


Journal Pre-proof

A systematic review on green human resource management: Implications for social sustainability

V.N. Amrutha, S.N. Geetha



PII: S0959-6526(19)34001-6

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119131>

Reference: JCLP 119131

To appear in: *Journal of Cleaner Production*

Received Date: 22 May 2019


Revised Date: 30 October 2019

Accepted Date: 31 October 2019

Please cite this article as: Amrutha VN, Geetha SN, A systematic review on green human resource management: Implications for social sustainability, *Journal of Cleaner Production* (2019), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119131>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2019 Published by Elsevier Ltd.



1 **A Systematic Review on Green Human Resource Management:**

2 **Implications for Social Sustainability**

3 V. N. Amrutha^a, S. N. Geetha^a

4 ^aDepartment of Management Studies

5 Anna University

6 Sardar Patel Road, Guindy

7 Chennai, Tamilnadu, India-600025

8
9 **Corresponding Author**

10 V. N. Amrutha

11 Department of Management Studies

12 Anna University

13 Sardar Patel Road, Guindy

14 Chennai, Tamilnadu, India-600025

15 e-mail id: amrutha.imk@gmail.com

16

17

18

19

1 **Abstract**

2 This article identifies current progressions and research gaps in Green Human Resource
3 Management literature and investigates the future of green practices in meeting the social
4 sustainability requirements of an organisation. Considering the growing awareness on greening
5 and sustainability, a systematic review of the domain specific literature was carried out using the
6 Scopus and Google Scholar databases which resulted in a set of 174 scientific articles between
7 1995 and 2019. NVivo Plus software version 12 was used for quantitative processing as well as
8 qualitative analysis of data. Content coding and cluster analysis were performed, the results of
9 which exhibited three clusters namely, green human resource management practices, employee
10 green behaviour at workplace and organisational sustainability. Further manual analysis revealed
11 social sustainability to be the least explored area than economic and environmental pillars of
12 sustainability. From this, the authors conceptually explored a theoretical model suggesting the
13 mediational role of 'employee green behaviour at workplace' in the relationship between 'green
14 human resource management practices' and 'social sustainability' of organisations using
15 grounded theory approach. Therefore, prioritising social equity, health, wellness and well-being,
16 this work examines the state-of-the-art in green human resource management research to unravel
17 the enormous potential of core green practices envisioning social sustainability, which has not
18 been established till date. Based on the content coding, clustering, and further analysis,
19 propositions, future paths and implications are also presented.

20 **Key Words:** Green Human Resource Management Practices, Employee Green Behaviour at
21 Workplace, Organisational Sustainability, Sustainable Human Resource Management, Social
22 Sustainability, Content Analysis.

23

1 **1. Introduction**

2 Green human resource management (GHRM) practices contribute to the sustainability
3 requirements of social equity, health, wellness and well-being of organisation and its employees
4 in addition to the achievement of economic stability and environmental balance. Previous studies
5 have explored the effects of GHRM on employee behaviour (Pham et al., 2019), environmental
6 performance (Kim et al., 2019), and financial performance (O'Donohue & Torugsa, 2016) of
7 organisations. However, the state-of-the-art of GHRM shows lack of studies relating to social
8 sustainability dimension of organisations. This study, therefore, tries to explain the conceptual
9 relationship between GHRM practices and social sustainability of organisations. Additionally, the
10 work attempts to bridge GHRM and Sustainability literature by proposing the mediating role of
11 employee green behaviour at work place using Ability, Motivation, Opportunity (AMO) theory
12 and Social Identity theory.

13 Growing awareness of sustainability and corporate social responsibility has led to the mounting
14 importance of human resources in most of the organisations, along with the financial, legal and
15 other aspects of cleaner production in business. While finance is widely accepted as 'the lifeblood
16 of business' (Renwick et al., 2008), human resource is gaining position as 'the soul of business',
17 especially in the environmental context (Jabbour & Santos, 2008a). A place of business can be
18 reconstructed from the ruins with exactly similar structure as before and may still continue to
19 perform well, while the loss of essential human capital may lead to a decline in the pace of
20 business (Lange, 2009). Human resources, thus, forms the knowledge base (Iqbal, 2018) of a
21 firm, motivating more companies to invest further into its future prospects.

22 Top notch business practices, providing unique advantage for a firm in the midst of global
23 competition calls for development and management of world-class human resource competencies

1 (Khandekar & Sharma, 2005; Ambec & Lanoie, 2008) to ensure that the values underlying their
2 strategic intent supports the accomplishment of sustainable development goals. Improved
3 organisational productive performance (De Prins et al., 2014), profitability, long-term growth and
4 development are guaranteed to all organisations that follow best resource utilisation practices
5 (Jackson et al., 2011). But the factual problem recounts in identifying the benchmarks and
6 implementing best practices. Moreover, the values, beliefs, attitude and behaviour of human
7 resources towards achievement of the sustainable development goals of their organisation remain
8 a serious question. In fact, concepts like “Going Green” and “Sustainability” are recurrently being
9 used in the contemporary corporate world, demanding every company to follow the best ‘eco-
10 friendly’ and ‘resource-efficient’ benchmark practices.

11 ‘Go-Green Movement’ across globe has created ‘Green Jobs’ in organisations (government, semi-
12 government and non-government), by promoting most efficient production policies that reduce
13 harmful consequences of industrial pollution, waste, and other toxic chemicals. According to the
14 Green Jobs: US Bureau of Labor Statistics (2013, p. 1), “green jobs consist of either producing
15 goods and services that benefit the environment and preservation of natural resources or those
16 jobs where the organisational workforce is involved in making their firms' production process
17 more reliable, sustainable and eco-friendly, thereby reducing its negative impact on the planet”.
18 Green resource capital and cleaner production technologies have reduced the negative impact on
19 environment (Wilkinson et al., 2001) and to some extent, even reverse the destruction of natural
20 resources as a whole (Ahmad, 2015).

21 The ‘Green wave’ (Esty & Winston, 2009) across societies, along with the massive structural and
22 technological transformation in business has upgraded the role of human resources in creating
23 better opportunities, especially in companies with long-term vision and leadership strategies.

1 Subsequently, strategic human resource management, e-human resource management and
2 increased concern for environmental protection have triggered the evolution of 'Green human
3 resource management' (GHRM) as a separate field (Renwick et al., 2013), both in theoretical and
4 practical perspectives (Opatha & Arulrajah, 2014; Shah, 2019). Employers readily deploy money
5 in retaining and developing a knowledge capital base for transforming their business into more
6 sustainable one through 'eco-innovation' (Huang et al., 2016) and 'people empowerment'
7 (Kazlauskaitė & Buciunienė, 2008). GHRM helps an organisation preserve its knowledge base
8 through best eco-friendly practices leaving no carbon-tracks of environmental imbalance (Mehta
9 & Chugan, 2015), thereby providing complementary business solutions to meet long-term
10 sustainability targets (Jabbour & Santos, 2008b).

11 Even though GHRM research has gained considerable attention now, much of the previous work
12 in this field (Lee, 2009; Lin & Ho, 2011) relates to awareness, adoption (Yong & Mohd-Yusoff,
13 2016) and implementation (Chan et al., 2014) of green human resource management practices in
14 organisations (Guerci & Carollo, 2016, Dagiliūtė et al., 2018). A systematic review of literature,
15 therefore, is necessary to explore the possible outcomes of adopting GHRM practices in
16 organisations. An in-depth review helps a researcher classify and reduce large amount of data
17 collected over the past through qualitative data analysis tools that use explicit and reproducible
18 selection criteria to identify relevant gaps in the literature (Nolan & Garavan, 2016). Through a
19 systematic review, this paper attempts to find out the possible research gaps in GHRM literature,
20 assessing the current trends and key elements underlying GHRM domain, using peer reviewed
21 journal publications from the Scopus and Google Scholar databases.

22 This article is divided into two sections. The first section discusses the state-of-the-art in green
23 human resource management domain using extensive literature review. Beginning with

1 definitions of GHRM suggested by eminent researchers in this field, this review presents various
2 functional dimensions of HRM where green philosophy is applied. Most frequently occurring
3 words were identified to understand the underlying structure of the contents in selected articles on
4 GHRM from 1995 to 2019. Codes were created accordingly and a cluster analysis was performed
5 to classify the implicit content of articles, transforming the latent information into meaningful
6 results through further analysis, supporting the decision-making process. Based on further manual
7 analysis, the second section of this article presents the concepts of employee green behaviour at
8 work place and eco-friendly practices for greening the human resource management functions,
9 that contribute to social sustainability of organisations. Thus, this novel work tries to relate human
10 resource practices with one of the 2030 sustainable development goals of better health, wellness
11 and well-being. Exploring the current global trends in GHRM, the authors have identified and
12 structured the main contents of 174 selected articles to develop a comprehensive model for
13 GHRM and social sustainability, stating the major external influencers and barriers to
14 implementation of green human resource practices in organisations, from an employee
15 perspective.

16 **2. Method**

17 In view of the growing awareness and importance of greening the human resource management
18 functions, articles from 1995 to 2019 were considered for review as environmental protection and
19 promotional activities gained importance during this period. The current paper has adopted
20 Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) standard, a
21 method of literature review suggested by Moher et al. (2010) to address the objectives outlined in
22 the introduction. Scopus and Google Scholar databases, which are the most commonly used
23 search databases, were considered for this study. Initial advanced search in Google Scholar as on

1 July 2019, with the exact phrase 'Green human resource management' resulted in 1660 articles
2 for the period 1995 to 2019. A parallel search in Scopus database using the same keywords
3 retrieved 1449 articles on GHRM. Further search using the key words 'Sustainability' and
4 'Sustainable Development' showed 573 articles in Scopus and 1050 articles in Google scholar
5 that elicited sustainable outcomes of GHRM. Articles on big data analytics, biofuels, medicines
6 etc. did not mention the human involvement in sustainability. Hence, these were considered
7 outside the scope of this study and were excluded from further search. Refining GHRM under the
8 context of 'Business and Management', 148 scientific articles were considered from Scopus and
9 138 from Google scholar, excluding subjects like 'Agricultural and Biological Sciences',
10 'Engineering', 'Environmental Science', 'Decision Sciences', etc. These refined sets of 148 and
11 138 articles were then consolidated using Mendeley reference software to remove duplicates as
12 well as the articles which appeared to give advice without supportive evidences. Subsequently,
13 the Scimago Journal Ranking for each of the journals was manually searched to ensure that the
14 data used for this current review appeared in peer reviewed journals. This also helped the authors
15 to overcome the discrepancies of selecting articles from Google scholar database. Thus, the final
16 sample set for the current review comprised a corpus of 174 top-rated scientific articles. A
17 summary of the selection process is represented in Figure 1, considering Moher et al. (2010) style
18 of systematic literature review. Search bases like Elsevier-Science Direct, Pro-Quest and JSTOR
19 were used with keywords such as Green Recruitment, Green Training, Green Rewards,
20 Organisational Sustainability, Social Sustainability, and Environmental Sustainability for
21 retrieving the full content of articles wherever necessary.




Figure 1. Summary of the selection process

The selected abstracts were then sorted and organised into specific folders using NVivo plus software version 12. This is the latest and one of the most powerful tools developed for qualitative and mixed method data analysis (Edhlund & McDougall, 2019). It supports analysis of qualitative content of interviews, scientific articles, text, audio, video, emails, images, spreadsheets, online surveys, etc. to extract the structure of underlying concepts. Using NVivo plus, sentiments, themes and attributes can be sorted and the results may be visualised to explore connections between different projects, to map new models for forthcoming work.

Using ‘word frequency query’ tool of the software, hundred most frequently occurring words were identified from 174 core publications in GHRM and the outputs were generated in the form of wordclouds and tree maps. As represented in Figure 2, the words ‘environmental’, ‘management’, ‘green’, ‘practices’, ‘performance’, ‘organisational’, ‘sustainability’ and ‘employees’ were found to be repeatedly used throughout the selected articles. Subsequently, the

1 authors identified major themes in these data using auto code wizard provided by the software.
2 NVivo 12 Plus supports automatic processing of large volume data by single user interface,
3 reducing the subjectivity of manual coding by the researchers as compared to traditional content
4 analysis (Edhlund & McDougall, 2019). The results generate a set of coded items with the words
5 similar to those identified by wordclouds, which can further be segregated and created as new
6 nodes by the researcher. Unlike manual coding, the auto coding feature of this software helps
7 users identify patterns, texts, themes and sentiments which are beyond the imagination of human
8 capacity. However, this has to be reworked, segregated and recoded to generate meaningful
9 results.



10
11 **Figure 2. Wordcloud of GHRM literature review**

12 The authors identified auto generated themes like 'green', 'environment', 'management',
13 'practices', sustainability, 'performance' and 'employees' and these were created as new nodes.
14 Manual classification was then done to create sub-codes which formed the basis of cluster
15 classification. As an example, 'Green management practices', 'human resource management
16 practices' were coded to the node 'green'; 'business performance', 'firm performance',

1 'organisation performance' were coded to 'performance'. Since employee behaviour at work was
2 identified as a prevalent theme, separate codes were created under 'employee' node.

3 A cluster analysis was then carried out to confirm the manually coded phrases. The results of
4 clustering are generally presented through a dendrogram, revealing the similarity and dissimilarity
5 between the objects classified (Hair et al., 2010). The cluster analysis, carried out by the NVivo
6 12 software, suggested three main categories, based on which further manual analysis was done
7 using MS Excel tool package, to identify the relevant gaps in GHRM literature. Subsequently,
8 GHRM-social sustainability gap was identified, from which a comprehensive model for GHRM
9 has been proposed. This work was carried out in line with the grounded theory approach that
10 involves identifying relevant research questions, theoretical sampling, data collection, coding
11 concepts, segregating into categories and sub-categories and finally developing a theoretical
12 model for further analysis (Glaser & Strauss, 2017). Grounded theory approach explains the
13 reality through inductive analysis and let the substantive theory develop from the analysis. The
14 results derived through such analysis form the basis of empirical testing of variables contributing
15 to the domain specific literature, practically and theoretically.

16 **3. Systematic Review of GHRM Literature**

17 **3.1. Outline of GHRM practices**

18 The method of systematic review used in this article shows wide-ranging assumptions about the
19 concept, usage, points of agreement and arguments on GHRM as represented using diversified
20 definitions in Table 1. The point of agreement is that managing individuals at employee level
21 starts when they enter a particular organisation, take part in each and every activity, develop,
22 expertise themselves and grow rich along with the organisational development until they move

1 out from the positions served. According to Ren et al. (2018), when the general human resource
 2 management practices of organisations are aligned with their environmental protection policies
 3 and preservation practices, a new dimension emerges from the field of ‘Human Resource
 4 Management’ known as ‘Green Human Resource Management’(GHRM). Greening the human
 5 resources involves a set of policies and practices of protecting the organisational workforce for
 6 preserving the abundant knowledge capital through best eco-friendly and cost-efficient ways
 7 (Masri & Jaaron, 2017; Tang et al., 2018). Greening applies to all functional activities of human
 8 resource management throughout their career using sustainable practices (Mustapha et al., 2017)
 9 and policies to deploy the scarcely available resources most efficiently, promoting the source of
 10 employee morale and satisfaction which is environmentalism. Policies and practices (Renwick et
 11 al., 2013) in the field of human resource management like recruitment, selection, training,
 12 appraisal, pay, compensation, rewards, and employee relations have become a powerful tool for
 13 aligning employees to organisational environmental strategies leading to long term sustainable
 14 performance (Zaid et al., 2018b).

15 **Table 1. Definitions of Green human resource management (GHRM) identified in literature**
 16 **review**

Author, Year and Page	Definitions
Renwick et al. (2013, p. 1)	“Green human resource management (GHRM) can be defined as the Human Resource Management aspects of environmental management”
Opatha and Arulrajah (2014, p. 104)	“Green HRM refers to all the activities involved in development, implementation and ongoing maintenance of a system that aims at making employees of an organisation green. It is the side of HRM that is concerned with transforming normal employees into green employees so as to achieve environmental goals of the organisation and finally to make a significant contribution to environmental sustainability”

Masri and Jaaron (2017, p. 1)	“Green Human Resources Management (GHRM) refers to using Human Resources Management (HRM) practices to reinforce environmentally sustainable practices and increase employee’s commitment on the issues of environmental sustainability”
Nejati et al. (2017, p. 2)	“Green HRM equips organisations with environmentally conscious, committed and competent employees which can help the organisation to minimize its carbon footprints through the efficient and effective use of existing resources, including telecommunication tools, less printing of papers, job sharing, and video conferencing”
Zaid et al. (2018a, p. 3)	“GHRM bundle can be considered as a cohesive set of human resource practices, which has consequences for the performance of manufacturing firms through: green hiring (GH); green training and involvement (GTI) and green performance management and compensation (GPC)”
Ren et al. (2018, p. 10)	“GHRM can be defined as phenomena relevant to understanding relationships between organisational activities that impact the natural environment and the design, evolution, implementation and influence of HRM systems”
Tang et al. (2018, p. 33)	“Greening the human resources involves a set of policies and practices for protecting the environment such as green recruitment and selection, green training, green performance management, green pay and rewards, green involvement”
Wikhamn (2019, p. 1)	“GHRM is the adoption of HRM strategies and practices that enable the achievement of financial, social and ecological goals, with an impact inside and outside of the organisation and over a long-term time horizon while controlling for unintended side effects and negative feedback”
Kim et al. (2019, p. 84)	“GHRM includes top management communication of the environmental policy, plan, and other pertinent information to employees, training employees to understand new environmental practices, empowering employees to engage in environmental activities and giving rewards that can stimulate employees to be environmentally responsible”

1


2 3.2. Analysis of Results

3 Business organisations at present are adopting more integrated approach towards environmental-
4 friendly management programs (Florida & Davison, 2001) that help in building up a sustainable

1 organisation culture (Liebowitz, 2010; Baumgartner & Rauter; 2017, Luu, 2018). However,
2 achieving this would require changing the overall mental outlook and behaviour of employees
3 towards green initiatives (Cui, 2017). It is an established fact that the employees having an
4 opportunity to make a direct environmental impact through their jobs report higher levels of
5 satisfaction at work compared to those who do not (Guerci et al., 2016b). Studies related to job
6 hunting practices also indicate that many potential candidates search for jobs having societal
7 impact and prefer to work with greener companies offering green jobs (Brekke & Nyborg, 2008;
8 Grolleau et al., 2012). Practices like job sharing, telecommunicating, teleconferencing, online
9 training, energy efficient use of office space, transportation pooling, flexible work schedule,
10 employee assistance program, wellness programme, employee's participation in environmental
11 protection programmes that encourage 'reduce, reuse, recycle' motto have been proven to reduce
12 the negative environmental impact resulting from business operations across sectors (Gast et al.,
13 2017).

14 A review of 174 articles on GHRM for a period of 25 years (1995-2019), revealed a skyward
15 trend citing the relevance of further research in this field as represented in Figure: 3. The required
16 articles included peer reviewed journals that published conceptual as well as empirical papers of
17 worldwide research on "Green human resource management" and "sustainability". The years
18 1995 to 2005 do not show significant work on greening the human resources (14 papers; 8.05%)
19 but it ignited the minds of people with the need for environmental protection and concern for
20 future generation requirements. From 2006 to 2015 (66 papers; 37.93%) the need for an
21 environmental revolution in business was experienced in all functional areas like production,
22 finance, operations, marketing, systems, and human resources. As a result, concepts like
23 sustainability, corporate social responsibility, sustainable human resource management, and green

1 human resource management gradually gained importance. Figure 3 depicts the development of
 2 GHRM as an inevitable field of research from the year 2016 as a result of improved go-green
 3 awareness among people and their burgeoning concern for environmental protection and
 4 sustainability. As many as 94 articles (54%) considered for the review were published between
 5 2016 and 2019.



6

7 **Figure 3. Year wise publication of Articles on Green Human Resource Management**

8 Analyzing the geographical trend in past GHRM research, it was found that adoption and
 9 implementation of green human resource management practices took place more in Europe:
 10 33.33% (58 papers) and Asia: 31.61% (55 papers). Research and development in the field of
 11 green human resource management practices were found more in European countries, among
 12 which most prominent were in UK: 9.77% (17 papers); Italy: 3.45% (6 papers); France: 2.3% (4
 13 papers); Spain: 2.3% (4 papers) and Belgium: 1.72% (3 papers) due to extremely rigid
 14 government rules and regulations for waste management and environmental protection. The waste
 15 management and environmental protection measures adopted by Europe date back to the second
 16 world war with the emergence of “German Green Party”, which supported the promotion of

1 healthier, greener and safer technologies (Wenk, 2006). The modern firms in Europe have
2 established associations with “The European Union’s Eco-management and Audit Scheme
3 (EMAS)”, enabling certification and implementation of ‘Environmental Management Systems’
4 within the European Union, promoting company-wide green policies and industry-wide
5 environmental protection programmes, according to Clause 9 of the DIRECTIVE 2014/95/EU
6 (Parliament, E. 2014). The directive principles of the European Union also require mandatory
7 practice of green initiatives for social and environmental protection as per the DIRECTIVE
8 2014/95/EU, Clause 7(Parliament, E. 2014).

9 According to the trend analysis, highly populated countries of Asia like India: 8.62% (15 papers),
10 Malaysia: 6.3% (11 papers) and China: 4.6% (8 papers) also have significant scholarly research in
11 GHRM, that explores better ways of avoiding pollution and health related problems so as to
12 ensure sustainability. For example, most of the Indian companies at present, increasingly go for
13 ISO 14001 certification to undertake sustainability initiatives that minimise the detrimental
14 hazards to human beings, animals and other living and non-living creatures to protect the human
15 environment, acting within the framework of the provisions contained in the Environmental
16 Protection Act of 1986. Similarly, the State Environmental Protection Administration of China
17 (SEPA) directly undertakes the country’s environmental protection initiatives like ‘green
18 labelling’ and ‘environmental certification’, spreading ‘environmental protection awareness’
19 through their international environmental protection treaty negotiations. The ‘Green China
20 Forum’, which has been developed recently to spread environmental protection awareness for
21 achieving the goal of ‘ecological civilisation’ and the ‘China National Environmental Monitoring
22 Centre’ which was formed to carry out extensive research to minimise the use of pollutants in
23 China, also support the SEPA in improving their national environmental quality.

1 The results of the trend analysis revealed that next to Europe and Asia, South America: 21.82%
2 (38 papers) had a greater number of valuable contributions to the GHRM field than that of North
3 America: 6.91% (12 papers). The rules, regulations and laws of specialized bodies like ‘United
4 States Environmental Protection Agency’ provide policy and guidance to all government, semi-
5 government and non-government regulated entities to meet the federal sustainability
6 requirements, and hold them accountable in case of any violation of the environmental laws.
7 Being one of the world’s richest economies, the US has set action plans like ‘The 2018 U.S.
8 Cities SDGs Index’ to overcome the sustainable development challenges relating to the
9 enhancement of economic opportunities, quality of life, and environmental management
10 objectives of US Sustainable Development. Very limited studies relating to the relevant literature
11 was done in Australia: 5.18% (9 papers), possibly due to its comparatively less density of
12 population and lower amount of environmental toxicity. However, Africa: 1.15% (2 papers) had
13 the least number of articles in greening of human resources for the past two decades, probably due
14 to the lack of proper green awareness and training.


15 Thus, the geographical location wise trend analyzed in this study examined the relevance of
16 GHRM research around the globe, the results of which point towards the future scope of GHRM
17 literature. It also demands exploration of more ‘green and lean’ practices, both at the individual
18 and organisational level, especially in developing countries, to overcome the subsequent glitches
19 in technological advancement and pollution nexus.

20 Considering the journals of publication, the research found 31 articles in Journal of Cleaner
21 Production (17.82% of the total articles), 14 articles in Journal of Business Ethics (8.05%), 10
22 papers in International Journal of Human Resource Management (5.75%), 6 studies in
23 Sustainability (3.45%), 4 (2.29%) papers each in Advances in Developing Human Resources,

1 Business Strategy and the Environment, Industrial and Commercial Training and International
2 Journal of Operations and Production Management, 3 (1.72%) articles each in Academy of
3 Management, Human Resource Management, Industrial Management and Data Systems,
4 Resources, Conservation and Recycling, International Journal of Hospitality Management and
5 International Journal of Production Economics. From Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources,
6 Cogent Business and Management, German Journal of Research in Human Resource
7 Management, Human Resource Management Review, International Business Research, Journal of
8 Business and Psychology, Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce, Personnel Psychology, and
9 Tourism Management 2 publications each (1.15%) were considered for the review. Rest of the 59
10 articles (33.95%) were from 59 different journals considering one publication each.

11 Most prominent studies in this domain (6.32%) were undertaken by Jabbour et al. (11 papers),
12 1.72% of studies by Renwick et al. (3 papers), 1.72% by Guerci et al. (3 papers), 2 papers (1.15%)
13 each by Boiral et al., Daily et al., Longoni et al., Paillé et al., Teixeira et al. and Wagner et al.
14 Remaining articles were contributed by different authors. The analysis revealed that most
15 dominant authors in this field are Jabbour, C.J.C, Renwick, D.W.S and Guerci, M., whose works
16 were repeatedly cited in 80% of the articles assessed.

17 The so extracted, organised and analysed 174 articles were clustered using NVivo 12, on the basis
18 of coding similarities, and were further analysed manually to create a meaningful platform for
19 theoretical model building. Cluster analysis classifies object similarities based on user selected
20 characteristics. It then generates an underlying structure of the contents implied in the data by
21 reducing large volume into fixed number of clusters or classes similar to Factor Analysis (Hair et
22 al., 2010) The 'cluster analysis' tool in NVivo Plus version 12 was used to generate a distance
23 tree with 3 main categories as represented in Figure. 4.



1

2

Figure 4. Classes identified in Cluster Analysis – NVivo Software

3 The distance tree or the dendrogram, showed 'employee workplace green behaviour' and 'green
 4 employee workplace performance' to be different from other objects coded, which formed the
 5 first cluster. Green awareness, increasing concern on pollution prevention and massive reduce,
 6 reuse, recycle motto are now prevalent among employees motivating them to develop green
 7 workplace practices. The practices like video conferencing and other green commute alternatives
 8 reduce travel time and money leading to cost-efficient use of resources. Further, activities like
 9 making the workspace green with plants, reduce electricity consumption, use of stairs instead of
 10 elevators, use of reusable cups instead of paper cups, reducing the amount of water consumption,
 11 actively participating in environmental protection workshops and seminars to spread green
 12 awareness are all considered as voluntary green practices by employees in their workplace. Such
 13 practices are different from the normal GHRM practices in the sense they are not imposed on
 14 employees rather this green culture is formed as a result of voluntary routine green initiative of
 15 employees (Dumont et al., 2017). Therefore, the first cluster generated was renamed 'Employee
 16 Green Behaviour at Workplace' to differentiate employee workplace practices from GHRM

1 practices, which seemed necessary. This was used in further analysis to identify the influence on
2 organisational sustainability.

3 The second cluster showed sustainable management practices, green management practices and
4 human resource management practices under one major category. This was categorised as 'Green
5 Human Resource Management Practices'. The broad framework of human resource management
6 consists of planning, organising, staffing, directing, controlling, receiving feedback and grievance
7 redressal. When aligned with environmental protection policies and objectives of an organisation,
8 it becomes Green Human Resource Management (Renwick et al., 2008, Arulrajah & Opatha,
9 2016). Researchers often interchange GHRM with Sustainable HRM (Wagner, 2011) and
10 therefore the second cluster showed an acceptable classification of the three concepts together
11 under 'Green Human Resource Management Practices'.

12 The final cluster formed was categorised as 'Organisational Sustainability'. A sub-cluster was
13 generated under this class with economic sustainability, business performance, firm performance
14 and organisation performance showing slight dissimilarity from other objects like social
15 sustainability and environmental sustainability. Researchers till date have considered the role of
16 GHRM in sustainability with diverse goals and assumptions, stating "Sustainability" as "that
17 development which meets the requirements of present organisations without compromising the
18 ability of future generations to meet their needs" (Brundtland, 1987). It universally encompasses
19 the three major pillars of Social, Economic and Environmental Sustainability in development that
20 are frequently recognised as the creation of balance between the 'People', 'Profits' and 'Planet'
21 (Gupta, 2018). The concept of sustainability has transformed business organisations (Ones &
22 Dilchert, 2012) from single-bottom-line of profit maximisation to the triple bottom line (People,
23 Profit and Planet) for the benefit of society and the environment as a whole (Garavan & McGuire,

1 2010; Ehnert & Harry, 2012). Sustainability programs are globally considered as key factors of
2 strategic development (Amui et al., 2017; Khandekar & Sharma, 2005) by many leading
3 corporations for building up a unique competitive advantage (Kazlauskaite & Buciuniene, 2008;
4 Siegel, 2009), giving concern to environmental protection and social well-being in addition to
5 economic performance. For example, in 'State of Green Business'-2019, it is said that corporate
6 sustainability has gained importance over the past decade (The GreenBiz report, 2019), beginning
7 with the eco-innovative sustainability strategies (Hellström, 2007; Lee et al., 2018) and eco-
8 imagination marketing initiatives of world-class business organisations like Walmart, General
9 Electric and McDonald's. Such green innovative management practices enhance employee
10 creativity leading to increased job satisfaction and organisational productivity, reducing harmful
11 consequences on the environment. These practices also enhance company brand image, attract
12 and retain top green talents, and increase further business opportunities contributing positively to
13 an organisation's sustainability strength (Santos et al., 2013).

14 The content analysis performed by the software showed environmental sustainability and
15 economic sustainability to be more explored than social sustainability pillar. To further analyse
16 this gap, and to overcome the discrepancies in auto coding and clustering procedure, the authors
17 manually reassigned and classified the corpus of 174 GHRM articles using MS Excel tool
18 package, the results of which are graphically presented in Figure 5. The titles and abstracts of the
19 selected data set were analysed to identify the number of articles on GHRM and sustainability. It
20 was found that 73.56% (128 papers) of the articles mentioned either green human resource
21 management or sustainable human resource management, awareness about the concept and the
22 need for implementation of green human resource management practices in business. Among 174
23 articles, 39 papers (22.41%) mentioned GHRM link towards triple-bottom line of sustainability,

1 whereas 22 papers (12.64%) established the role of employee workplace green behaviour and 9
 2 papers (5.17%) linked GHRM to Corporate Social Responsibility. The analysis revealed that 28
 3 papers (16.1%) contributed to environmental sustainability dimension alone and 19 papers (11%)
 4 among 174 GHRM studies contributed to achievement of economic sustainability dimension.
 5 However, the least contribution of GHRM articles was found regarding social sustainability (6
 6 papers; 3.4%) dimension. This finding in fact helped the authors to confirm the clusters developed
 7 previously and to analyse the gap from the systematic analysis.



8

9 **Figure 5. Green Human Resource Management linkage to Sustainability**

10 Evidently, the interface between GHRM and Sustainability is a rapidly emerging area of
 11 research. Since, human resource arranges and facilitates the functioning of all other departments,
 12 it becomes important for humans to go green (Wilkinson et al., 2001) integrating organisational

1 goals with the environmental goals for organisation's environmental sustainability (Govindarajulu
2 & Daily, 2004; Zoogah, 2018). Established GHRM practices have proven to improve
3 environmental commitment (Menguc & Ozanne, 2005; Zoogah, 2011;) of employees through best
4 human resource management practices that reduce the harmful consequences on natural
5 environment (Daily et al., 2012) creating an environmentally sustainable business (Paillé et al,
6 2014). Researches conducted over a decade revealed significant contributions to environmental
7 sustainability (Jabbour, 2013) initiatives through GHRM practices across organisations, reducing
8 adverse environmental impact (Jabbour & de Sousa, 2016).

9 Even though less explored, a few studies empirically proved the impact of GHRM practices on
10 economic side of sustainability, suggesting that, increased concern for green imperatives and
11 GHRM contribute to economic sustainability (O'Donohue & Torugsa, 2016) of organisations
12 reflecting in higher levels of income, wealth, profit (Maletič et al., 2018) and better pay scale to
13 employees. Such sustained economic activities of organisation in turn contributes to GDP and the
14 economic growth of the country. Digitalization and e-Human Resource management have
15 changed the face of traditional HRM activities to online recruitment, e-training and development,
16 enterprise resource planning and online grievance redressal, transforming it to a faster business
17 world with better inflows. Companies investing in corporate social responsibility (Bučiūnienė &
18 Kazlauskaitė, 2012; Sheehan et al., 2014) and green activities expecting the best and quicker
19 payback, also foster other benefits such as lower cost of production, higher efficiency (Roy &
20 Khastagir, 2016) and increased profitability. Research evidence supports that higher levels of
21 GHRM practices lead to improved efficiency (Harvey et al., 2013), financial performance
22 (Perramon et al., 2014; Miroshnychenko et al., 2017) and profitability (Obeidat et al., 2018),
23 making stronger the economic pillar of sustainability.

1 The third facet of organisational sustainability covers one of the ‘2030 Sustainable Development
2 goals’ of achieving healthy lives, promoting the wellness and wellbeing of each and every human
3 being at all ages, which is known as social sustainability. Unlike economic and environmental
4 pillars, social sustainability includes qualitative dimensions that make it a difficult to measure
5 construct in academic research (Staniškienė & Stankevičiūtė, 2018). The most interesting finding
6 of this manual classification was the negligible number of studies concerning the societal impact
7 of GHRM adoption and implementation, which might possibly due to ambiguities and tensions
8 prevailing regarding measurement scale of the construct. This major gap provides academicians
9 and researchers a choice for exploring the social side of sustainability in forthcoming green
10 human resource management research.

11 **4. Discussion: A proposed model on GHRM**

12 The systematic review of GHRM research revealed that much importance was given to adoption
13 and implementation of green hr practices from 2016, mostly in European and Asian countries. As
14 many as 31 articles of the selected corpus were published in the Journal of Cleaner Production.
15 The meta analyses provided the relevance of further research in GHRM. The review also found
16 that green activities were implemented in most of the organisations as a mandatory practice under
17 corporate social responsibility agenda. GHRM research, so far, has conceptually and
18 scientifically, established the role of green human resources management in enhancing
19 organisational productive performance, reducing the harmful consequences on environment.
20 However, laying of an empirical foundation for the possible social, economic and environmental
21 outcomes of human resource greening is at its evolving stage envisioning the need for extensive
22 research in this field. The human side of sustainability is at its infant stage and subsequently,
23 questions like “Do green human resource management practices in organisations contribute to

1 better health, wellness and well-being of employees?” and “What is the role of employee green
2 behaviour at workplace in achieving the triple bottom-line sustainability of their organisation ?”
3 remain yet to be addressed, balancing social context of business sustainability in line with
4 ecological and financial sustainability.

5 As identified, human resource departments play a major role in greening the policies and practices
6 from entry to exit processes like recruitment and selection, training and development,
7 performance appraisal, rewards, compensation management and exit policies that are collectively
8 termed GHRM practices, within the framework of Ability Motivation Opportunity (AMO)
9 Theory (Renwick et al., 2013). Further, the literature review carried for current article revealed
10 that activities like employee relations, discipline management, green teams, green culture
11 management, career planning and development, green job description and specification, green
12 employee engagement, health and safety assurance can also be included under GHRM practices
13 bundle. The AMO theory applied in greening context emphasis on the hr policies and practices
14 relating to environmental protection that enhance human capabilities, resulting in sustainable
15 outcomes through increase in human capital and environmental values. Through a systematic
16 review of literature, the following were identified as green human resource management practices,
17 in line with the AMO theory framework suggested by Renwick et al. (2016).

18 **Green Employee Acquisition:** Right quantity and quality of resources are essential forces behind
19 the success of any business. The need for environmental protection and preservation programs
20 have led organisations to search for personnel with green values who can contribute to creation of
21 environmentally oriented workforce (Renwick et al., 2013; Bowen et al., 2018). It has been
22 widely noticed that not only organisations look for green employees (Gully et al., 2013) but also
23 the applicants hunt for greener companies (Dagiliūtė et al., 2018). People choose firms that offer

1 them green culture and green values at work upon the perception that such companies would
2 provide job security, better career prospects, and long-term sustainability. However, it is the
3 responsibility of organisations to attract and retain human resources by expressing their concern
4 for environmental values (Gast et al., 2017) in the advertisements, giving special priority to the
5 required potential talents (Bauer & Aiman-Smith, 1996) with green values (Aiman-Smith et al.,
6 2001; Hunter et al., 2012). It will, in turn, help the companies become environmental champions
7 (Chaudhary, 2018) by building up a green brand image (Wehrmeyer, 1996). Thus, acquisition and
8 retention of top green talents that comes under 'Ability' aspect of AMO theory has become one of
9 the most important tools for any organisation to achieve sustainability targets through green and
10 online (paperless) recruitment procedures, video conferencing, virtual interviews etc.

11 **Green Employee Training:** Acquisition of green talent becomes more meaningful when
12 followed by green training for environmental protection activities to develop and retain top green
13 talents and overcome the challenges (Gardas et al., 2019) of the global business world (Aragão &
14 Jabbour, 2017; Longoni et al., 2018). Developing the green abilities through training also forms
15 under the 'Ability' aspect of AMO theory. Retention of high-performance workforce with green
16 values require specific environmental related training and education (Madsen & Ulhoi, 2001;
17 Teixeira et al., 2012). Green training and development enhance an organisation's ethical culture
18 (Guerci et al, 2015), increase employee engagement and commitment to their organisation thereby
19 contributing to Corporate Social Responsibility (Batista & Francisco, 2018) and Sustainability
20 (Garavan & McGuire, 2010; Cheema & Javed, 2017). Environmental awareness workshops and
21 seminars form the major green initiatives in organisations for creating eco-sensitive, resource
22 efficient and socially responsible workforce (Ulus & Hatipoglu, 2016), but the real effects of such
23 programmes still remain under-explored.

1 **Green Employee Appraisal:** Greening of performance management system, employee appraisal
2 and development of green leadership competencies (Jia et al., 2018) can lead to employee
3 satisfaction, better creativity and eco-innovation, reducing the negative consequences on eco-
4 system by adhering to meet the requirements of Corporate Social Responsibility (Barakat et al.,
5 2016). Appraisal and support of workplace green practices by the supervisors and top
6 management form the 'Motivation' aspect of AMO framework. Performance appraisal for green
7 activities help develop a sense of belongingness and satisfaction among employees (Temminck et
8 al., 2015), creating a high-performance workforce. It is also essential for motivating green staff to
9 improve performance efficiency and organisational effectiveness. Such approaches to
10 performance management balance the financial goals of an organisation with the socio-
11 environmental goals and benefits multinational corporations with sustained unique competitive
12 advantages through employee empowerment and employee well-being (Maley, 2014).

13 **Green Employee Rewards:** Green appraisal and monetary rewards contribute to better employee
14 performance when coupled with green rewards (Wagner, 2013) such as flexible work schedules
15 and work from home facilities. Green commute alternatives like free cycles and van-pooling also
16 reduce carbon emissions and facilitate eco-efficiency (Longoni et al., 2014). Green rewards
17 motivate employees to engage in green activities at work and therefore, come under 'Motivation'
18 aspect of AMO theory. Even though green rewards enhance employee engagement (Ramus &
19 Steger, 2000), there is lack of proper evidence to show that indirect compensation benefits
20 directed towards sustainability initiatives attract senior management's commitment and attention
21 to such activities (Ramus, 2001).

22 **Green Employee Involvement:** Engaging and empowering employees to undertake green
23 initiatives (Hanna et al., 2000) at work is an inevitable part of greening the human resources of a


1 firm. Through employee participation in green initiatives, organisations are providing
2 opportunities to employees, as regarded under 'Opportunity' aspect of AMO framework. The
3 process of decision making becomes a much simpler task when people are given green
4 opportunities and the end result would be a competitive workforce with high green values that
5 benefit forward-thinking organisations (Daily et al., 2012). Green empowered employees better
6 understand the need for eco-initiatives (Ramus & Steger, 2000) and the importance of concern for
7 environmental protection. An empirical investigation (Javed & Cheema, 2017) on the importance
8 of GHRM adoption in agriculture industry revealed that green farming activities like organic
9 farming, reduced use of pesticides, reduced greenhouse gas emissions, etc., are better understood
10 among 'pro-green' employees. Therefore, more open communication and green practice
11 encouragement from the top officials are necessary for forward-thinking organisations to promote
12 employee empowerment (Daily & Huang, 2001; Yin et al., 2019).

13 **Other Green HR Practices:** Special teams for encouraging environmental protection initiatives
14 are becoming common in environmentally oriented organisations (Daily & Huang, 2001; Jabbour,
15 2011). Such teams, known as 'Green Teams' (Jabbour et al., 2013), may be formed either
16 voluntarily or as per the organisational policy requirements (Al Kerdawy, 2018) to promote the
17 reduce, reuse and recycle motto. Green teams encourage organisational members to practice eco-
18 friendly activities on a routine basis (Lapiņa et al., 2014), thereby creating better and healthy
19 workplace. Most of the employees prefer healthy and safer workplaces which ensures job security
20 and job satisfaction. Organisational objectives of a better workplace (Norton et al., 2014) can be
21 made possible through 'Green Health and Safety' management practices like wearing helmet,
22 gloves, and glasses wherever necessary, proper waste management at workplace, etc. Practices
23 like 'Green Employee Discipline Management' and 'Green Employee Succession Planning'

1 (Florea et al., 2013), also form green ‘Opportunities’ under GHRM initiatives that any
2 environmentally concerned organisations can adopt for meeting their future sustainability
3 requirements.

4 The results of content analysis using NVivo 12 and manual analysis using MS Excel carried out
5 in this study identified little or no research conducted to explore the contribution of green human
6 resource management practices to social sustainability. As represented earlier in figure 2, the
7 relationship between green practices and environmental management as well as organizational
8 performance were more explored than social aspect. Further analysis (figure 5) also showcased
9 GHRM-Social sustainability link to be less studied than economic and environmental
10 sustainability. This is in line with the findings that the social aspect is not much explored and
11 remains the weakest pillar (Saeed et al., 2019) due to the ambiguities existing regarding this
12 difficult to measure qualitative construct (Staniškienė & Stankevičiūtė, 2018) among researchers
13 and practitioners, whereas, economic sustainability and environmental sustainability dimensions
14 can easily and clearly be expressed through quantitative indicators. The results of cluster analysis
15 (figure 4) revealed that ‘employee green behaviour’ need to be studied as a separate variable. In
16 case of environmentally concerned organisations, green attitude and behaviour of employees at
17 work are the outcomes of daily green practices and policies. Empirical evidences also suggest that
18 GHRM practices are positively related to employee green behaviour and citizenship behaviour
19 (Pinzone et al., 2016; 2019; Pham et al., 2019b), contributing to the multi-dimensional view of
20 organisational sustainability. Even though GHRM practices have recently been conceptualised to
21 promote green work-life balance (Muster & Schrader, 2011; Celma et al., 2018), GHRM link,
22 from an employee perspective, to the dimensions of social sustainability via employee green
23 behaviour at workplace is not established till date, which is a major finding in this literature.

1 Greening the traditional human resource practices would add value to the sweltering field of
 2 sustainable development (Haddock-Millar et al., 2016, Bombiak & Marciniuk-Kluska, 2018) and
 3 contributes social benefits to the society and stakeholders. Considering this, authors have built a
 4 model, as given in Figure 6, showcasing how green human resource management practices
 5 contribute to an organisation's social sustainability dimension from the perspective of employees,
 6 meeting the corporate sustainability requirements. This model also suggests the mediating role of
 7 employee green behaviour at workplace in the above relationship, as it was formed as a separate
 8 cluster during analysis and identified as an outcome of GHRM practice implementation from the
 9 past studies. Further, the contextual influencers and barriers of GHRM- Sustainability relationship
 10 are also highlighted.



11

12

Figure 6. Proposed model linking Green Human Resource Management and Social

Sustainability

1
2 A study on GHRM-sustainability link by Yong et al. (2019) using resource-based view (RBV)
3 theory revealed that green recruitment and green training positively contributes to sustainability.
4 However, green selection, job description, performance and rewards were not found to be
5 significant predictors. From the perspective of employees, cleaner production along with green
6 recruitment, training, performance appraisal, rewards and autonomy develop 'Abilities', increase
7 'Motivation' and enhance 'Opportunities' (AMO), contributing to the triple bottom line of
8 organisational sustainability through employee commitment and behaviour (Pham et al., 2019a).
9 Environmental management becomes an easy task when environmentally responsible behaviour
10 of employees result from green hr practices that create green abilities (A) through environmental
11 training and provide them green motivation (M) in the form of green rewards. When the
12 employees are provided sufficient opportunities (O) to practice what they acquire from the firm,
13 achievement of organisational objectives would be much closer (Guerci et al., 2015),
14 experiencing better performance, ecological stability, health, wellness and wellbeing. Empirical
15 evidence by Staniškienė and Stankevičiūtė (2018) put forward health, safety, wellness and
16 wellbeing to be the most relevant determinants of social sustainability. Achievement of health,
17 wellness and wellbeing may be possible when every single organisation implements green human
18 resource management practices, transforming normal employees into green employees.
19 Accordingly, this article tends to bridge the gap in GHRM literature by conceptually linking
20 specific GHRM practices to social sustainability and propose that:

21 **Proposition 1:** Green human resource management practices like green hiring, green training,
22 green appraisal, green rewards and green employee involvement in an organisation significantly
23 contribute to the achievement social sustainability goals of that organisation, in terms of

1 employee health and safety, equity, wellness and well-being, from an employee perspective.
2 Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) policies (Lapiņa et al., 2014; Jamali et al., 2015) demand
3 all functional departments to undertake green initiatives (Bansal & Roth, 2000; Sheehan et al.,
4 2014), and for any organisation, observance of such CSR activities cannot be imagined without
5 the active participation of human resources. Employee's pro-environmental behaviour (Graves et
6 al., 2019) or sustainability-related behaviour in a firm (Boiral, 2009), their attitude, values,
7 beliefs, skills and motivation will, to some extent, be based on the GHRM practices framed
8 under the AMO theory. Employee green behaviour involves the degree to which employees take
9 personal initiatives in acting eco-friendly ways in an organisation, which will be influenced by the
10 degree of 'Ability', 'Motivation' and 'Opportunity' provided to them by their employer. Green
11 behaviour of employees comprises task related green behaviour such as double-sided printing,
12 conserving electricity, video conferencing instead of travelling, etc., on the one hand, and non-
13 task related green behaviour like segregation of waste, green commute, use of stairs instead of
14 elevators, etc. on the other hand. Bissing-Olson et al. (2013) suggested that employee's daily
15 affect, pro-environmental attitude and daily task-related pro-environmental behaviour will
16 enhance their daily proactive pro-environmental behaviour. Dumont et al. (2017) conducted an
17 empirical survey of GHRM, linking it with employee workplace green behaviour. They found that
18 'psychological green climate' mediates 'GHRM' and 'employee green behaviour', and that the
19 'individual green values' moderate the relation between 'psychological green climate' and
20 'employee green behaviour'. GHRM practices thus tend to create green employees (Nishii et al.,
21 2008) who understand the green values of their organisation, adhering to the corporate social
22 responsibility (Backhaus et al., 2002, Rayner & Morgan, 2018) requirements. Therefore, in line
23 with the above, but from the perspective of employees, we propose that:

1 **Proposition 2:** Specific green human resource management practices like green hiring, green
2 training, green appraisal, green rewards, and green employee involvement in an organisation will
3 have a significant influence on routine green behaviour of employees at workplace in that
4 organisation.

5 Proactive and protective environmental management practices of organisations reduce the
6 negative carbon trails through employee pro-environmental behaviour (Bamberg & Möser, 2007;
7 Kim et al., 2019) and help them reap the long-term benefits of ecological balance (Paillé et al.,
8 2017). When employees are motivated, giving sufficient opportunities to practice greening, they
9 will better understand the company's green strategies and need for environmental protection
10 which helps them develop a sense of green identity. According to Social Identity theory (Tajfel,
11 2010) when individuals develop a sense of identity, it will have influencing effect on their
12 personal and group behaviour. As a result of this green identity, they work, act and behave in eco-
13 friendly ways, creating a green climate within the organisation. Subsequently, green learning,
14 green team work and green innovations take place under green organisational climate contributing
15 to organisational sustainability. The current article strongly put forward that green human
16 resource management practices create green identity and enhance pro-environmental behaviour of
17 employees, which in the long run will lead to social equity, health, wellness and well-being of
18 employees and their organisation as well. Till date, no research has been undertaken to examine
19 the effect of employee green behaviour on social sustainability dimension, from the insight of
20 employees, in the context of Social Identity Theory. Therefore, in line with the findings of Social
21 Identity Theory we propose that:

22 **Proposition 3:** Employee green behaviour at workplace significantly contributes to organisations
23 social sustainability dimensions of better health, wellness, equity and well-being, from an

1 employee perspective.

2 Green human resource management practices are mostly framed within the context of Ability,
3 Motivation, Opportunity theory (Renwick et al., 2013) and linked to the outcomes like
4 sustainability and sustainable development using Social Exchange theory and Social Identity
5 theory. According to the AMO theory, identifying and developing green competencies (Cabral &
6 Dhar, 2019) are one of the major criteria for organisational performance improvement (Mohtar &
7 Rajjani, 2016). Companies identify green talents and provide them the required environmental
8 training to develop “Green Abilities”. When such employees are provided with “Green
9 Motivation” like green performance appraisal and green rewards, they feel more satisfied and
10 attracted towards their organisation. Giving further “Green Opportunities”, to explore into the
11 corporate world through better flexibility at work, autonomy and employee participation in
12 decision making, increases employee green behaviour at workplace. As an exchange process, this
13 will enhance organisation commitment and trust, making the employees proud of their work for
14 the company. Social Identity Theory, which is currently being used in GHRM research says that
15 when employees develop green identity at workplace, it will guide their behavioural intention,
16 and subsequently their behaviour towards meeting the triple bottom line of sustainability. For
17 example, in light of Social Identity theory, Kim et al. (2019) revealed that if employees are
18 environmentally concerned, they are more likely to adapt green human resource management
19 practices of a company that increase their green behaviour at work, which in turn, will influence
20 environmental performance of the company. Similarly, the present study suggests that green
21 human resource management practices of a company enhance routine green behaviour of
22 employees at workplace which will improve health and wellbeing of employees, strengthening the

1 social sustainability pillar of their organisation. Therefore, within the contexts of Ability
2 Motivation Opportunity Theory and Social Identity Theory, we propose that:

3 **Proposition 4:** Green human resource management practices like green hiring, green training,
4 green appraisal, green rewards, and green employee involvement in an organisation contribute to
5 enhancement of an organisation's social sustainability dimensions of better health, wellness,
6 equity and well-being of employees through the mediational effect of employee green behaviour
7 at workplace.

8 Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR): It requires every organisation to consider the
9 consequences of each business dealing in light of its effects on stakeholders (Carroll,1999).
10 Though concern for CSR started long back in 1950's, it gained much importance recently with
11 increase in concern for environmental protection. CSR demands every company to consider social
12 equality, health, and well-being through environment-friendly practices that enhance
13 environmental sustainability, apart from the tradition of 'working for profit'. Companies not
14 adhering to the CSR requirements are no longer considered stable and ethical (Loureiro et al.,
15 2012). Therefore, most of the forward-thinking organisations, at present, adopt eco-friendly
16 business practices and highlights CSR activities for achieving the sustainability targets. For
17 example, the success of Sun Pharmaceuticals Industries Ltd., a listed green company in the health
18 care sector in India, is attributed to rigorous green human resource management activities like
19 recruitment, selection, performance appraisal, orientation, training and development as well as
20 employee relation enhancement at workplace. They gave top priority to investment in 'Corporate
21 Social Responsibility' (CSR) in order to achieve environmental sustainability through green
22 practices such as discouraging use of paper works, pooling of car facility, use of more natural
23 sunlight during day hours than electricity, work from home facilities, tree plantation camps,

1 teleconferences, and conduct of annual 'Going green' survey (Suri & Banerji, 2016).

2 **Contextual Influencers and Barriers:** GHRM implementation (Tooranloo et al, 2017) in an
3 organisation is influenced by the stakeholders (Guerci et al., 2016a; Järlström et al, 2018) through
4 complex interactions of culture and politics internally (Ferris & King, 1991) and other legal rules,
5 regulations, government interventions and trade unions externally. For example, Preuss et al.
6 (2006), research on trade union and CSR in the European context found that trade unions have a
7 significant influence on eco-friendly initiatives and CSR activities of companies. According to
8 them, in those countries having rigid rules and regulations, trade unions and their institutional
9 infrastructure perceive CSR as a means to gain more power for managers, which accordingly
10 influence management practices and employees of the organisation. However, in countries where
11 rules are lenient and the trade unions lack legitimacy to shape CSR agenda, their influence on
12 management practices seems less. Therefore, in line with the above findings, the present study
13 considers political conditions, government regulations and labour union involvement to be the
14 major influencers of implementing GHRM practices and shaping employee green behaviour for
15 achieving the social sustainability targets of an organisation.

16 In addition to these contextual influencers, there are dispositional impediments like lack of
17 awareness on green practices among employees, their environmental values and attitudes,
18 resistance to change, low motivational green support from supervisors and managers etc. that
19 hinder adoption of GHRM practises. The situational barriers include limited financial options,
20 lack of adaptability to specific markets (Visvanathan & Kumar, 1999) and underdeveloped value
21 chains (Zhu & Geng, 2013). The technological barriers (Foxon & Pearson, 2008) such as high
22 cost of implementation at mass level, expensive conventional alternatives and recycling
23 procedures also remain the major challenges in implementation of GHRM at organisational level,

1 especially in developing countries (Yusliza et al., 2017). The current article, therefore, consider
2 these dispositional, situational and technological barriers in the implementation of green human
3 resource practices and its subsequent effects on employee green behaviour and organisations'
4 social sustainability.

5 **Theoretical and Practical Implications to Sustainability:**

6 Sustainability and sustainable development are currently one of the greatest concerns for all
7 organisations, government agencies and practitioners (Olawumi & Chan, 2018). From an
8 employee perspective, this is the first work that conceptualises green human resources under
9 social sustainability context through the mediational role of employee green behaviour at work
10 place. Viewing GHRM as a tool for achieving social sustainability has the following theoretical
11 and practical implications. Green human resource practices like green recruitment, green training
12 (Pinzone et al., 2019) and green rewards are not only confined to environmental performance
13 improvement, but also create green employees, help improve daily employee behaviour and
14 subsequently the work culture. Green culture at work will in turn lead to creation of healthy and
15 safe work place ensuring employee wellness and wellbeing. Even though, previous research
16 works established the environmental and financial benefits of adopting green human resource
17 management practices in organisations, the social benefits are not explored in the literature.
18 Therefore, contributing to the AMO theory and Social Identity Theory from an employee
19 perspective, this work forms a base for researchers to further explore the relation of GHRM and
20 social sustainability by empirically testing the effects of each green practice on health, wellness
21 and wellbeing of employees.

22 In practical perspective, companies investing in green practices for environmental quality

1 improvement should also consider the need for social sustainability. Awareness should be created
2 among employees that the green practices of their organisation would ensure better life to the
3 employees with equity, health, wellness and wellbeing in the long run. Healthy and safer
4 workplaces with environmental-friendly work practices are essential to the realisation of
5 organisational sustainability objectives. Similarly, deep understanding of how green employee
6 behaviour affects an organisation, help authorities bridge the gap between green policy
7 implementation and green goal realisation in the long run. The current model, therefore, guides
8 managers and policy makers to implement potentially greener and better hr policies that would
9 motivate employees to behave eco-friendly at work. This in turn helps meeting social
10 sustainability requirements that has now become an inevitable component of organisational
11 sustainability to all forward-thinking organisations. This novel concept offers chances for
12 business entities to set realistic green target to improve employee behaviour at work and enhance
13 employee commitment and satisfaction, thereby meeting the social sustainability requirements, in
14 addition to economic prosperity and environmental performance.

15

16 **5. Conclusion**

17 Studies concerning organisational social sustainability as an outcome of green human resource
18 management practices were hardly found in GHRM literature, which is a major gap that this study
19 attempts to bridge. A model for social sustainability is conceptually explored in this work through
20 green human resource management practices and employee green behaviour at workplace, using
21 an in-depth analysis of peer reviewed articles in GHRM. The authors identified different green
22 and clean production practices adopted in managing organisational human capital, targeting
23 organisational sustainability strategies of enhancing the productivity of 'People', which in turn

1 will have long term upshots on the “Profit” and “Planet”. Through a systematic literature review
2 and content analysis, most frequently occurring themes in collected data sample were identified.
3 These were then segregated, coded and clustered to form a structural base for further manual
4 analysis, which revealed the social dimension of sustainability as the least investigated field.
5 From this, we developed a model that conceptually explores the mediational role of ‘employee
6 green behaviour at workplace’ in the relationship between GHRM practices and social
7 sustainability of organisations from employee perspective, which has not been established by any
8 of the previous researchers in this domain.

9 Further, the systematic review revealed that, Corporate Social Responsibility requirements were
10 the major reason for GHRM initiatives in many organisations. Increasing concern for Corporate
11 Social Responsibility demands employers to adopt green protocols in all the functional
12 departments of their organisation. GHRM practices attract top green talents or create green talents
13 through environmental protection related training and development that leads to the employee
14 level outcomes of satisfaction, commitment and intention to stay. Green employees better
15 understand the green values of their organisation and helps easy achievement of organisational
16 sustainability goals. If their job specification provides the daily green duties and responsibilities
17 of each employee, achievement of green goals through human resources would be a much simpler
18 task. Other practices like employee appraisal for environmental protection initiatives, green
19 rewards based on green performance, green teams to promote greening of organisations,
20 welcoming employee suggestions regarding greening would also develop employee green
21 behaviour at workplace. Subsequently, employees practice task related and non-task related green
22 behaviour at workplace that enhance social wellness and well-being leading to complete
23 realization of organisational sustainability goals.

1 This study has the following limitations, that may be addressed in the future research works. First,
2 only the articles relating to greening and environmental aspect from mid 1990s were considered.
3 Next, it excluded the works that did not mention the greening of organisational human capital, for
4 example, big data analytics, biofuels, medicines etc. Then, the articles reviewed and analyzed in
5 this study proposed a model in view of the social sustainability outcome of green human resource
6 management practices on the basis of Ability, Motivation, Opportunity theory and Social Identity
7 Theory, which remained suitable to the context. Other theories like Institutional theory,
8 Stakeholder theory and Respect Openness Continuity theory which are used in this domain were
9 not considered.

10 Despite these limitations, this study has explained the importance of social sustainability outcome
11 of greening human resources management functions which help academic researchers in bridging
12 the gaps in GHRM domain. It can be concluded that GHRM practices potentially contribute to the
13 three aspects of organisation sustainability (people, planet, and profit). However, researches in the
14 area of social sustainability still remain under covered, leaving it the weakest pillar of
15 sustainability. Empirical studies can be conducted in future to establish the relationship between
16 green human resource management practices and social line of organisational sustainability,
17 including the mediating and moderating effects of possible determinants such as employee green
18 behaviour at workplace, government interventions and trade union.

19

20

21 **Acknowledgements**

1 The authors would like to thank the Centre for Research, Anna University, Chennai, for the
2 financial support.

3

4

5 **References:**

- 6 Ahmad, S. (2015). Green human resource management: Policies and practices. *Cogent Business and*
7 *Management*, 2 (1), 1-13, <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2015.1030817>
- 8 Aiman-Smith, L., Bauer, T. N., & Cable, D. M. (2001). Are you attracted? Do you intend to pursue? A
9 recruiting policy-capturing study. *Journal of Business and psychology*, 16(2), 219-237,
10 <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1011157116322>
- 11 Al Kerdayy, M. M. A. (2018). The role of corporate support for employee volunteering in strengthening
12 the impact of green human resource management practices on corporate social responsibility in the
13 Egyptian firms. *European Management Review*. 1-17, <https://doi.org/10.1111/emre.12310>
- 14 Ambec, S., & Lanoie, P. (2008). Does it pay to be green? A systematic overview. *The Academy of*
15 *Management Perspectives*, 22 (4), 45-62. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.2008.35590353>
- 16 Amui, L. B. L., Jabbour, C. J. C., de Sousa Jabbour, A. B. L., & Kannan, D. (2017). Sustainability as a
17 dynamic organisational capability: a systematic review and a future agenda toward a sustainable
18 transition. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 142, 308-322. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.07.103>

- 1 Aragão, C. G., & Jabbour, C. J. C. (2017). Green training for sustainable procurement? Insights from the
2 Brazilian public sector. *Industrial and Commercial Training*, 49(1), 48-54,
3 <https://doi.org/10.1108/ICT-07-2016-0043>.
- 4 Arulrajah, A. A., & Opatha, H. H. D. N. P. (2016). Analytical and theoretical perspectives on green human
5 resource management: A simplified underpinning, *International Business Research*, 9(2),153-164,
6 <https://doi.org/10.5539/ibr.v9n12pl53>
- 7 Backhaus, K. B., Stone, B. A., & Heiner, K. (2002). Exploring the relationship between corporate social
8 performance and employer attractiveness. *Business and Society*, 41(3), 292-318,
9 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0007650302041003003>
- 10 Bamberg, S., & Möser, G. (2007). Twenty years after Hines, Hungerford, and Tomera: A new meta-
11 analysis of psycho-social determinants of pro-environmental behaviour. *Journal of Environmental*
12 *Psychology*, 27(1), 14-25, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2006.12.002>
- 13 Bansal, P., & Roth, K. (2000). Why companies go green: A model of ecological responsiveness. *Academy*
14 *of Management Journal*, 43(4), 717-736, <https://doi.org/10.5465/1556363>
- 15 Barakat, S. R., Isabella, G., Boaventura, J. M. G., & Mazzon, J. A. (2016). The influence of corporate
16 social responsibility on employee satisfaction. *Management Decision*, 54 (9), 2325-2339,
17 <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-05-2016-0308>
- 18 Batista, A., & Francisco, A. (2018). Organisational sustainability practices: A study of the firms listed by
19 the corporate sustainability index. *Sustainability*, 10(1), 226, <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10010226>
- 20 Bauer, T. N., & Aiman-Smith, L. (1996). Green career choices: The influence of ecological stance on
21 recruiting. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 10(4), 445-458, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02251780>

- 1 Baumgartner, R. J., & Rauter, R. (2017). Strategic perspectives of corporate sustainability management to
2 develop a sustainable organisation. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *140*, 81-92, [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.04.146)
3 [10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.04.146](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.04.146)
- 4 Bissing-Olson, M. J., Iyer, A., Fielding, K. S., & Zacher, H. (2013). Relationships between daily affect and
5 pro-environmental behaviour at work: The moderating role of pro-environmental attitude. *Journal of*
6 *Organisational Behaviour*, *34*(2), 156-175, <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.1788>
- 7 Boiral, O. (2009). Greening the corporation through organisational citizenship behaviour. *Journal of*
8 *Business Ethics*, *87*(2), 221-236, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-008-9881-2>
- 9 Bombiak, E., & Marciniuk-Kluska, A. (2018). Green human resource management as a tool for the
10 sustainable development of enterprises: Polish young company experience. *Sustainability*, *10*(6), 1739,
11 [https://doi.org/ 10.3390/su10061739](https://doi.org/10.3390/su10061739)
- 12 Bowen, A., Kuralbayeva, K., & Tipoe, E. L. (2018). Characterising green employment: The impacts of
13 'greening' on workforce composition. *Energy Economics*, *72*(1), 263-275,
14 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2018.03.015>
- 15 Brekke, K. A., & Nyborg, K. (2008). Attracting responsible employees: Green production as labour market
16 screening. *Resource and Energy Economics*, *30*(4), 509-526,
17 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reseneeco.2008.05.001>
- 18 Brundtland, G.H., 1987. Our common future: *Report of the 1987 World Commission on Environment and*
19 *Development*. United Nations, Oslo. Available at
20 <https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/5987our-common-future.pdf>, accessed on
21 30th June 2019

- 1 Bučiūnienė, I., & Kazlauskaitė, R. (2012). The linkage between HRM, CSR and performance
2 outcomes. *Baltic Journal of Management*, 7 (1), 5-24, <https://doi.org/10.1108/17465261211195856>
- 3 Cabral, C., & Dhar, R. L. (2019). Green competencies: construct development and measurement
4 validation. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 235, 887-900, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.07.014>
- 5 Carroll, A. B. (1999). Corporate social responsibility: Evolution of a definitional construct. *Business and
6 Society*, 38 (3), 268-295. <https://doi.org/10.1177/000765039903800303>
- 7 Celma, D., Martinez-Garcia, E., & Raya, J. M. (2018). Socially responsible HR practices and their effects
8 on employees' wellbeing: Empirical evidence from Catalonia, Spain. *European Research on
9 Management and Business Economics*, 24 (2), 82-89, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iedeen.2017.12.001>
- 10 Chan, E. S., Hon, A. H., Chan, W., & Okumus, F. (2014). What drives employees' intentions to implement
11 green practices in hotels? The role of knowledge, awareness, concern and ecological
12 behaviour. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 40(1), 20-28,
13 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2014.03.001>
- 14 Chaudhary, R. (2018). Can green human resource management attract young talent? An empirical
15 analysis. *Evidence-based HRM* 6 (3), 305-319, <https://doi.org/10.1108/EBHRM-11-2017-0058>
- 16 Cheema, S., & Javed, F. (2017). The effects of corporate social responsibility toward green human
17 resource management: The mediating role of sustainable environment. *Cogent Business and
18 Management*, 4(1), 1-10, <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2017.1310012>.
- 19 China National Environmental Monitoring Centre, Available at: <http://www.cnemc.cn/en>, accessed on
20 22nd April 2019

- 1 Cui, L. (2017). Fuzzy approach to eco-innovation for enhancing business functions: A case study in
2 China. *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, 117(5), 967-987, [https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-02-](https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-02-2017-0041)
3 2017-0041
- 4 Dagiliūtė, R., Liobikienė, G., & Minelgaitė, A. (2018). Sustainability at universities: Students' perceptions
5 from Green and Non-Green universities. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 181, 473-482,
6 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.01.213>
- 7 Daily, B. F., & Huang, S. C. (2001). Achieving sustainability through attention to human resource factors
8 in environmental management. *International Journal of Operations and Production*
9 *Management*, 21(12), 1539-1552, <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570110410892>
- 10 Daily, B. F., Bishop, J. W., & Massoud, J. A. (2012). The role of training and empowerment in
11 environmental performance: A study of the Mexican maquiladora industry. *International Journal of*
12 *Operations and Production Management*, 32 (5), 631-647,
13 <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443571211226524>
- 14 De Prins, P., Van Beirendonck, L., De Vos, A., & Segers, J. (2014). Sustainable HRM: Bridging theory
15 and practice through the 'Respect Openness Continuity (ROC)''-model. *Management Revue*, 25 (4),
16 263-284, <https://doi.org/10.1688/mrev-2014-04-Prins>
- 17 Dumont, J., Shen, J., & Deng, X. (2017). Effects of green HRM practices on employee workplace green
18 behaviour: The role of psychological green climate and employee green values. *Human Resource*
19 *Management*, 56(4), 613-627, <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.21792>
- 20 Edhlund, B., & McDougall, A. (2019). NVivo 12 Essentials. Lulu. com. Available at:
21 <https://books.google.co.in/books?id=DiWGDwAAQBAJ&printsec=frontcover#v=onepage&q&f=false>
22 , accessed on 04th April 2019

- 1 Ehnert, I., & Harry, W. (2012). Recent developments and future prospects on sustainable human resource
2 management: Introduction to the special issue. *Management Revue*, 23(3), 221-238,
3 https://doi.org/10.1688/1861-9908_mrev_2012_03_Ehnert
- 4 Environmental Protection Act, 1986, Available at: <https://aerb.gov.in/images/PDF/epa.pdf>, accessed on
5 15th April 2019
- 6 Esty, D. C., & Winston, A. (2009). Green to gold: How smart companies use environmental strategy to
7 innovate, create value, and build competitive advantage. John Wiley & Sons, New Jersey
- 8 Ferris, G. R., & King, T. R. (1991). Politics in human resources decisions: A walk on the dark
9 side. *Organisational Dynamics*, 20(2), 59-71. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0090-2616\(91\)90072-H](https://doi.org/10.1016/0090-2616(91)90072-H)
- 10 Florea, L., Cheung, Y. H., & Herndon, N. C. (2013). For all good reasons: Role of values in organisational
11 sustainability. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 114 (3), 393-408, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-012-1355->
12 x
- 13 Florida, R., & Davison, D. (2001). Gaining from green management: Environmental management systems
14 inside and outside the factory. *California Management Review*, 43 (3), 64-84,
15 <https://doi.org/10.2307/41166089>
- 16 Foxon, T., & Pearson, P. (2008). Overcoming barriers to innovation and diffusion of cleaner technologies:
17 Some features of a sustainable innovation policy regime. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 16(1), S148-
18 S161, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2007.10.011>
- 19 Garavan, T. N., & McGuire, D. (2010). Human resource development and society: Human resource
20 development's role in embedding corporate social responsibility, sustainability, and ethics in
21 organisations. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 12 (5), 487-507,
22 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1523422310394757>

- 1 Gardas, B. B., Mangla, S. K., Raut, R. D., Narkhede, B., & Luthra, S. (2019). Green talent management to
2 unlock sustainability in the oil and gas sector. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 229, 850-862.
3 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.05.018>
- 4 Gast, J., Gundolf, K., & Cesinger, B. (2017). Doing business in a green way: A systematic review of the
5 ecological sustainability entrepreneurship literature and future research directions. *Journal of Cleaner*
6 *Production*, 147, 44-56, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.01.065>
- 7 Glaser, B. G., & Strauss, A. L. (2017). *Discovery of grounded theory: Strategies for qualitative research*.
8 Routledge, London and Newyork
- 9 Govindarajulu, N., & Daily, B. F. (2004). Motivating employees for environmental
10 improvement. *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, 104 (4), 364-372,
11 <https://doi.org/10.1108/02635570410530775>
- 12 Graves, L. M., Sarkis, J., & Gold, N. (2019). Employee pro-environmental behaviour in Russia: The roles
13 of top management commitment, managerial leadership, and employee motives. *Resources,*
14 *Conservation and Recycling*, 140, 54-64, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2018.09.007>
- 15 Green Jobs: U.S. Bureau of Labour Statistics, Available at: <https://www.bls.gov/green/home.htm>, accessed
16 on 23rd March, 2019
- 17 Grolleau, G., Mzoughi, N., & Pekovic, S. (2012). Green not (only) for profit: An empirical examination of
18 the effect of environmental-related standards on employees' recruitment. *Resource and Energy*
19 *Economics*, 34 (1), 74-92, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reseneeco.2011.10.002>
- 20 Guerçi, M., & Carollo, L. (2016). A paradox view on green human resource management: Insights from
21 the Italian context. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 27 (2), 212-238,
22 <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2015.1033641>

- 1 Guerci, M., Longoni, A., & Luzzini, D. (2016a). Translating stakeholder pressures into environmental
2 performance – the mediating role of green HRM practices. *The International Journal of Human*
3 *Resource Management*, 27 (2), 262-289, <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2015.1065431>
- 4 Guerci, M., Montanari, F., Scapolan, A., & Epifanio, A. (2016b). Green and non-green recruitment
5 practices for attracting job applicants: Exploring independent and interactive effects. *The International*
6 *Journal of Human Resource Management*, 27 (2), 129-150,
7 <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2015.1062040>
- 8 Guerci, M., Radaelli, G., Siletti, E., Cirella, S., & Shani, A. R. (2015). The impact of human resource
9 management practices and corporate sustainability on organisational ethical climates: An employee
10 perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 126 (2), 325-342, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-013-1946-1>
- 11 Gully, S. M., Phillips, J. M., Castellano, W. G., Han, K., & Kim, A. (2013). A mediated moderation model
12 of recruiting socially and environmentally responsible job applicants. *Personnel Psychology*, 66 (4),
13 935-973, <https://doi.org/10.1111/peps.12033>
- 14 Gupta, H. (2018). Assessing organisations' performance on the basis of GHRM practices using BWM and
15 Fuzzy TOPSIS. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 226(April), 201-216,
16 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.08.005>
- 17 Haddock-Millar, J., Sanyal, C., & Müller-Camen, M. (2016). Green human resource management: A
18 comparative qualitative case study of a United States multinational corporation. *The International*
19 *Journal of Human Resource Management*, 27 (2), 192-211,
20 <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2015.1052087>
- 21 Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J. & Anderson. RE, (2010). *Multivariate Data Analysis*, Pearson
22 *Prentice Hall*, New Jersey

- 1 Hanna, M. D., Rocky Newman, W., & Johnson, P. (2000). Linking operational and environmental
2 improvement through employee involvement. *International Journal of Operations & Production*
3 *Management*, 20 (2), 148-165, <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570010304233>
- 4 Harvey, G., Williams, K., & Probert, J. (2013). Greening the airline pilot: HRM and the green performance
5 of airlines in the UK. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 24 (1), 152-166,
6 <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2012.669783>
- 7 Hellström, T. (2007). Dimensions of environmentally sustainable innovation: The structure of eco-
8 innovation concepts. *Sustainable Development*, 15 (3), 148-159, <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.309>
- 9 Huang, X. X., Hu, Z. P., Liu, C. S., Yu, D. J., & Yu, L. F. (2016). The relationships between regulatory
10 and customer pressure, green organisational responses, and green innovation performance. *Journal of*
11 *Cleaner Production*, 112, 3423-3433, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.10.106>
- 12 Hunter, S. T., Cushenbery, L., & Friedrich, T. (2012). Hiring an innovative workforce: A necessary yet
13 uniquely challenging endeavour. *Human Resource Management Review*, 22 (4), 303-322,
14 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmmr.2012.01.001>
- 15 ISO 14001:2015, Available at: <https://www.iso.org/iso-14001-environmental-management.html>, accessed
16 on 23rd March 2019
- 17 Iqbal, Q. (2018). The era of environmental sustainability: Ensuring that sustainability stands on human
18 resource management. *Global Business Review*, 19 (3), 1-15,
19 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0972150918778967>.
- 20 Jabbour, C. J. C. (2013). Environmental training in organisations: From a literature review to a framework
21 for future research. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 74, 144-155,
22 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2012.12.017>

- 1 Jabbour, C. J. C., Santos, F. C. A., Fonseca, S. A., & Nagano, M. S. (2013). Green teams: Understanding
2 their roles in the environmental management of companies located in Brazil. *Journal of Cleaner
3 Production, 46*, 58-66, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2012.09.018>
- 4 Jabbour, C. J. C., & de Sousa Jabbour, A. B. L. (2016). Green human resource management and green
5 supply chain management: Linking two emerging agendas. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 112*, 1824-
6 1833, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.01.052>
- 7 Jabbour, C. J. C., & Santos, F. C. A. (2008a). Relationships between human resource dimensions and
8 environmental management in companies: Proposal of a model. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 16* (1),
9 51-58, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2006.07.025>
- 10 Jabbour, C. J. C., & Santos, F. C. A. (2008b). The central role of human resource management in the
11 search for sustainable organisations. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 19*
12 (12), 2133-2154, <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585190802479389>
- 13 Jackson, S. E., Renwick, D. W., Jabbour, C. J.C., & Muller-Camen, M. (2011). State-of-the-art and future
14 directions for green human resource management: Introduction to the special issue. *German Journal of
15 Human Resource Management, 25* (2), 99-116, <https://doi.org/10.1177/239700221102500203>
- 16 Jamali, D. R., El Dirani, A. M., & Harwood, I. A. (2015). Exploring human resource management roles in
17 corporate social responsibility: The CSR-HRM co-creation model. *Business Ethics: A European
18 Review, 24* (2), 125-143, <https://doi.org/10.1111/beer.12085>
- 19 Järlström, M., Saru, E., & Vanhala, S. (2018). Sustainable human resource management with salience of
20 stakeholders: A top management perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics, 152* (3), 703-724,
21 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-016-3310-8>

- 1 Javed, F., & Cheema, S. (2017). An Empirical Investigation on the Impacts of the Adoption of Green Hrm
2 in the Agricultural Industry. *Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce*, 22 (S8), 1-14.
- 3 Jia, J., Liu, H., Chin, T., & Hu, D. (2018). The Continuous mediating effects of GHRM on Employees'
4 Green Passion via Transformational Leadership and Green Creativity. *Sustainability*, 10 (9), 3237,
5 <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10093237>
- 6 Jose Chiappetta Jabbour, C. (2011). How green are HRM practices, organisational culture, learning and
7 teamwork? A Brazilian study. *Industrial and Commercial Training*, 43 (2), 98-105,
8 <https://doi.org/10.1108/00197851111108926>
- 9 Kazlauskaite, R., & Bučiūnienė, I. (2008). The role of human resources and their management in the
10 establishment of sustainable competitive advantage. *Engineering Economics*, 5 (60), 78-84.
- 11 Khandekar, A., & Sharma, A. (2005). Managing human resource capabilities for sustainable competitive
12 advantage: An empirical analysis from Indian global organisations. *Education and Training*, 47 (8-9),
13 628-639, <https://doi.org/10.1108/00400910510633161>
- 14 Kim, Y. J., Kim, W. G., Choi, H. M., & Phetvaroon, K. (2019). The effect of green human resource
15 management on hotel employees' eco-friendly behaviour and environmental
16 performance. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 76 (A), 83-93,
17 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2018.04.007>
- 18 Lange, B. (2009). *The Triangle Shirtwaist Factory Fire*. Infobase Publishing, New York
- 19 Lapiņa, I., Maurāne, G., & Stariņeca, O. (2014). Human resource management models: aspects of
20 knowledge management and corporate social responsibility. *Procedia-Social and Behavioural
21 Sciences*, 110, 577-586, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.12.902>

- 1 Lee, J. W., Kim, Y. M., & Kim, Y. E. (2018). Antecedents of adopting corporate environmental
2 responsibility and green practices. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 148 (2), 397-409,
3 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-016-3024-y>
- 4 Lee, K. H. (2009). Why and how to adopt green management into business organisations? The case study
5 of Korean SMEs in manufacturing industry. *Management Decision*, 47 (7), 1101-1121,
6 <https://doi.org/10.1108/00251740910978322>
- 7 Liebowitz, J. (2010). The role of HR in achieving a sustainability culture. *Journal of Sustainable*
8 *Development*, 3 (4), 50-57, <https://doi.org/10.5539/jsd.v3n4p50>
- 9 Lin, C. Y., & Ho, Y. H. (2011). Determinants of green practice adoption for logistics companies in
10 China. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 98 (1), 67-83, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-010-0535-9>
- 11 Longoni, A., Golini, R., & Cagliano, R. (2014). The role of new forms of work organisation in developing
12 sustainability strategies in operations. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 147 (PART A),
13 147-160, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2013.09.009>
- 14 Longoni, A., Luzzini, D., & Guerci, M. (2018). Deploying environmental management across functions:
15 the relationship between green human resource management and green supply chain
16 management. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 151 (4), 1081-1095, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-016-3228-1>
- 17
- 18 Loureiro, S. M., Sardinha, I. M. D., & Reijnders, L. (2012). The effect of corporate social responsibility on
19 consumer satisfaction and perceived value: The case of the automobile industry sector in
20 Portugal. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 37, 172-178, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2012.07.00>

- 1 Luu, T. T. (2018). Employees' green recovery performance: the roles of green HR practices and serving
2 culture. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 26 (8), 1308-1324,
3 <https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2018.1443113>
- 4 Madsen, H., & Ulhøi, J. P. (2001). Greening of human resources: Environmental awareness and training
5 interests within the workforce. *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, 101 (2), 57-65,
6 <https://doi.org/10.1108/02635570110384320>
- 7 Maletič, M., Maletič, D., & Gomišček, B. (2018). The role of contingency factors on the relationship
8 between sustainability practices and organisational performance. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 171,
9 423-433, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.09.172>
- 10 Maley, J. (2014). Sustainability: The missing element in performance management. *Asia-Pacific Journal*
11 *of Business Administration*, 6 (3), 190-205, <https://doi.org/10.1108/APJBA-03-2014-0040>
- 12 Masri, H. A., & Jaaron, A. A. (2017). Assessing green human resources management practices in
13 Palestinian manufacturing context: An empirical study. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 143, 474-489,
14 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.12.087>
- 15 Mehta, K., & Chugan, P. K. (2015). Green HRM in pursuit of environmentally sustainable
16 business. *Pursuit of Environmentally Sustainable Business (June 1, 2015)*. *Universal Journal of*
17 *Industrial and Business Management*, 3 (3), 74-81. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujibm.2015.030302>
- 18 Menguc, B., & Ozanne, L. K. (2005). Challenges of the "green imperative": A natural resource-based
19 approach to the environmental orientation–business performance relationship. *Journal of Business*
20 *Research*, 58 (4), 430-438, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2003.09.002>

- 1 Miroshnychenko, I., Barontini, R., & Testa, F. (2017). Green practices and financial performance: A
2 global outlook. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 147, 340-351,
3 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.01.058>
- 4 Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G., & Prisma Group. (2010). Preferred reporting items for
5 systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement, *International Journal of Surgery*,
6 8(5), 336-341, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijssu.2010.02.007>
- 7 Mohtar, N. S., & Rajiani, I. (2016). Conceptual model in using ability and opportunity as GHRM
8 framework to determine environmental performance. *International Business Management*, 10 (17),
9 3840-3846, <https://doi.org/10.3923/ibm.2016.3840.3846>
- 10 Mustapha, M. A., Manan, Z. A., & Alwi, S. R. W. (2017). Sustainable Green Management System
11 (SGMS) – An integrated approach towards organisational sustainability. *Journal of Cleaner
12 Production*, 146, 158-172, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.06.033>
- 13 Muster, V., & Schrader, U. (2011). Green work-life balance: A new perspective for green HRM. *German
14 Journal of Human Resource Management*, 25 (2), 140-156,
15 <https://doi.org/10.1177/239700221102500205>
- 16 Nejati, M., Rabiei, S., & Jabbour, C. J. C. (2017). Envisioning the invisible: Understanding the synergy
17 between green human resource management and green supply chain management in manufacturing
18 firms in Iran in light of the moderating effect of employees' resistance to change. *Journal of Cleaner
19 Production*, 168, 163-172, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.08.213>
- 20 Nishii, L. H., Lepak, D. P., & Schneider, B. (2008). Employee attributions of the “why” of HR practices:
21 Their effects on employee attitudes and behaviours, and customer satisfaction. *Personnel
22 Psychology*, 61(3), 503-545, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2008.00121.x>

- 1 Nolan, C. T., & Garavan, T. N. (2016). Human resource development in SMEs: a systematic review of the
2 literature. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 18 (1), 85-107,
3 <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijmr.12062>
- 4 Norton, T. A., Zacher, H., & Ashkanasy, N. M. (2014). Organisational sustainability policies and
5 employee green behaviour: The mediating role of work climate perceptions. *Journal of Environmental*
6 *Psychology*, 38, 49-54, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2013.12.008>
- 7 Obeidat, S. M., Al Bakri, A. A., & Elbanna, S. (2018). Leveraging “Green” Human Resource Practices to
8 enable Environmental and Organisational Performance: Evidence from the Qatari Oil and Gas
9 Industry. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 153, 1-18, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-018-4075-z>
- 10 O'Donohue, W., & Torugsa, N. (2016). The moderating effect of ‘Green’ HRM on the association between
11 proactive environmental management and financial performance in small firms. *The International*
12 *Journal of Human Resource Management*, 27 (2), 239-261,
13 <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2015.1063078>
- 14 Olawumi, T. O., & Chan, D. W. (2018). A scientometric review of global research on sustainability and
15 sustainable development. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 183, 231-250,
16 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.02.162>
- 17 Ones, D. S., & Dilchert, S. (2012). Environmental sustainability at work: A call to action. *Industrial and*
18 *Organisational Psychology*, 5 (4), 444-466, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1754-9434.2012.01478.x>
- 19 Opatha, H. H. P., & Arulrajah, A. A. (2014). Green human resource management: Simplified general
20 reflections. *International Business Research*, 7 (8), 101, <https://doi.org/10.5539/ibr.v7n8p101>

- 1 Paillé, P., Chen, Y., Boiral, O., & Jin, J. (2014). The impact of human resource management on
2 environmental performance: An employee-level study. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 121 (3), 451-466,
3 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-013-1732-0>
- 4 Paillé, P., Raineri, N., & Boiral, O. (2017). Environmental Behaviour On and Off the Job: A
5 Configurational Approach. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 158 (3), 1-16,
6 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-017-3758-1>
- 7 Parliament, E. (2014). Directive 2014/95/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 October
8 2014 amending Directive 2013/34/EU as regards disclosure of non-financial and diversity information
9 by certain large undertakings and groups. *Official Journal of the European Union L*, 330.
- 10 Perramon, J., del Mar Alonso-Almeida, M., Llach, J., & Bagur-Femenías, L. (2014). Green practices in
11 restaurants: Impact on firm performance. *Operations Management Research*, 7 (1-2), 2-12,
12 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12063-014-0084-y>
- 13 Pham, N. T., Thanh, T. V., Tučková, Z., & Thuy, V. T. N. (2019a). The role of green human
14 resource management in driving hotel's environmental performance: Interaction and
15 mediation analysis. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 102392,
16 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2019.102392>
- 17 Pham, N. T., Tučková, Z., & Jabbour, C. J. C. (2019b). Greening the hospitality industry: How do green
18 human resource management practices influence organisational citizenship behaviour in hotels? A
19 mixed-methods study. *Tourism Management*, 72, 386-399.
20 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2018.12.008>

- 1 Pinzone, M., Guerci, M., Lettieri, E., & Redman, T. (2016). Progressing in the change journey
2 towards sustainability in healthcare: the role of 'Green' HRM. *Journal of Cleaner Production*,
3 *122*, 201-211, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.02.031>
- 4 Pinzone, M., Guerci, M., Lettieri, E., & Huisinigh, D. (2019). Effects of 'green' training on pro-
5 environmental behaviours and job satisfaction: Evidence from the Italian healthcare sector. *Journal of*
6 *Cleaner Production*, *226*, 221-232, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.04.048>
- 7 Preuss, L., Haunschild, A., & Matten, D. (2006). Trade unions and CSR: A European research agenda.
8 *Journal of Public Affairs*, *6* (3-4), 256-268, <https://doi.org/10.1002/pa.236>
- 9 Ramus, C. A. (2001). Organisational support for employees: Encouraging creative ideas for environmental
10 sustainability. *California Management Review*, *43* (3), 85-105, <https://doi.org/10.2307/41166090>
- 11 Ramus, C. A., & Steger, U. (2000). The roles of supervisory support behaviours and environmental policy
12 in employee "Eco initiatives" at leading-edge European companies. *Academy of Management*
13 *Journal*, *43* (4), 605-626, <https://doi.org/10.5465/1556357>
- 14 Rayner, J., & Morgan, D. (2018). An empirical study of 'green' workplace behaviours: Ability, motivation
15 and opportunity. *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, *56* (1), 56-78,
16 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1744-7941.12151>
- 17 Ren, S., Tang, G., & Jackson, S. E. (2018). Green human resource management research in emergence: A
18 review and future directions. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, *35* (3), 769-803,
19 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10490-017-9532-1>

- 1 Renwick, D. W., Jabbour, C. J.C., Muller-Camen, M., Redman, T., & Wilkinson, A. (2016). Contemporary
2 developments in Green (environmental) HRM scholarship, *International Journal of Human Resource*
3 *Management*, 27 (2), 114-128, <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2015.1105844>
- 4 Renwick, D. W., Redman, T., & Maguire, S. (2013). Green human resource management: A review and
5 research agenda. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 15 (1), 1-14,
6 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2011.00328.x>
- 7 Renwick, D.W., Redman, T., & Maguire, S. (2008). Green HRM: A review, process model, and research
8 agenda. *University of Sheffield Management School Discussion Paper*, 1, 1-46.
9 https://www.sheffield.ac.uk/polopoly_fs/1.120337!/file/Green-HRM.pdf
- 10 Roy, M., & Khastagir, D. (2016). Exploring role of green management in enhancing organisational
11 efficiency in petro-chemical industry in India. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 121, 109-115,
12 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.02.039>
- 13 Saeed, B. B., Afsar, B., Hafeez, S., Khan, I., Tahir, M., & Afridi, M. A. (2019). Promoting employee's pro
14 environmental behaviour through green human resource management practices. *Corporate Social*
15 *Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 26 (2), 424-438, <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.1694>
- 16 Santos, J. R., Anunciação, P. F., & Svirina, A. (2013). A tool to measure organisational sustainability
17 strength. *Journal of Business Management*, 7, 105-117, <http://hdl.handle.net/10400.26/5335>.
- 18 Shah, M. (2019), Green human resource management: Development of a valid measurement
19 scale. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 28 (5), 771-785, <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2279>
- 20 Sheehan, M., Garavan, T. N., & Carbery, R. (2014). Sustainability, corporate social responsibility and
21 HRD. *European Journal of Training and Development*, 38 (5), 370-386,
22 <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJTD-04-2014-0034>

- 1 Siegel, D. S. (2009). Green management matters only if it yields more green: An economic/strategic
2 perspective. *The Academy of Management Perspectives*, 23 (3), 5-16,
3 <https://www.jstor.org/stable/27747522>
- 4 Staniškienė, E., & Stankevičiūtė, Ž. (2018). Social sustainability measurement framework: The case of
5 employee perspective in a CSR-committed organisation. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 188, 708-719,
6 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.03.269>
- 7 State Environmental Protection Administration (SEPA) of China, Available at:
8 http://www.chinacsrmep.org/Org_Show_EN.asp?ID=690, accessed on 22nd April 2019)
- 9 State of Green Business (2019), Available at: <https://info.greenbiz.com/rs/211-NJY->
10 [165/images/stateofgreenbusiness_2019.pdf](https://info.greenbiz.com/rs/211-NJY-165/images/stateofgreenbusiness_2019.pdf), accessed on 23rd April 2019
- 11 Suri, F. K., & Banerji, A. (2016). Super Generics—First Step of Indian Pharmaceutical Industry in the
12 Innovative Space in US Market. *Journal of Health Management*, 18(1), 161-171.
13 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0972063415625566>
- 14 Tajfel, H. (Ed.). (2010). Social identity and intergroup relations (Vol. 7). Cambridge University Press. *New*
15 *York*
- 16 Tang, G., Chen, Y., Jiang, Y., Paillé, P., & Jia, J. (2018). Green human resource management practices:
17 Scale development and validity. *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, 56 (1), 31-55,
18 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1744-7941.12147>
- 19 Teixeira, A. A., Jabbour, C. J. C., & de Sousa Jabbour, A. B. L. (2012). Relationship between green
20 management and environmental training in companies located in Brazil: A theoretical framework and
21 case studies. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 140 (1), 318-329,
22 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2012.01.009>

- 1 Temminck, E., Mearns, K., & Fruhen, L. (2015). Motivating employees towards sustainable
2 behaviour. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 24 (6), 402-412, <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.1827>
- 3 Tooranloo, H. S., Azadi, M. H., & Sayyahpoor, A. (2017). Analyzing factors affecting implementation
4 success of sustainable human resource management (SHRM) using a hybrid approach of FAHP and
5 Type-2 fuzzy DEMATEL. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 162, 1252-1265,
6 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.06.109>
- 7 Ulus, M., & Hatipoglu, B. (2016). Human aspect as a critical factor for organisation sustainability in the
8 tourism industry. *Sustainability*, 8 (3), 232, <https://doi.org/10.3390/su8030232>
- 9 Visvanathan, C., & Kumar, S. (1999). Issues for better implementation of cleaner production in Asian
10 small and medium industries. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 7(2), 127-134,
11 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-6526\(98\)00050-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-6526(98)00050-X)
- 12 Wagner, M. (2011). Environmental management activities and sustainable HRM in German manufacturing
13 firms—incidence, determinants, and outcomes. *German Journal of Human Resource Management*, 25
14 (2), 157-177, <https://doi.org/10.1177/239700221102500206>
- 15 Wagner, M. (2013). ‘Green’ human resource benefits: do they matter as determinants of environmental
16 management system implementation? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 114 (3), 443-456,
17 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-012-1356-9>
- 18 Wehrmeyer, W. (1996). Green policies can help to bear fruit. *People Management*, 2 (4), 38-40.
- 19 Wenk, M. S. (2006). *The European Union's eco-management and audit scheme (EMAS)* (Vol. 16).
20 Springer Science & Business Media, Netherlands.

- 1 Wikhamn, W. (2019). Innovation, sustainable HRM and customer satisfaction. *International Journal of*
2 *Hospitality Management*, 76 (April 2018) , 102-110, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2018.04.009>
- 3 Wilkinson, A., Hill, M., & Gollan, P. (2001). The sustainability debate. *International Journal of*
4 *Operations and Production Management*, 21 (12), 1492-1502,
5 <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570110410865>
- 6 Yin, Y., Wang, Y., & Lu, Y. (2019). Why firms adopt empowerment practices and how such practices
7 affect firm performance? A transaction cost-exchange perspective. *Human Resource Management*
8 *Review*, 29 (1), 111-124, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmmr.2018.01.002>
- 9 Yong, J. Y., & Mohd-Yusoff, Y. (2016). Studying the influence of strategic human resource competencies
10 on the adoption of green human resource management practices. *Industrial and Commercial*
11 *Training*, 48 (8), 416-422, <https://doi.org/10.1108/ICT-03-2016-0017>
- 12 Yong, J. Y., Yusliza, M. Y., Ramayah, T., Chiappetta Jabbour, C. J., Sehnem, S., & Mani, V.
13 (2019). Pathways towards sustainability in manufacturing organizations: Empirical evidence
14 on the role of green human resource management. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 28,
15 1-17, <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2359>
- 16 Yusliza, M. Y., Othman, N. Z., & Jabbour, C. J. C. (2017). Deciphering the implementation of green
17 human resource management in an emerging economy. *Journal of Management Development*, 36
18 (10), 1230-1246, <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMD-01-2017-0027>
- 19 Zaid, A. A., Bonand, T., & Jaaron, A. A. (2018a). Green human resource management bundle practices
20 and manufacturing organisations for performance optimization: A conceptual model. *International*
21 *Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 7 (3.20), 87-91, <https://doi.org/10.14419/ijet.v7i3.20.18986>

- 1 Zaid, A. A., Jaaron, A. A., & Bon, A. T. (2018b). The impact of green human resource management and
2 green supply chain management practices on sustainable performance: An empirical study. *Journal of*
3 *Cleaner Production*, 204, 965-979, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.09.062>
- 4 Zhu, Q., & Geng, Y. (2013). Drivers and barriers of extended supply chain practices for energy saving and
5 emission reduction among Chinese manufacturers. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 40, 6-12,
6 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2010.09.017>
- 7 Zoogah, D. B. (2011). The dynamics of Green HRM behaviours: A cognitive social information
8 processing approach. *German Journal of Human Resource Management*, 25 (2), 117-139,
9 <https://doi.org/10.1177/239700221102500204>
- 10 Zoogah, D. B. (2018). High-performance organising, environmental management, and organisational
11 performance: An evolutionary economics perspective. *Human Resource Management*, 57 (1), 159-
12 175, <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.21869>
13

Highlights

- Chronological and geographical trend of Green Human Resource Management articles.
- Research gap identified through Cluster analysis dendrograms.
- Theoretical model framed from findings.
- Green human resource management implications for social sustainability projected.
- Mediating role of employee green behaviour at workplace discussed.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Journal Pre-proof