varrho \, \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{v}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathrm{div}\mathbf{T} + \varrho \mathbf{B},\tag{4}$$

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0},\tag{5}$$

where d/dt denotes the material time derivative, the Cauchy stress tensor  $\mathbf{T} = -p\mathbf{1} + 2\mu\mathbf{D}$ , where **D** is the stretching tensor, and **B** is the body force density prescribed, e.g., in a gravity field.

Taking the ensemble average on the above equations gives

$$\varrho \, \frac{D\overline{\mathbf{v}}}{Dt} = \operatorname{div}(\overline{\mathbf{T}} + \varrho \mathbf{\tau}) + \varrho \mathbf{B}, \tag{6}$$

$$\operatorname{div}\overline{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{0},$$
 (7)

in which an overline represents the ensemble average, D/Dt denotes the material time derivative associated with the mean velocity field  $\overline{\mathbf{v}}, \ \overline{\mathbf{T}} = -\overline{p}\mathbf{1} + 2\mu\overline{\mathbf{D}}, \ \overline{p}$  is the mean pressure,  $\overline{\mathbf{D}} = [grad\overline{\mathbf{v}} + (grad\overline{\mathbf{v}})^T]/2$  is the mean stretching tensor, and  $\tau := -\overline{\mathbf{v}' \otimes \mathbf{v}'}$  is the Reynolds stress tensor in its kinematic form, where  $\mathbf{v}'$  is the fluctuating velocity.

Subtracting Eq. (6) from Eq. (4) results in the evolution equation for the fluctuating velocity v'

$$\frac{\mathbf{D}\mathbf{v}'}{\mathbf{D}t} + \overline{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{v}' = \frac{1}{\varrho}\operatorname{div}\mathbf{T}' + \operatorname{div}(\overline{\mathbf{v}'\otimes\mathbf{v}'} - \mathbf{v}'\otimes\mathbf{v}'),\tag{8}$$

where  $\overline{\mathbf{L}} = \operatorname{grad} \overline{\mathbf{v}}$ ,  $\mathbf{T}' = -p'\mathbf{1} + 2\mu \mathbf{D}'$ ,  $\mathbf{D}' = [\operatorname{grad} \mathbf{v}' + (\operatorname{grad} \mathbf{v}')^{\mathrm{T}}]/2$ . Besides,

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{0}.\tag{9}$$

The Reynolds stress transport equation derived from the evolution equation for the fluctuating velocity v' takes the form

$$\frac{D\mathbf{\tau}}{Dt} + \overline{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{\tau} + \mathbf{\tau}\overline{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathrm{T}} = -\frac{1}{\varrho} \left[ \overline{(\operatorname{div}\mathbf{T}') \otimes \mathbf{v}'} + \overline{\mathbf{v}' \otimes (\operatorname{div}\mathbf{T}')} \right] \\
+ \operatorname{div}\overline{\mathbf{v}' \otimes \mathbf{v}' \otimes \mathbf{v}'}.$$
(10)

Here, in retrospect, it is worth noting that the two seminal papers of Chou [16, 17] published in 1940 and in 1945, respectively, made remarkable contributions to the foundation of turbulence modelling based on the Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes equations, namely the so-called RANS approach in modern times that has a far-reaching influence, see also Chou and Chou [18], Lumley and Yaglom [19] for details. Indeed, the socalled second-order closure of turbulence is about closing the Reynolds stress transport equation by modelling the unknown terms that appear in it, which had its beginning with the aforementioned Chou's work in the 1940s, as shown in the work of Rotta [20], Launder et al. [21], Fu et al. [22]; see also the articles of Launder [23], and Girimaji [24].

Before proceeding to investigate the elastic effects of turbulence, let us have a brief look at some previous researches on the normal stress differences, the cause of the elastic effects, of non-Newtonian fluids. Truesdell [25] showed that in simple shearing flow, the normal stress difference  $T_{11} - T_{22}$  can be used to determine the response time  $t^*$  of a fluid of the second grade, which may be regarded as a fluid with convected elasticity, a special case of the simple fluid of Noll [9]. In discussing drag reduction phenomenon, i.e., the so-called Toms effect, Lumley [26] in 1969 pointed out that in a viscometric flow of a non-Newtonian fluid, elasticity plays a vital role in drag reduction in the flows and, in particular, the normal stress difference  $(T_{11} - T_{22})$  is a direct measure for the visco-elastic effects, and concluded that elasticity is essential for the drag reduction phenomenon. Boger [27] mentioned that it has become customary to characterize the elasticity of visco-elastic fluids on the basis of observed steady shear flow properties in a viscometric flow. He further pointed out that a characteristic time  $\lambda$  is generally used to describe the elasticity of fluid, showing that the characteristic time  $\lambda$  for a Maxwell fluid is  $\lambda = N_1/(2\dot{\gamma}\eta)$  where  $N_1 = T_{11} - T_{22}$ ,  $\dot{\gamma}$  is the shear rate and  $\eta$  is the viscosity.

### 2 The natural time of turbulence

### 2.1 The concept of the natural time of a simple fluid

In the late 1940s and early 1950s, Truesdell remarked that every visco-elastic substance has a natural time and indicated how to define such a time and measure it (see Refs. [3, 14] by Truesdell). In this important article with great insight, as was also made clear in his 1964 article [14], Truesdell [3] pointed out that all calculations of normal stress effects presume the existence of a natural time. In other words, the natural time of a visco-elastic fluid is intrinsically related to the normal stress differences of the fluid in question. Indeed, in 1964 Truesdell [14] introduced the notion of the natural time for a simple fluid in the sense of Noll [9], defined the natural time in terms of the viscometric functions and remarked that its magnitude is actually a measure of the relative importance of normal stress effects, i.e., the Weissenberg effects (see also Ref. [15]).

The Cauchy stress tensor **T** of the simple fluid is determined by the whole history of the deformation gradient **F**, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{T} = \mathcal{G}_{s=0}^{\infty}(\mathbf{F}(t-s)),\tag{11}$$

where  $\mathcal{G}$  is a tensor-valued constitutive functional, deformation gradient  $\mathbf{F} := \partial \chi(\mathbf{X}, t) / \partial \mathbf{X}$ , where  $\mathbf{x} = \chi(\mathbf{X}, t)$  is the deformation in which particle  $\mathbf{X}$  in a reference configuration occupies position  $\mathbf{x}$  in the present configuration at time t. Note that the simple fluid includes a large number of non-Newtonian fluids as special cases, e.g., the incompressible fluid of grade  $n, n = 1, 2, \cdots$ .

In simple shearing flow, where the velocity field  $v_1 = \kappa x_2$ ,  $v_2 = 0$  and  $v_3 = 0$ , the velocity gradient reads

$$\operatorname{grad} \mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \kappa & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(12)

where the rate of shear  $\kappa$  is a constant ( $\kappa > 0$ ). And the Cauchy stress tensor **T** takes the form

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} & 0\\ T_{21} & T_{22} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & T_{33} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (13)

The three viscometric functions for a simple fluid are defined as

$$T_{12}/\kappa = \mu(\kappa), T_{11} - T_{33} = \sigma_1(\kappa), T_{22} - T_{33} = \sigma_2(\kappa),$$
(14)

where  $\mu(\kappa)$  is called the shear viscosity function,  $\sigma_1(\kappa)$  is called the first normal stress difference, and  $\sigma_2(\kappa)$  is called the second normal stress difference, all are even functions of the shear rate  $\kappa$ . In 1964, Truesdell [14] proposed to define the time function for a simple fluid of Noll [9] as follows

$$\pi(\kappa) := \frac{\left[ (\sigma_1(\kappa))^2 + (\sigma_2(\kappa))^2 \right]^{1/2}}{\kappa^2 \mu(\kappa)} \,. \tag{15}$$

Also,  $\mu(\mathbf{0}) = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \mu(\kappa)$  is called the natural viscosity and  $\tau(\mathbf{0}) = \lim_{\kappa \to 0} \tau(\kappa)$  is called the natural time of the simple fluid.

In addition, Truesdell [15] remarked that to calculate the natural time of a fluid one may in practice adopt  $\sigma_2 - \sigma_1$ , instead of  $[(\sigma_1)^2 + (\sigma_2)^2]^{1/2}$  (see also Ref. [28]). Hence, there follows

$$\tau(\mathbf{0}) := \lim_{\kappa \to \mathbf{0}} \tau(\kappa) = \lim_{\kappa \to \mathbf{0}} \frac{|\sigma_2(\kappa) - \sigma_1(\kappa)|}{\kappa^2 \mu(\kappa)} = \lim_{\kappa \to \mathbf{0}} \frac{|T_{11}(\kappa) - T_{22}(\kappa)|}{\kappa^2 \mu(\kappa)} .$$
(16)

It can be written as

$$\tau(\mathbf{0}) = \lim_{\kappa \to \mathbf{0}} \tau(\kappa) = \lim_{\kappa \to \mathbf{0}} \frac{|N_1(\kappa)|}{\kappa^2 \mu(\kappa)} = \lim_{\kappa \to \mathbf{0}} \frac{|\Psi_1(\kappa)|}{\mu(\kappa)} = \frac{|\Psi_1(\mathbf{0})|}{\mu(\mathbf{0})} \,. \tag{17}$$

That is

$$\tau(\mathbf{0}) = \frac{|\Psi_1(\mathbf{0})|}{\mu(\mathbf{0})} \,. \tag{18}$$

In rheology,  $N_1(\kappa) = T_{11}(\kappa) - T_{22}(\kappa) = \kappa^2 \Psi_1(\kappa)$  is called the first normal stress difference,  $N_2(\kappa) = T_{22}(\kappa) - T_{33}(\kappa) = \kappa^2 \Psi_2(\kappa)$  is the second normal stress difference, where  $\Psi_1(\kappa)$  is the first normal stress difference coefficient and  $\Psi_2(\kappa)$  is the second normal stress difference coefficient.

Equation (16) is another definition of the natural time, which is convenient for measurement in physical experiments; see Truesdell [15] and James [29]. Indeed, it is also adopted as a time constant in rheology to describe the fluid elasticity; see, for example, Boger [27], García-Franco [30]. Moreover, in their experimental investigation on the mixing of a visco-elastic fluid in twodimensional time-periodic flows, Niederkorn and Ottino [31] used the zero-shear-limit of the first (primary) normal stress difference to calculate the relaxation time of the fluid  $\lambda = \Psi_1(0)/(2\eta)$  where  $\eta$  is the viscosity.

**Remark 1**. The inelasticity of a Newtonian fluid can also be shown by making use of the notion of the natural time introduced by Truesdell [14]. By Eqs. (1)–(3), noting that in a viscometric flow,  $\sigma_1(\kappa) = \sigma_2(\kappa) = 0$  for a Newtonian fluid, each definition of the natural time, i.e., Eq. (15) or Eq. (16), gives  $\tau(0) = 0$ . That is, the natural time of a Newtonian fluid is zero.

### 2.2 The natural time of turbulence of a Newtonian fluid

In homogeneous turbulent shear flow, the mean velocity field in a rectangular Cartesian coordinate system is given by

$$\bar{\nu}_1 = S x_2, \ \bar{\nu}_2 = \bar{\nu}_3 = 0,$$
 (19)

where the mean shear rate *S* is a constant (S > 0). And we have

$$\operatorname{grad} \overline{\mathbf{v}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (20)

It is obvious that the mean velocity field of homogeneous turbulent shear flow is kinematically similar to the velocity field of the simple shearing flow of a simple fluid investigated by Truesdell [14], and by Coleman et al. [32]. Moreover, similar to the Cauchy stress in simple shearing flow, in homogeneous turbulent shear flow, each component of the Reynolds stress tensor  $\tau = \tau(S, t)$  is simply a function of the mean shear rate *S* and time *t* 

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}(S,t) = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{11}(S,t) & \tau_{12}(S,t) & \mathbf{0} \\ \tau_{21}(S,t) & \tau_{22}(S,t) & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \tau_{33}(S,t) \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (21)

The three turbulent viscometric functions in homogeneous turbulent shear flow are defined as follows; see Huang et al. [13]:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{12}(S,t)/S &= \nu(S,t), \\ \tau_{11}(S,t) - \tau_{22}(S,t) &= \Sigma_1(S,t) = S^2 \Pi_1(S,t), \\ \tau_{22}(S,t) - \tau_{33}(S,t) &= \Sigma_2(S,t) = S^2 \Pi_2(S,t), \end{aligned}$$
(22)

where  $\nu(S, t)$  is called the turbulent shear viscosity function,  $\Sigma_1(S, t)$  is called the first Reynolds normal stress difference, and  $\Sigma_2(S, t)$  is called the second Reynolds normal stress difference;  $\Pi_1(S, t)$  is the first Reynolds normal stress difference coefficient and  $\Pi_2(S, t)$  is the second Reynolds normal stress difference coefficient, all in kinematic form.

In contrast to the natural time of a Newtonian fluid being zero, the natural time of a turbulent Newtonian fluid is non-zero, which can be shown by invoking the Reynolds stress transport equation. The natural time of turbulence is a measure of relative importance of the Reynolds normal stress effects, reflecting thus the elastic effect of the turbulence concerned.

Noting Eqs. (16)-(18), there follows from Eq. (22) the definition of the natural time of turbulence:

$$\Lambda(t) := \lim_{S \to 0} \frac{|\tau_{11}(S,t) - \tau_{22}(S,t)|}{S^2 \nu(S,t)} = \lim_{S \to 0} \frac{|\Pi_1(S,t)|}{\nu(S,t)}, \quad t \ge t_0, \ (23)$$

where  $t_0$  is the time when the shear rate S = 0 and the homogeneous turbulent shear flow becomes isotropic and starts to decay. That is, the natural time of turbulence reads

$$\Lambda(t) = \frac{|\Pi_1(0,t)|}{\nu_N(t)}, \quad t \ge t_0,$$
(24)

where  $\Pi_1(0, t)$ , as we shall show below, contains in its evolution the natural viscosity of turbulence  $\nu_N(t)$ , which is derived in the article of Huang et al. [13]:

$$\nu_{N}(t) := \lim_{S \to 0} \nu(S, t) = \lim_{S \to 0} \tau_{12}(S, t) / S$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial t \partial S^{2}} K(0, t) + \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial S^{2}} \varepsilon(0, t) \right], \quad t \ge t_{0}.$$
(25)

# 2.3 The evolution equation for the natural time of turbulence

In contrast to the constant natural times of some popular non-Newtonian fluids, e.g., the natural time of the fluid of grade n is a constant (see Truesdell [15]), the natural time of turbulence  $\Lambda(t)$  given by Eq. (23) is time-dependent and, physically, will gradually decrease with time like the natural viscosity of turbulence  $\nu_N(t)$  (see Huang et al. [13]), since in the limit of zero

mean shear rate, the homogeneous turbulent shear flow becomes isotropic and starts to decay because of no driving force to sustain the turbulence.

In homogeneous turbulence, the Reynolds stress transport equation (10) reduces to

$$\frac{D\boldsymbol{\tau}}{Dt} + \overline{L}\boldsymbol{\tau} + \boldsymbol{\tau}\overline{L}^{\mathrm{T}} = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} - \boldsymbol{\pi}, \tag{26}$$

where  $\mathbf{\varepsilon} = 2\nu \overline{\text{gradv}'(\text{gradv}')^{\text{T}}} = \varepsilon_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j$  is the dissipation rate tensor of turbulence,  $\nu$  is the kinematic viscosity,  $\mathbf{\pi} = 2 \overline{p' \mathbf{D}'} / \varrho = \pi_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j$  is the pressure-strain correlation tensor in which  $\mathbf{e}_i \ (i = 1, 2, 3)$  is an orthonormal basis, and  $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{\pi}) = \mathbf{0}$ .

It follows from Eq. (26) that

$$\frac{\partial \tau_{11}(S,t)}{\partial t} + 2S\tau_{12}(S,t) = \varepsilon_{11}(S,t) - \pi_{11}(S,t).$$
(27)

$$\frac{\partial \tau_{22}(S,t)}{\partial t} = \varepsilon_{22}(S,t) - \pi_{22}(S,t).$$
(28)

$$\frac{\partial \tau_{33}(S,t)}{\partial t} = \varepsilon_{33}(S,t) - \pi_{33}(S,t).$$
<sup>(29)</sup>

$$\frac{\partial \tau_{12}(S,t)}{\partial t} + S\tau_{22}(S,t) = \varepsilon_{12}(S,t) - \pi_{12}(S,t).$$

$$(30)$$

As the mean shear rate *S* goes to 0, homogeneous turbulent shear flow becomes isotropic—hence,  $\pi_{ij}(0, t) = 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_{11}(0, t) = \varepsilon_{22}(0, t) = \varepsilon_{33}(0, t) = 2\varepsilon(t)/3$  where  $2\varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon_{nn}(t)$ , and  $\varepsilon_{12}(0, t) = \varepsilon_{13}(0, t) = \varepsilon_{23}(0, t) = 0$ .

By Eqs. (27) and (28), we have

$$\frac{\partial [\tau_{11}(S,t) - \tau_{22}(S,t)]}{\partial t} + 2S\tau_{12}(S,t) = [\varepsilon_{11}(S,t) - \varepsilon_{22}(S,t)] - [\pi_{11}(S,t) - \pi_{22}(S,t)].$$
(31)

And it can be written as

$$\frac{\partial [\tau_{11}(S,t) - \tau_{22}(S,t)]/S^2}{\partial t} + 2\tau_{12}(S,t)/S = [\varepsilon_{11}(S,t) - \varepsilon_{22}(S,t)]/S^2 - [\pi_{11}(S,t) - \pi_{22}(S,t)]/S^2$$
(32)

or equivalently,

$$\frac{\partial \Pi_1(S,t)}{\partial t} + 2\tau_{12}(S,t)/S = [\varepsilon_{11}(S,t) - \varepsilon_{22}(S,t)]/S^2 - [\pi_{11}(S,t) - \pi_{22}(S,t)]/S^2.$$
(33)

Note that  $\varepsilon_{11}(S,t) - \pi_{11}(S,t)$  and  $\varepsilon_{22}(S,t) - \pi_{22}(S,t)$  are even functions of the mean shear rate *S* with sufficient smoothness assumed at S = 0. Let *S* go to 0 in Eq. (33), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \Pi_1(0,t)}{\partial t} + 2\nu_N(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial^2(\varepsilon_{11}(S,t) - \varepsilon_{22}(S,t))}{\partial S^2} \right]_{S=0} - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial^2(\pi_{11}(S,t) - \pi_{22}(S,t))}{\partial S^2} \right]_{S=0}.$$
(34)

That is,

$$\frac{\partial \Pi_1(0,t)}{\partial t} + 2\nu_N(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial^2(\varepsilon_{11}(0,t) - \varepsilon_{22}(0,t))}{\partial S^2} \right] \\ - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial^2(\pi_{11}(0,t) - \pi_{22}(0,t))}{\partial S^2} \right].$$
(35)

The evolution equation for the natural time of turbulence is

$$\Lambda(t) = \frac{|\Pi_1(\mathbf{0}, t)|}{\nu_N(t)}, \qquad t \ge t_0,$$
(36)

where the first Reynolds normal stress difference coefficient at zero mean shear rate  $\Pi_1(0,t)$  is determined by Eq. (35) which contains the natural viscosity of turbulence  $\nu_N(t)$ .

In order to obtain an explicit expression for the natural time of turbulence  $\Lambda(t)$ , here we shall make use of the Launder et al. [21] basic model of the second-order closure to calculate  $\Pi_1(0, t)$ . The Launder, Reece and Rodi basic model [21] includes both the model of Rotta [20] and the model for isotropization of production proposed by Naot et al. [33], and their pressure-strain correlation tensor takes the following form:

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\text{basic}} = C_R \, \frac{\varepsilon}{K} \left( \boldsymbol{\tau} - \frac{\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\tau})}{3} \, \boldsymbol{1} \right) - C_2 \left( \overline{\mathbf{L}} \boldsymbol{\tau} + \boldsymbol{\tau} \overline{\mathbf{L}}^{\text{T}} - \frac{2}{3} \, \mathcal{P} \boldsymbol{1} \right)$$
(37)

and at high Reynolds number

$$\varepsilon^{\text{basic}} = \frac{2\varepsilon}{3} \mathbf{1},\tag{38}$$

where  $\mathcal{P} = \operatorname{tr}(\overline{\mathbf{L}\tau} + \tau \overline{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathrm{T}})/2$  is the production rate of turbulence,  $\varepsilon = \operatorname{tr}(\varepsilon^{\operatorname{basic}})/2$  is the dissipation rate of turbulence, **1** is the unit tensor; here, the Rotta constant  $C_R = 1.8$ , and the isotropization-of-production constant  $C_2 = 0.6$ , as had been suggested by Launder [23] to better describe the return-to-isotropy behavior of turbulence while in keeping with the rapid distortion theory of turbulence.

Substituting Eqs. (37) and (38) into Eq. (32) yields

$$\frac{\partial [\tau_{11}(S,t) - \tau_{22}(S,t)]/S^2}{\partial t} + C_R \frac{\varepsilon(S,t)}{K} [\tau_{11}(S,t) - \tau_{22}(S,t)]/S^2 = 2(C_2 - 1)\tau_{12}(S,t)/S.$$
(39)

Let *S* go to 0 in Eq. (39), noting that  $\nu_N(t) = \lim_{S \to 0} \tau_{12}(S, t)/S$ and  $\tau_{11}(S, t) - \tau_{22}(S, t) = S^2 \Pi_1(S, t)$ , we arrive at

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Pi_1(0,t)}{\mathrm{d}t} + C_R \, \frac{\varepsilon(t)}{K(t)} \, \Pi_1(0,t) = 2(C_2 - 1)\nu_N(t), \qquad t \ge t_0, \quad (40)$$

where  $C_R = 1.8$  and  $C_2 = 0.6$ .

By the experimental results of homogeneous turbulence (see Comte-Bellot and Corrsin [34] and Mohamed and LaRue [35]), we know that

$$K(t) = K_0 \left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^{-n}, \qquad \varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon_0 \left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^{-(n+1)}, \tag{41}$$

where n = 1.3. Besides, since in homogeneous isotropic turbulence

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}K(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\varepsilon(t),\tag{42}$$

there follows  $n = \varepsilon_0 t_0 / K_0$ .

Recently, by employing the Launder, Reece and Rodi basic model [21] given by Eqs. (37) and (38), an explicit expression for the natural viscosity of turbulence  $\nu_N(t)$  has been derived by Huang [36]:

$$\nu_N(t) = Ct^{-\alpha} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha + 1 - n} t^{(1-n)}, \quad t \ge t_0,$$
(43)

where  $\alpha = nC_R$  and  $\beta = 2(1 - C_2)K_0 t_0^n/3$ . By Eqs. (40)-(43), we obtain the following

$$\Pi_{1}(0,t) = 2(C_{2}-1)t^{-\alpha} \left[ Ct + \frac{\beta}{(\alpha+1-n)(\alpha+2-n)} t^{\alpha+2-n} + D \right], \quad t \ge t_{0},$$
(4)

where  $\alpha = nC_R$ ,  $\beta = 2(C_2 - 1)K_0t_0^n/3$ ,  $C = \nu_N(t_0)t_0^\alpha - \beta t_0^{\alpha+1-n}/(\alpha+1-n)$ , n = 1.3,  $C_R = 1.8$ ,  $C_2 = 0.6$ , and D is an integral constant to be identified.

(44)

Assuming that  $\Pi_1(0,t)|_{t=t_0}=\Pi_1^0(t_0)$  be given by experiment, we find that

$$D = \frac{\Pi_1^0(t_0)}{2(C_2 - 1)} t_0^{\alpha} - Ct_0 - \frac{\beta}{(\alpha + 1 - n)(\alpha + 2 - n)} t_0^{\alpha + 2 - n}.$$
 (45)

Of course, the natural viscosity of turbulence  $\nu_N(t)$ , as given by Eq. (25), and the first Reynolds normal stress difference coefficient  $\Pi_1(0, t)$  given by Eq. (35) can be obtained by carrying out numerical simulations using the closure models of turbulence, the large eddy simulations, or the direct numerical simulations.

### **3** A general Weissenberg number that measures the elastic effects

Let us recall an insightful remark by Rivlin [4] on the normal stress effects of a turbulent Newtonian fluid: "It has been noted that the fluids in which the normal stress effects have been observed are visco-elastic and this suggests that turbulent Newtonian fluids may similarly show some elastic effects under suitable experimental conditions. The eddies in a turbulent Newtonian fluid will presumably undergo preferential orientation when the turbulent fluid is sheared, providing a possible mechanism for the effects in the turbulent fluid." In what follows, we shall introduce three Weissenberg numbers of turbulence to characterize the elastic effects of the turbulence of a Newtonian fluid.

Boger [27] pointed out that the elastic effects in flows of visco-elastic fluids are generally characterized by one dimensionless number, the Weissenberg number  $N_{We} = \lambda \dot{\gamma}$  where  $\lambda$  is the relaxation time of the fluid and  $\dot{\gamma}$  is the shear rate. The Maxwell fluid and the Oldroyd-B fluid represent constant-viscosity elastic liquids (see Stokes et al. [37]). In contrast to these fluids whose constitutive equations are explicitly given, in turbulent flows the Reynolds stress transport equation is not closed and must be modelled before any calculations to be performed. As we shall see, the natural time of turbulence derived from the Reynolds stress transport equation in homogeneous turbulent shear flow plays a similar role as does the relaxation time of a visco-elastic fluid and, in particular, it can be used to define a dimensionless number, called the natural Weissenberg number of turbulence.

240

### 3.1 The natural Weissenberg number of turbulence

Now in terms of the natural time of turbulence  $\Lambda(t)$ , which is closely related to the natural viscosity of turbulence  $\nu_N(t)$  as seen in Eq. (36), accordingly, we can define the natural Weissenberg number for homogeneous turbulent shear flow as follows:

$$N_{We}^{\text{natural}} := \Lambda_0 S = \Lambda(t_0) S = \frac{|\Pi_1(0, t_0)|}{\nu_N(t_0)} S,$$
(46)

where *S* is the mean shear rate and  $t_0$  is the time when the homogeneous turbulent shear flow becomes isotropic as the mean shear rate *S* goes to 0. By the above Eq. (46), we see at once that the normal stress effect, as described by the natural Weissenberg number of turbulence  $N_{We}^{\text{natural}}$ , increases with the mean shear rate *S*. In other words, the larger is the mean shear rate *S*, the more elastic becomes the homogeneous turbulent shear flow.

**Remark 2.** For homogeneous turbulence, the natural Weissenberg number of turbulence takes the form  $N_{We}^{\text{natural}} = A_0 |\text{grad}\overline{v}|$ , where  $|\text{grad}\overline{v}| = \{\text{tr}[\text{grad}\overline{v} (\text{grad}\overline{v})^{\text{T}}]\}^{1/2} = \text{const.}$  It reduces to Eq. (46) in homogeneous turbulent shear flow, for which  $|\text{grad}\overline{v}| = S, S$  being the mean shear rate. In order to investigate the normal stress effects of a turbulent flow in general, say, a wall-bounded turbulent flow, we must take into account the physical facts that, on the solid boundary, the Reynolds stress tensor  $\tau$  becomes **0** due to no-slip boundary condition, whereas the mean Cauchy stress tensor  $\overline{T}$  plays a dominant role there in near-wall turbulence.

### 3.2 The primary Weissenberg number of turbulence

We define the primary Weissenberg number of turbulence as follows, which is in keeping with the Weissenberg number used in rheology that is defined as the ratio of the first (primary) normal stress difference to the shear stress:

$$N_{We} := \frac{|\overline{T}_{11} + \varrho \tau_{11} - (\overline{T}_{22} + \varrho \tau_{22})|}{|\overline{T}_{12} + \varrho \tau_{12}|} \,. \tag{47}$$

In homogeneous turbulent shear flow of a Newtonian fluid, noting that  $\overline{T}_{11} - \overline{T}_{22} = 0$ , Eq. (47) can be written as

$$N_{We} = \frac{|\varrho(\tau_{11} - \tau_{22})|}{|\overline{T}_{12} + \varrho\tau_{12}|} \approx \frac{|\tau_{11} - \tau_{22}|}{|\tau_{12}|},$$
(48)

since the mean Cauchy shear stress  $\overline{T}_{12}$  is negligibly small compared to the turbulent shear stress  $\rho\tau_{12}$  (Reynolds shear stress). In fact, in wall-bounded turbulent flows, generally, the greater is the distance from the boundary, the smaller become the mean Cauchy shear stresses. Of course, there exists no boundary in homogeneous turbulent shear flow.

In laminar flow, Eq. (47) reduces to

$$N_{We} := \frac{|T_{11} - T_{22}|}{|T_{12}|}, \tag{49}$$

which is the Weissenberg number used in rheology to investigate the elastic effects of visco-elastic fluids; see, for example, Boger [27], Astarita [28], Niederkorn and Ottino [31], Harnoy [38], and Meulenbroek et al. [39]. Now based on the experimental results of Tavoularis and Karnik [40], we find that

case (a): at the mean shear rate  $S = 9.0 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , while the centerline mean velocity  $\overline{v}_c = 13 \text{ m/s}$ ,  $\tau_{11}/K = -0.45$ ,  $\tau_{22}/K = -0.27$ ,  $\tau_{33}/K = -0.28$ , and  $\tau_{12}/K = 0.178$  where  $K = -\tau_{nn}/2$ ,

$$N_{We} \approx 1.0112; \tag{50}$$

case (b): at the mean shear rate  $S = 48.8 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , while the centerline mean velocity  $\overline{v}_c = 13 \text{ m/s}$ ,  $\tau_{11}/K = -0.49$ ,  $\tau_{22}/K = -0.23$ ,  $\tau_{33}/K = -0.28$ , and  $\tau_{12}/K = 0.154$ ,

$$N_{We} \approx 1.6883; \tag{51}$$

case (c): at the mean shear rate  $S = 84 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , while the centerline mean velocity  $\overline{v}_c = 13 \text{ m/s}$ ,  $\tau_{11}/K = -0.55$ ,  $\tau_{22}/K = -0.20$ ,  $\tau_{33}/K = -0.25$ , and  $\tau_{12}/K = 0.165$ ,

$$N_{We} \approx 2.1212. \tag{52}$$

The above results indicate that the primary Weissenberg number of turbulence  $N_{We}$  agrees with the natural Weissenberg number of turbulence  $N_{We}^{\text{natural}}$ , showing that the homogeneous turbulent shear flow becomes more and more elastic as the mean shear rate *S* increases. Here, it should be noted that the natural Weissenberg number of turbulence  $N_{We}^{\text{natural}}$  holds for homogeneous turbulence only, not applicable to general turbulent flows because it does not involve the contributions of the mean Cauchy stresses that play a significant role in near-wall turbulent boundary layers.

### 3.3 The general Weissenberg number of turbulence

Noting that there are all sorts of boundaries in an enormous variety of complex turbulent flows, thus, in general three-dimensional turbulence, in contrast to the primary Weissenberg number  $N_{We}$  which involves only the first normal stress difference  $|\overline{T}_{11} + \varrho \tau_{11} - (\overline{T}_{22} + \varrho \tau_{22})|$  and the shear stress  $|\overline{T}_{12} + \varrho \tau_{12}|$ , we must consider the contributions to the elastic effects due to all the normal stress differences, i.e.,  $\overline{T}_{11} - \overline{T}_{22} + \varrho(\tau_{11} - \tau_{22})$ ,  $\overline{T}_{11} - \overline{T}_{33} + \varrho(\tau_{11} - \tau_{33})$ , and  $\overline{T}_{22} - \overline{T}_{33} + \varrho(\tau_{22} - \tau_{33})$ , as a whole and, at the same time, the contributions of all the shear stresses, i.e.,  $\overline{T}_{12} + \varrho \tau_{12}$ ,  $\overline{T}_{13} + \varrho \tau_{13}$  and  $\overline{T}_{23} + \varrho \tau_{23}$ , must be accounted for.

Hence, here we put forth the following general Weissenberg number to characterize the elastic effects of turbulence in general:

$$GN_{We} := \left[ |T_{11} - T_{22} + \varrho(\tau_{11} - \tau_{22})| + |T_{11} - T_{33} + \varrho(\tau_{11} - \tau_{33})| + |\overline{T}_{22} - \overline{T}_{33} + \varrho(\tau_{22} - \tau_{33})| \right] \\ + \left( |\overline{T}_{12} + \varrho\tau_{12}| + |\overline{T}_{13} + \varrho\tau_{13}| + |\overline{T}_{23} + \varrho\tau_{23}| \right),$$
(53)

which is the ratio of all the elastic to viscous forces in the turbulent fluid.

We should pay close attention to Eq. (53) for any spacial point **x** at which  $|\overline{T}_{11} + \varrho \tau_{11} - (\overline{T}_{22} + \varrho \tau_{22})| + |\overline{T}_{11} + \varrho \tau_{11} - (\overline{T}_{33} + \varrho \tau_{33})| + |\overline{T}_{22} + \varrho \tau_{22} - (\overline{T}_{33} + \varrho \tau_{33})| \neq 0$ , whereas  $|\overline{T}_{12} + \varrho \tau_{12}| + |\overline{T}_{13} + \varrho \tau_{13}| + |\overline{T}_{23} + \varrho \tau_{23}| = 0$ , since at this point **x** the general Weissenberg number of turbulence  $GN_{We}$  becomes infinite, indicating that the total normal stresses are playing a completely dominant role at **x**, whereas the total shear stresses are zero.

In homogeneous turbulent shear flow, Eq. (53) reduces to

$$GN_{We} = \frac{|\varrho(\tau_{11} - \tau_{22})| + \varrho(\tau_{11} - \tau_{33})| + |\varrho(\tau_{22} - \tau_{33})|}{|\overline{T}_{12} + \varrho\tau_{12}|}, \qquad (54)$$

which can be written as

$$GN_{We} \approx \frac{|\tau_{11} - \tau_{22}| + |\tau_{11} - \tau_{33}| + |\tau_{22} - \tau_{33}|}{|\tau_{12}|},$$
(55)

since in this case the mean Cauchy shear stress  $\overline{T}_{12}$  is negligibly small in comparison to the Reynolds shear stress  $\rho \tau_{12}$ .

Now let us re-examine the previously studied cases of (a), (b) and (c) with the general Weissenberg number of turbulence  $GN_{We}$ , noting that here  $|\tau_{11} - \tau_{22}| = |\tau_{11} - \tau_{33}| + |\tau_{22} - \tau_{33}|$ .

For case (a), where the mean shear rate  $S = 9.0 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,

$$GN_{We} = 2N_{We} \approx 2.0225. \tag{56}$$

For case (b), where the mean shear rate  $S = 48.8 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,

$$GN_{We} = 2N_{We} \approx 3.3766. \tag{57}$$

For case (c), where the mean shear rate  $S = 84 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,

$$GN_{We} = 2N_{We} \approx 4.2424. \tag{58}$$

By the general Weissenberg number of turbulence  $GN_{We}$ , again, we see that the homogeneous turbulent shear flow becomes more and more elastic with the increasing mean shear rate *S*, confirming from a general perspective what have been shown earlier by using the natural Weissenberg number of turbulence  $N_{We}^{\text{natural}}$  and the primary Weissenberg number of turbulence  $N_{We}^{\text{natural}}$ , respectively.

**Remark 3.** Regarding the normal stress effects, it is generally believed that the Weissenberg effect shown by polymer solutions is usually explained by supposing that the elements of the stress tensor are no longer independent, namely, a shear stress can give rise to normal stresses that causes the anomalous, visco-elastic effect; see, for example, Rivlin [4], and Lumley [26]. By contrast, the case of turbulence appears to be much more intriguing and complicated, but no such a similar assumption as mentioned for visco-elastic fluids would be necessary for the turbulence of a Newtonian fluid. In fact, even in homogeneous turbulent shear flow, that the Reynolds normal stress  $\tau_{11}(S, t)$  is germane to the Reynolds shear stress  $\tau_{12}(S, t)$  can be clearly seen from Eq. (31), which is derived from the Reynolds stress transport equation that, of course, is not closed unless is modelled.

# 3.4 The general Weissenberg number of turbulence: Its application in fully developed turbulent channel flow

Now let us examine the case of fully developed turbulent channel flow: its mean velocity field is given by

$$\bar{\nu}_x = \bar{\nu}_x(y), \qquad \bar{\nu}_y = \bar{\nu}_z = 0, \tag{59}$$

and the mean velocity gradient grad  $\overline{\mathbf{v}} = \overline{\mathbf{L}} = (d\overline{\nu}_x(y)/dy)\mathbf{e}_x \otimes \mathbf{e}_y$ .

According to the direct numerical simulation (DNS) data of Kim et al. [41] for fully developed turbulent channel flow where Re = 13750, noting that  $\tau_{13} = \tau_{23} = \overline{T}_{13} = \overline{T}_{23} = 0$ ,  $\overline{T}_{11} = \overline{T}_{22} = \overline{T}_{33} = -\overline{p}$ , and  $|\tau_{11} - \tau_{22}| = |\tau_{11} - \tau_{33}| + |\tau_{22} - \tau_{33}|$ , we have

case (e): at the wall  $y^+ = 0$ ,  $\overline{T}_{12} = \mu [d\overline{v}_x(y)/dy]_{y=0} \neq 0$ , whereas the Reynolds stress tensor  $\mathbf{\tau}|_{y=0} = \mathbf{0}$ . Hence, by Eq. (53),

the general Weissenberg number of turbulence

$$GN_{We} = 2N_{We} = 0,$$
 (60)

which shows that there is no elastic effect at the wall for the fully developed turbulent channel flow of a Newtonian fluid;

case (f): at  $y^+ = 11.8$  where the peak production takes place,  $\tau_{11} = -1.70K, \tau_{22} = -0.04K, \tau_{33} = -0.26K, \tau_{12} = 0.116K$ , here  $\overline{T}_{12} \approx \rho \tau_{12}$ , the general Weissenberg number of turbulence

$$GN_{We} = 2N_{We} \approx 14.3103; \tag{61}$$

case (g): at  $y^+ = 98$  in the log-law region,  $\tau_{11} = -1.02K$ ,  $\tau_{22} = -0.39K$ ,  $\tau_{33} = -0.59K$ ,  $\tau_{12} = 0.285K$ , here  $\overline{T}_{12}$  is negligibly small compared to the turbulent shear stress  $\varrho \tau_{12}$ , the general Weissenberg number of turbulence

$$GN_{We} = 2N_{We} \approx 4.4211; \tag{62}$$

case (h): at  $y^+ = 395$ , the centerline,  $\tau_{11} = -0.84K$ ,  $\tau_{22} = -0.57K$ ,  $\tau_{33} = -0.59K$ ,  $\tau_{12} = \overline{T}_{12} = 0$ , the general Weissenberg number of turbulence

$$GN_{We} = 2N_{We} = \infty. \tag{63}$$

This indicates that in fully developed turbulent channel flow, the elastic effect reaches its maximum,  $\infty$ , at the centerline with the inequality of the Reynolds normal stresses—that is, the unequal  $\tau_{11}$ ,  $\tau_{22}$  and  $\tau_{33}$  completely dominate the turbulent fluid therein, whereas the mean Cauchy and Reynolds shear stresses become zero. In other words, the fully developed turbulent channel flow of an incompressible Newtonian fluid behaves like a visco-elastic fluid, exhibiting both viscous and elastic effects—indeed, on the boundary, it shows viscous effect  $(\overline{T}_{12} \neq 0)$  but no elastic effect  $(GN_{We} = 0)$ , and the elastic effect at the point of peak production is about three times the elastic effect at the point in the log-law region, whereas at the centerline, it is purely elastic  $(\tau_{11} - \tau_{22} \neq 0, \tau_{11} - \tau_{33} \neq 0, \tau_{22} - \tau_{33} \neq 0)$ , involving no viscous shearing at all  $(\tau_{12} = \tau_{13} = \overline{T}_{12} = \overline{T}_{13} = \overline{T}_{23} = 0)$ , thus  $GN_{We} = \infty$ .

In complex flows of visco-elastic fluids, it is not uncommon that the Weissenberg number can be very large, which refers to the highly elastic fluid limit, as shown, for example, by Azaiez and Homsy [42] and Renardy [43], or even infinite, e.g., at the reentrant corner of contraction flow of an upper-convected Maxwell fluid (see Renardy [44]) and in plane parallel visco-elastic shear flows, as investigated by Kaffel and Renardy [45].

**Remark 4.** In fully developed turbulent channel flow of a Newtonian fluid, the general Weissenberg number of turbulence  $GN_{We}$  is zero at the wall of the channel, showing no elastic effect therein. However, a visco-elastic fluid generally exhibits elastic effect at the solid wall. For example, in the Poiseuille flow of an Oldroyd-B fluid, the Weissenberg number  $Wi = (T_{rr} - T_{zz})/T_{rz}|_{wall} = 4v_{max}\lambda/R \neq 0$ , where  $v_{max}$  is the maximum velocity of its parabolic profile,  $\lambda$  is the relaxation time of the Oldroyd-B fluid, and R is the radius of the pipe, as shown by Meulenbroek et al. [39].

### 3.5 The general Weissenberg number as a measure of the elastic effects of non-Newtonian fluids

In laminar flow, the general Weissenberg number of turbu-

242

lence defined by Eq. (53) reduces to

$$GN_{We} = \frac{|T_{11} - T_{22}| + |T_{11} - T_{33}| + |T_{22} - T_{33}|}{|T_{12}| + |T_{13}| + |T_{23}|},$$
(64)

which is the expression that applies to the investigation of the elastic effects of non-Newtonian fluids; in contrast to  $N_{We}$ , it includes the contribution of the second normal stress difference, of which the measurement had been performed long time ago by Keentok et al. [46] among others, and that of the third normal stress difference.

For illustration, let us study the case of a steady laminar channel flow, of which the velocity field is given by  $v_x = v_x(y), v_y = v_z = 0$ .

Consider an incompressible fluid of grade 2, for which the Cauchy stress tensor reads

$$\mathbf{T} = -p\mathbf{1} + \mu\mathbf{A}_1 + \alpha_1\mathbf{A}_2 + \alpha_2\mathbf{A}_1^2, \tag{65}$$

where  $\mathbf{A}_1 = 2\mathbf{D}$ ,  $\mathbf{A}_2 = d\mathbf{A}_1/dt + \mathbf{A}_1(\operatorname{grad} \mathbf{v}) + (\operatorname{grad} \mathbf{v})^T \mathbf{A}_1$ , d/dt denotes the material time derivative,  $\mu$ ,  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are material constants.

It is straightforward to show that, in this case, the general Weissenberg number is

$$GN_{We} = \frac{|2\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| + |2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2|}{\mu} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}v_x(y)}{\mathrm{d}y}\right).$$
 (66)

At the wall of the channel,  $GN_{We}|_{wall} = \frac{|2\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| + |2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2|}{\mu} \times \left(\frac{d\nu_x(y)}{dy}\right)_{y=0} \neq 0$ ; hence, we see that for the fluid of grade 2 there exists elastic effect on the boundary, in sharp contrast to the case of the fully developed turbulent channel flow of a Newtonian fluid, where the general Weissenberg number of turbulence  $GN_{We} = 0$  as shown by Eq. (60), i.e., no elastic effect at the wall.

Besides, if we use the primary Weissenberg number  $N_{We} = |T_{11} - T_{22}|/|T_{12}|$  instead to calculate the elastic effect, i.e., neglecting the contributions of  $|T_{11} - T_{33}|$  and  $|T_{22} - T_{33}|$ , which correspond to the terms of  $|\alpha_2|$  and  $|2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2|$  in  $GN_{We}$ , we simply get

$$N_{We} = \frac{|2\alpha_1|}{\mu} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}v_x(y)}{\mathrm{d}y}\right),\tag{67}$$

which implies at the wall  $N_{We}|_{y=0} = \frac{|2\alpha_1|}{\mu} \left(\frac{d\nu_x(y)}{dy}\right)_{y=0} \neq 0$ . Therefore, the primary Weissenberg number  $N_{We}$  agrees with the general Weissenberg number  $GN_{We}$  in asserting that there exists elastic effect at the wall for the fluid of grade 2.

Moreover, at the centerline of the channel, due to the symmetry of the velocity  $v_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{y})$ 

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}v_x(y)}{\mathrm{d}y}\right)_{\mathrm{centerline}} = 0,\tag{68}$$

hence Eqs. (66) and (67) give

$$GN_{We} = N_{We} = 0.$$
 (69)

That is, there is no elastic effect at the centerline for the fluid

of grade 2, contrasting sharply with the fully developed turbulent channel flow of a Newtonian fluid as shown by Eq. (63).

On comparing Eq. (66) with Eq. (67), we see that the general Weissenberg number  $GN_{We}$  can better describe the overall elastic effects than does the primary Weissenberg number  $N_{We}$ , since for a fluid of grade 2, in general,  $|\alpha_2| + |2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2| \ge 0$ . Here, it should be noted that the Cauchy stress tensor for a Reiner-Rivlin fluid  $\mathbf{T} = -p\mathbf{1} + \beta_1\mathbf{D} + \beta_2\mathbf{D}^2$  where  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_1$  are material constants; hence, the corresponding results in laminar channel flow are readily obtained by setting  $2\mu = \beta_1$ ,  $\alpha_1 = 0$  and  $4\alpha_2 = \beta_2$  in Eq. (65).

The above analysis indicates that, in laminar channel flow, the general Weissenberg number  $GN_{We}$  provides an overall measure of the elastic effects caused by the whole normal stress differences, noting that many complex phenomena of non-Newtonian fluids can be characterized by the normal stress differences, as shown, for example, by James [29], Boger [47], Bird and Wiest [48].

Indeed, in their study on the purely elastic instability in Taylor-Couette flow of visco-elastic fluids, Larson et al. [49] reported that the mechanism of the visco-elastic instabilities of Taylor-Couette flow bears some similarity to that which produces rod-climbing phenomenon, i.e., the Weissenberg effect, and the driving force for the instability is the interaction between the velocity fluctuation and the first (primary) stress difference in the base flow. Groisman and Steinberg [50] investigated experimentally the elastic turbulence in a polymer solution flow, showing that the turbulent flow of the polymeric fluid is completely characterized by the Weissenberg number  $Wi = \lambda \dot{\gamma}$ , meanwhile, the Reynolds number Re is negligibly small, in striking contrast to the turbulent flow of a Newtonian fluid that is characterized by the large Reynolds number. Besides, Larson [51] pointed out that the so-called "elastic turbulence" of viscous polymeric fluids actually has nothing to do with the inertia, since the Reynolds number *Re* can be as low as  $10^{-15}$ , but it is characterized by the Weissenberg number, the ratio of elastic to viscous forces in the fluids.

Remark 5. In fully developed homogeneous turbulent shear the natural Weissenberg number of turbulence flow.  $N_{We}^{\text{natural}} = \Lambda_0 S$ , where  $\Lambda_0$  is the natural time of turbulence (in certain sense, the relaxation time of the turbulence) and *S* is the mean shear rate, is very much like the Weissenberg number  $Wi = \lambda \dot{\gamma}$  for the elastic turbulence of a polymeric fluid studied by Groisman and Steinberg [50], where  $\lambda$  is the relaxation time of the fluid and  $\dot{\gamma}$  is the shear rate. Thus, the elasticity of both turbulent flows is actually described by the two Weissenberg numbers very much alike, respectively-however, the turbulence of a Newtonian fluid is characterized by the Reynolds number, which manifests the vital role the inertia plays in the development of turbulence, whereas, interestingly, the elastic turbulence of a polymeric fluid, which is characterized by the Weissenberg number, can occur without inertia.

### 4 Concluding remarks

Motivated by the seminal work of Weissenberg [1], Poynting [2], Truesdell [3, 14], Rivlin [4], Coleman et al. [32], in this work we have introduced the natural time of turbulence of a Newtonian fluid and the corresponding natural Weissenberg number of turbulence. We have defined the primary Weissenberg number of turbulence  $N_{We}$ , which in laminar flow reduces to the Weis-

senberg number used in rheology to characterize the elasticity of non-Newtonian fluids. Furthermore, we have put forth a general Weissenberg number of turbulence  $GN_{We}$  to measure the overall elastic effects of turbulence, which includes as a special case the primary Weissenberg number of turbulence  $N_{We}$ , and, besides, can be used to describe the elastic effects of non-Newtonian fluids in laminar flow. The experimental data of Tavoularis and Karnik [40] for homogeneous turbulent shear flow and the DNS data obtained by Kim et al. [41] for fully developed turbulent channel flow have been used to analyze the elastic effects of turbulence by employing the Weissenberg numbers of turbulence introduced in the present paper.

As far as the experimental work is concerned, measurements of the initial value of the natural time of turbulence  $\Lambda(t_0)$  and the one of the natural viscosity of turbulence  $\nu_N(t_0)$  need to be made in homogeneous turbulent shear flow. Truesdell [14] mentioned that it was not an easy task to accurately measure the natural time of a non-Newtonian fluid, citing Markovitz's experiments using solutions of polyisobutylene in a viscometric flow. It appears to be much more challenging to perform experiments to measure the natural time and natural viscosity of turbulence. noticing that Markovitz's experiments were performed in laminar flow of a non-Newtonian fluid. Therefore, extrapolation may likely be adopted to determine the natural viscosity and natural time of turbulence as the mean shear rate S approaches zero. Moreover, given the initial values of  $\nu_N(t_0)$  and  $\Lambda(t_0)$  that are expected to be obtained by experiments, naturally, it will be worth performing the large eddy simulations, the numerical simulations based on turbulence closure models, or the direct numerical simulations of the evolution of the natural viscosity of turbulence  $\nu_N(t)$  and of the natural time of turbulence  $\Lambda(t)$ , as the numerical simulation may provide a feasible means to overcome the difficulties often encountered in conducting the physical experiments. It should be noted that it is impossible to derive the natural time of turbulence on the basis of the Reynolds stress transport equation without the natural viscosity of turbulence, as clearly shown by Eqs. (35) and (36).

Over the past thirty years, a large number of researches have been carried out to investigate the instabilities in visco-elastic flows employing the Weissenberg number; see, for example, Joseph [52] investigating the instability associated with a change of type characterized by the Weissenberg number, Larson [53] addressing the flow instabilities caused by the normal stress differences, Renardy [44] on dealing with the high Weissenberg number boundary layer that leads to a sharp transition in the behavior of the Cauchy stresses near the wall as studied, e.g., by Hagen and Renardy [54], the purely elastic instability in shear flows associated with the normal stress differences that occur at nearly zero Reynolds number, and the visco-elastic Bénard problem, to mention a few. In addition, for recently published researches that are closely related to the normal stress differences in oscillatory shear flows of a number of typical viscoelastic fluids, we refer the reader to the work of Giacomin and Bird [55], Saengow and Giacomin [56]; see also Ref. [57].

Noticing the near-wall high-shear layer that occurs in transition in wall-bounded flows of a Newtonian fluid reported in a comprehensive review by Lee and Wu [58], which bears an interesting analogy to the bounded flows of visco-elastic fluids associated with the inequality of the normal stresses, and the recent research conducted by Zhu et al. [59] on the instability evolution that appears in the transitional boundary layer and its impact on the aerodynamic heating, it will be of interest to use the general Weissenberg number of turbulence  $GN_{We}$ , together with the approach of numerical simulation, to investigate the elastic effects of the laminar-to-turbulent transition from the perspective of the normal stress differences under shearing, namely, the Weissenberg effect.

### References

- K. Weissenberg, A continuum theory of rheological phenomena, Nature 159 (1947) 310–311.
- [2] J.H. Poynting, On pressure perpendicular to the shear-planes in finite pure shears and on the lengthening of loaded wires when twisted, in: Proceedings of the Royal Society of London 82 (1909) 546–549.
- [3] C. Truesdell, The mathematical foundation of elasticity and fluid dynamics, Journal of Rational Mechanics and Analysis 1 (1952) 125–300.
- [4] R.S. Rivlin, The relation between the flows of non-Newtonian fluids and turbulent Newtonian fluids, Quarterly of Applied Mathematics 15 (1957) 212–215.
- [5] H.W. Liepmann, Free turbulent flows, in: Mécanique de la Turbulence, edited by A. Favré, CNRS, Paris, pp. 211–226 (1962).
- [6] A.A. Townsend, The mechanism of entrainment in free turbulent flows, Journal of Fluid Mechanics 26 (1966) 689-715.
- [7] S.C. Crow, Viscoelastic properties of fine-grained incompressible turbulence, Journal of Fluid Mechanics 33 (1968) 1–20.
- [8] J.L. Lumley, Toward a turbulent constitutive equation, Journal of Fluid Mechanics 41 (1970) 413–434.
- [9] W. Noll, A mathematical theory of the mechanical behavior of continuous media, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis 2 (1958) 197–226.
- [10] C.G. Speziale, On turbulent secondary flows in pipes of non-circular cross-section, International Journal of Engineering Science 7 (1982) 863–872.
- [11] Y.-N. Huang, K.R. Rajagopal, On necessary and sufficient conditions for turbulent secondary flows in a straight tube, Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences 5 (1995) 111–123.
- [12] Z.-S. She, U. Frisch, O. Thual, Homogenization and Viscoelasticity of Turbulence, in: Macroscopic Modelling of Turbulent Flows, Springer, pp.1–13 (1985).
- [13] Y.-N. Huang, F. Durst, K.R. Rajagopal, The natural viscosity of turbulence, Journal of Turbulence 4 (2003) 033.
- [14] C. Truesdell, The natural time of a viscoelastic fluid: its significance and measurement, Physics of Fluids 7 (1964) 1134–1142.
- [15] C. Truesdell, The meaning of viscometry in fluid mechanics, Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics 6 (1974) 111–146.
- [16] P.-Y. Chou, On an extension of Reynolds' method of finding apparent stress and the nature of turbulence, Chinese Journal of Physics 4 (1940) 1–33.
- [17] P.-Y. Chou, On velocity correlations and the solutions of the equations of turbulent fluctuation, Quarterly of Applied Mathematics 3 (1945) 38–54.
- [18] P.-Y. Chou, R.-L. Chou, 50 years of turbulence research in China, Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics 27 (1995) 1–15.
- [19] J.L. Lumley, A.M. Yaglom, A century of turbulence, Flow, Turbulence and Combustion 66 (2001) 241–286.
- [20] J.C. Rotta, Statistische Theorie nichthomogener Turbulenz,

Zeitschrift für Physik 129 (1951) 547-572. (in German)

- [21] B.E. Launder, G.J. Reece, W. Rodi, Progress in the development of a Reynolds-stress turbulence closure, Journal of Fluid Mechanics 68 (1975) 537–566.
- [22] S. Fu, B.E. Launder, D.P. Tselepidakis, Accommodating the effects of high strain rates in modelling the pressure-strain correlation, Mechanical Engineering Department Report TFD/87/5, UMIST (1987).
- [23] B.E. Launder, An introduction to single-point closure methodology, in: Simulation and Modelling of Turbulent Flows, edited by T.B. Gatski, M.Y. Hussaini, J.L. Lumley, Oxford University Press, pp. 243–310 (1996).
- [24] S. Girimaji, A new perspective on realizability of turbulence models, Journal of Fluid Mechanics 512 (2004) 191–210.
- [25] C. Truesdell, Fluids of the second grade regarded as fluids of convected elasticity, Physics of Fluids 8 (1965) 1936–1938.
- [26] J.L. Lumley, Drag reduction by additives, Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics 1 (1969) 367–384.
- [27] D.V. Boger, Viscoelastic flows through contractions, Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics 19 (1987) 157–182.
- [28] G. Astarita, Letter to the editor, Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering 44 (1966) 59–60.
- [29] D.F. James, Boger fluids, Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics 41 (2009) 129–142.
- [30] C.A. García-Franco, A note on the elasticity of melts described by the primary normal stress difference (N1), Macromolecular Symposium 325 (2013) 184–193.
- [31] T.C. Niederkorn, J.M. Ottino, Mixing of a viscoelastic fluid in a time-periodic flow, Journal of Fluid Mechanics 256 (1993) 243–268.
- [32] B.D. Coleman, H. Markovitz, W. Noll, Viscometric Flows of Non-Newtonian Fluids, New York: Springer (1966).
- [33] D. Naot, A. Shavit, M. Wolfshtein, Interactions between components of the turbulent velocity correlation tensor, Israel Journal of Technology 8 (1970) 259–269.
- [34] G. Comte-Bellot, S. Corrsin, The use of a contraction to improve the isotropy of grid-generated turbulence, Journal of Fluid Mechanics 25 (1966) 657–682.
- [35] M.S. Mohamed, J.C. LaRue, The decay power law in grid-generated turbulence, Journal of Fluid Mechanics 219 (1990) 195–214.
- [36] Y.-N. Huang, On the thixotropic effect of turbulence, Theoretical & Applied Mechanics Letters 9 (2019) 113–119.
- [37] J.R. Stokes, L.J.W. Graham, N.J. Lawson, et al., Swirling flows of viscoelastic fluids. Part 2: Elastic effects, Journal of Fluid Mechanics 429 (2001) 117–153.
- [38] A. Harnoy, The role of the fluid relaxation time in laminar elastico-viscous boundary layers, Rheologica Acta 18 (1979) 210–216.
- [39] B. Meulenbroek, C. Storm, A.N. Morozov, et al., Weekly nonlinear subcritical instability of viscoelastic Poiseuille flow, Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics 116 (2004) 235–268.
- [40] S. Tavoularis, U. Karnik, Further experiments on the evolution of turbulent stresses and scales in uniformly sheared turbu-

lence, Journal of Fluid Mechanics 204 (1989) 457-478.

- [41] J. Kim, P. Moin, R. Moser, Turbulence statistics in fully developed channel flow at low Reynolds number, Journal of Fluid Mechanics 177 (1987) 133–166.
- [42] J. Azaiez, G.M. Homsy, Linear stability of free shear flow of viscoelastic liquids, Journal of Fluid Mechanics 268 (1994) 37–69.
- [43] M. Renardy, High Weissenberg number boundary layers for the upper convected Maxwell fluid, Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics 68 (1997) 125–132.
- [44] M. Renardy, Current issues in non-Newtonian flows: a mathematical perspective, Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics 90 (2000) 243–259.
- [45] A. Kaffel, M. Renardy, On the stability of plane parallel viscoelastic flows in the limit of infinite Weissenberg and Reynolds numbers, Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics 165 (2010) 1670–1676.
- [46] M. Keentok, A.G. Georgescu, A.A. Sherwood, et al., The measurement of the second normal stress difference for some polymer solutions, Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics 6 (1980) 303–324.
- [47] D.V. Boger, A highly elastic constant-viscosity fluid, Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics 3 (1977) 87-91.
- [48] R.B. Bird, J.M. Wiest, Constitutive equations for polymeric liquids, Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics 27 (1995) 169–193.
- [49] R.G. Larson, E.S.G. Shaqfeh, S.J. Muller, A purely viscoelastic instability in Taylor-Couette flow, Journal of Fluid Mechanics 218 (1990) 573–600.
- [50] A. Groisman, V. Steinberg, Elastic turbulence in a polymer solution flow, Nature 405 (2000) 53–55.
- [51] R.G. Larson, Turbulence without inertia, Nature 405 (2000) 27–28.
- [52] D.D. Joseph, Fluid Dynamics of Viscoelastic Liquids, New York: Springer Verlag, 1990.
- [53] R.G. Larson G. Larson, Instabilities in viscoelastic flows, Rheologica Acta 31 (1992) 213–263.
- [54] T. Hagen, M. Renardy, Boundary layer analysis of the Phan-Thien-Tanner and Giesekus model in high Weissenberg number flow, Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics 73 (1997) 181–189.
- [55] A.J. Giacomin, R.B. Bird, Normal stress differences in largeamplitude oscillatory shear flow for the corotational "ANSR" model, Rheologica Acta 50 (2011) 741–752.
- [56] S. Saengow, A.J. Giacomin, Normal stress differences from Oldroyd 8-constant framework: Exact analytical solution for large-amplitude oscillatory shear flow, Physics of Fluids 29 (2017) 121601.
- [57] R.B. Bird, A.J. Giacomin, Polymer fluid dynamics: continuum and molecular approaches, Annual Review of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering 7 (2016) 479–507.
- [58] C.-B. Lee, J.-Z. Wu, Transition in wall-bounded flows, Applied Mechanics Review 61 (2008) 030802.
- [59] Y.-D. Zhu, X. Chen, J.-Z. Wu, et al., Aerodynamic heating in transitional hypersonic boundary layers: Role of second-mode instability, Physics of Fluids 30 (2018) 011701.