

REVIEW

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect



Reproductive polyphenism and its advantages in aphids: Switching between sexual and asexual reproduction

YAN Shuo¹, WANG Wan-xing², SHEN Jie¹

¹ Department of Entomology/MOA Key Lab of Pest Monitoring and Green Management, College of Plant Protection, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, P.R.China

² Key Lab of Biology and Genetic Improvement of Root and Tuber Crops, Institute of Vegetables and Flowers, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing 100081, P.R.China

Abstract

Reproductive polyphenism, which allows one genotype to produce sexual and asexual morphs, is an extreme case of phenotypic plasticity and is commonly observed in aphids. Aphids are typical species that switch these reproductive modes, and the pathway orientation is triggered by the environmental conditions (mainly photoperiod and temperature). The typical annual life of aphids includes a succession of parthenogenetic generations during the spring and summer and a single sexual generation in autumn. In this review, we describe how the environmental cues orientate the reproductive mode of aphids from photoperiodic perception to endocrine regulation, and how juvenile hormones may act on the target cells (oocytes) to initiate the gametogenesis and embryogenesis in sexual and asexual reproduction. We also discuss the paradox of sex, especially the advantages of sexual reproductive polyphenism will enter the public's awareness. In particular, we describe a novel RNAi method in aphids, which may provide a molecular technique for determining the developmental fate and multiple reproductive strategies.

Keywords: aphids, parthenogenesis, embryogenesis, gametogenesis, photoperiodism

1. Introduction

Polyphenism commonly observed in arthropods, is an interesting phenomenon, which allows a single genotype to produce several discrete phenotypes depending on the

environmental conditions such as temperature, photoperiod, diet, population density and the presence of predators (Gilbert 2001; Beldade *et al.* 2011). Such phenotype plasticity ultimately roots in the genomes and allows an individual organism to develop various morphological, physiological, or behavioral traits, which is considered as an evolutionary strategy (Uller 2008; Moczek 2015; Xue and Leibler 2018). Some insects usually switch their reproductive mode between sexual and asexual reproduction to manage the seasonal changes in temperature, day length and host availability (Matsuka and Mittler 1979; Margaritopoulos and Tsitsipis 2002; Poupoulidou *et al.* 2006; Razmjou *et al.* 2010; Peng *et al.* 2017). The sexual reproduction dominated in eukaryotic life is initiated from the fusion of male and female

Received 6 May, 2019 Accepted 5 June, 2019 YAN Shuo, E-mail: yanshuo2011@foxmail.com; Correspondence SHEN Jie, E-mail: shenjie@cau.edu.cn

^{© 2020} CAAS. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). doi: 10.1016/S2095-3119(19)62767-X

gametes produced through meiosis, whereas the asexual reproduction is achieved without meiosis and fertilization. These two reproductive models in one species have been observed in aphids (Vorburger *et al.* 2003; Ollivier *et al.* 2012), termites (Matsuura *et al.* 2009; Yashiro and Matsuura 2014), ants (Pearcy *et al.* 2004; Fournier *et al.* 2005), honey bees (Gerber and Klostermeyer 1970; Beekman *et al.* 2011), beetles (Lachowska *et al.* 2008; Perotti *et al.* 2016), locusts (Hamilton 1953; Little *et al.* 2017) and moths (Stunnikov 1975; Xu *et al.* 2004).

Aphids belonged to the Order Hemiptera (sucking bugs) are major agricultural pests causing great economic loss by ingesting plant sap for nutrition, and transmitting viral diseases in many crops (Le Trionnaire et al. 2008). The rising global atmospheric CO₂ level may promote the aphid outbreak (Chen et al. 2004; Guo et al. 2013; Sun et al. 2013), which is likely related with the high reproductive capacity of aphids. The aphids share the ability of alternative pathways of sexual and asexual reproduction, and nearly 5000 aphid species have been reported to share apomictic parthenogenesis (clonal or asexual reproduction) as the main or exclusive mode of reproduction (Ogawa and Miura 2014). As shown in Fig. 1, their typical annual life cycle is characterized by a succession of several parthenogenetic generations (viviparous parthenogenetic females) during the spring and summer and a single sexual generation (males and oviparous females that mate and lay the diapausing eggs to resist winter) in autumn (Simon et al. 2002; Tagu et al. 2005; Ogawa and Miura 2014). Cyclical parthenogenesis is acquired by a common sexual ancestor (about 250 million years ago) and predominates in aphids, whereas only a small portion of aphids lose the sexual phase and become strict obligate parthenogens (Moran 1992; Hales et al. 1997). There are two other interesting phenomenons in aphid reproduction: (1) some obligate parthenogens retain the capacity for male production, which is common to many sexual organisms and (2) the rest have obviously evolved a strategy that is intermediate lineages between sexual and asexual lineages, and the variable investment of sex can be observed in the same species or even in the same population (Rispe and Pierre 1998; Simon et al. 2002). Thus the reproductive mechanism of aphid is mysterious, which makes them a good model to study the ecology and evolution of sex.

In the present review, to illustrate how aphids measure the night length in the cephalic region and transduce the signal to the target cells in ovaries to alternate their reproductive modes, we discuss: (1) the environmental cues for switching reproductive modes; (2) the perception of photoperiodic changes; (3) the endocrine regulation; (4) the gametogenesis and embryogenesis in sexual and asexual morphs; and (5) the paradox of sex (the advantages of sexual reproduction), and summarize the hypothetic photoperiodic determination of reproductive mode in Fig. 2. In particular, we introduce a novel RNAi method to downregulate the gene expression in aphids, which may greatly promote the identification of pathway genes for switching reproductive modes.

2. Environmental cues for switching reproductive modes

In most studied aphid species, long-night seems to be a major environmental cue for switching reproductive modes. The regulation of sexual reproduction by night length was first confirmed by Marcovitch (1923, 1924) in aphids, which is the first report of photoperiodic induction in animals. He showed that the exposure of Aphis forbesi to short-day length promoted the production of sexual morphs. Since then, the regulation of reproductive modes by photoperiodic changes has been demonstrated in Phorodon humuli (Campbell and Tregidga 2005), Acyrthosiphon pisum (Lamb and Pointing 1972), Myzus persicae (Matsuka and Mittler 1979; Margaritopoulos and Tsitsipis 2002) and Megoura viciae (Hardie 1990). The sexual reproduction is induced by scotophases longer than 9-10 h, and this threshold corresponds to early autumnal conditions. Aphid photoperiodic responses clearly involve a clock that is used to measure the scotophase length rather than the photophase length, and a counter mechanism that integrates the information from the clock may exist to induce the polyphenism (Lees 1973; Saunders 1981). The most wellstudied circadian clock genes show different expression levels between scotophase and photophase (Yan et al. 2013, 2017, 2019), but the role of circadian clock genes in response to the seasonal photoperiodism is still unclear. With the help of genomic resources for aphids, this question may be answered in future.

Although the photoperiodic changes direct the reproductive mode in aphid, the temperature can modulate this photoperiodic response. In some aphid species, the high temperature can override the long night. For example, the critical photoperiod for sexual production of A. pisum is shorter at the higher temperature (from 13 h at 15°C to 12.5 h at 20°C) (Lamb and Pointing 1972). The production of sexual morphs is higher at 12°C than at 17°C in most M. persicae clonal lineages (Poupoulidou et al. 2006). The activity of male production is higher at 17°C than at 20°C in the Utsunomiya clone of A. glycines under 10 h L:14 h D (Oka et al. 2018). In many aphids with coexisting sexual and asexual reproduction, the obligate parthenogenesis is more common at lower latitudes, confirmed in Sitobion avenae (Dedryver et al. 2001), Rhopalosiphum padi (Delmotte et al. 2002), A. pisum (Kanbe and Akimoto 2009) and M. persicae



Fig. 1 Typical annual life-cycle of aphids. Aphids employ the XO sex-determination system. The male randomly loses one X chromosome during the maturation division and possesses only one X chromosome. A, schematic diagram of a typical life-cycle of nonhost-alternating aphid. In spring, the wingless fundatrix is hatched from the diapausing egg to produce the winged or wingless virginopara. By late summer, the change in photoperiod and temperature triggers the production of wingless sexupara that produces sexual morphs. Winged or wingless male and wingless ovipara mate in autumn and ovipara lays overwintering eggs. B, schematic diagram of a typical life cycle of a host-alternating aphid. In spring, the wingless fundatrix is hatched from the diapausing egg on the primary host, and then it produces first wingless, then winged virginopara that migrates to the secondary host. By late summer, the change in photoperiod and temperature triggers the production of wingless sexupara that produces sexual morphs. Winged male and winged gynopara migrate to the primary host. The gynopara produces the wingless ovipara that mates with winged male and lays the overwintering eggs.

(Blackman 1974), and this pattern appears to be primarily determined by the combined effects of photoperiod and temperature. However, the latest research showed that all tested obligate parthenogenetic linages of *M. persicae*

lost the functional *hsp90* genes (severe mutations), and the hsp90-inhibitor made some cyclical parthenogenetic lineages lose their ability to produce sexual morphs, revealing the great importance of functional *hsp90* genes



Fig. 2 Photoperiodic determination of reproductive mode in aphids. After the stimulation by photoperiod (short or long night), the photoperiodic receptors activate the Group I neurosecretory cells in protocerebrum, which in turn produce the neurohormones. The neurohormones act on the corpus allatum to lead a change in juvenile hormone (JH) titre, which switches the fate of oocytes in the germarium. Under short night (left side), the JH titre is high enough to trigger the asexual reproduction in aphids. Under long night (right side), the JH titre is lower, which results the sexual reproduction in aphids.

in cyclical parthenogenesis (Mandrioli et al. 2018).

In some aphid species, the host availability may also influence the sexual reproduction. The *A. pisum* lineages show a significantly higher investment in sexual reproduction on annual crops than perennial hosts (Frantz *et al.* 2006). The host-alternating aphids tend to be highly specialized on the primary host (usually perennial hosts), on which the copulation occurs and the frost-resistant eggs are laid. Thus, the cyclical parthenogenesis happens only when the special host is available, whereas the obligate parthenogenesis of the same species is free from this constraint (Sandrock *et al.* 2011). The sexual morphs of *Dysaphis devecta* are

produced at the cessation of host plant shoot growth (Forrest 1970), revealing that the physiological changes of the hosts also influence the sexual reproduction.

3. Perception of photoperiodic changes in cephalic region

Vision plays numerous key roles in the performance of insect behaviors, such as searching for food and potential mates, avoiding predators and unsafe environment, and other specific behaviors (Kelber *et al.* 2003; Langham 2004; Zhao *et al.* 2009; Yan *et al.* 2014a; Li *et al.* 2015).

The opsins located in compound eyes are responsible for detecting and converting light, and their expression is regulated by the circadian clock (Yan et al. 2014b; Liu et al. 2018). Nevertheless, the opsins in compound eyes are not the main center for photoreceptor, and the aphids are able to measure the night length in the cephalic region. The site of the photoperiodic receptors for switching reproductive modes in Megoura viciae has been previously identified by exposing the aphids to supplementary periods of localized illumination, showing that the photosensitivity is confined to the cephalic region, especially the dorsum of heads rather than the compound eyes or optic lobes (Lees 1964). All wavelength lights between 370 and 800 nm can be transmitted through the cuticle to brain (Hardie et al. 1981). The protocerebrum beneath the cuticle is highly photosensitive, and the photoreceptors for sensing the seasonal changes are thus extra optic.

Antibodies directly against the invertebrate and vertebrate opsins and phototransduction proteins were tested on M. viciae, but the organs other than brain (e.g., the compound eyes) were also labeled (Gao et al. 1999), implying that the cloned opsin genes may not be involved in the photoperiodic response, but rather in visual responses. Gao et al. (2000) further sequenced the aphid brain opsin, but the attempt was unsuccessful. In addition, the pea aphid cuticular protein genes are regulated by the night length, suggesting a remodeling of the head cuticle under the different photoperiods, which may accompany photoperiodic signal transduction (Le Trionnaire et al. 2007; Cortés et al. 2008). Identification of the photoreceptor center for sensing the seasonal changes in brain is a fascinating task. Lesions destroying the Group I neurosecretory cells (NSC) in the protocerebrum disables the production of asexual morphs under long-day conditions in M. viciae, but the extensive damage to the other NSC groups (the compound eyes and optic lobes) has no such effect (Steel and Lees 1977), revealing that a small area of the photocerebrum containing NSC is indispensable for the photoperiodic mechanism. The NSC is not the photoperiodic photoreceptor (Gao et al. 1999), and the photoreceptors for photoperiodic response and NSC involved in neurosecretion are two different sequential players of the photoperiodic signal transduction (Tagu et al. 2005). In non-mammalian vertebrates, the photoreceptors in the deeper regions of the brain mediate the seasonal changes in physiology and behavior, and the OPN5-positive CSF-contacting neurons in the paraventricular organ of the quail mediobasal hypothalamus have been reported to shown intrinsically photosensitive, involved in the regulation of seasonal reproduction (Nakane et al. 2014). Early transduction of the photoperiodic signal may occur through NSCs in protocerebrum, but it is not clear whether they are directly connected to the ovaries.

4. Endocrine regulation of reproductive mode

Juvenile hormones (JHs) involved in many physiological functions have been demonstrated to regulate various insect polyphenisms, such as the wing polyphenism, body-color polyphenism, male polyphenism and caste polyphenism (Zera and Denno 1997; Emlen and Nijhout 1999; Tanaka 2001; Miura 2005). Since the corpora cardiaca is connected to the brain and corpus allatum that produces and secrets JHs, it may constitute both release and transit sites for brain neurosecretory products (Tagu et al. 2005). Interestingly, the corpus allatum volume is regulated by the night length, but it is not directly correlated with JH titre (Hardie 1987a). JHs are candidate molecules for the transduction of the photoperiodic signal from the brain to ovarioles. Although there are several types of JHs exist in insects, only one type (JHIII) has been reported in aphids in a small amount (Hardie et al. 1985; Westerlund and Hoffmann 2004). The pea aphids reared under long-night conditions have lower JHIII titre than those under short-night conditions, and the expression level of JH esterase 1 gene that is known to degrade JH is higher in aphids reared under long-night conditions (Ishikawa et al. 2012), suggesting that the upregulation of the JH degradation pathway may result in the production of sexual morphs. The topical application of JHs or their analogues under long-night conditions leads to the production of parthenogenetic females instead of sexual morphs in M. persicae (Mittler et al. 1979), A. fabae and A. pisum (Mittler et al. 1976; Corbitt and Hardie 1985; Hardie and Lees 1985). For instance, starvation, decapitation and precocene III treatments inhibit the embryonic oocyte development, and JH treatment reverses this inhibition (Hardie 1987b). Besides JH, the melatonin usually involved in the transduction of day length in vertebrate, also influences the reproductive mode in aphids. The melatonin-fed A. pisum under 16 h L:8 h D produce males and virginoparous/oviparous intermediate females, which occurs only under short-day conditions or around critical night-length (Gao and Hardie 1997).

The signal transduction of photoperiodic changes to secretory organs to perform endocrine regulation is still poorly understood. The most likely mechanism may be that: (1) the photoperiodic changes are perceived by the protocerebrum to activate the neurosecretory cells; (2) the neurosecretory cells produce the neurohormones to act on corpus allatum to produce and secret JHs; (3) JHs are transported along axons connected to the abdominal structures and, perhaps, even to the ovarioles. However many issues remain unsolved including the types of JHs regulating the reproductive mode, the JH receptors and the putative chemical mediators that interact with JHs. With the progress of molecular techniques, such as the transcriptional profiling and nanocarrier-mediated RNA interference (see below), the important role of JHs in the reproductive polyphenism may be illustrated.

5. Gametogenesis and embryogenesis in sexual and asexual morphs

The environmental signal is perceived and transduced to the final target organ (ovary) to produce the sexual or asexual morphs. Similarly to most insects, each female aphid possesses two functional ovaries, and their general organization and embryonic development are similar between sexual and asexual aphids. Each ovary contains several telotrophic meroistic ovarioles, each consisting of a germarium and a chain of follicular chambers. In each fully-formed germarium, there are 32 oogonial cells divided by the germ cell, half of them developing into nurse cells (or trophocytes) and half into oocytes (Buning 1985). The pre-oocytes are expelled from the germarium toward the first follicle chamber to become the oocytes, and the oogonial cells located in the posterior part of the germarium condense their chromosomes and become the pre-oocytes (Chang et al. 2006, 2007; Le Trionnaire et al. 2008; Bermingham and Wilkinson 2009). The pre-oocytes are released one by one into the follicle chambers in this way, and each follicle chamber contains the egg or embryo at different developmental stages.

In the case of sexual reproduction of aphids, the meiosis is to release one haploid oocyte and three degenerated polar bodies, which is similar with other insects (Tagu et al. 2005). The oocytes in follicle chambers enter a growth phase with accumulation of yolk in their cytoplasm, and then are fertilized when passing into the oviduct beside the spermathecae. The fertilized eggs filled with yolk are approximately 1 mm long, and they darken from the posterior towards the anterior pole due to the deposition and tanning of a cuticle by the serosa (Miura et al. 2003). When the embryo completes anatrepsis and is fully segmented, it enters diapause, a period of arrested development (Le Trionnaire et al. 2008). In the case of parthenogenetic aphids, the embryo develops within ovariole from a diploid oocyte after a single maturation division (the mitosis that produces one 2n polar body and one 2n oocyte without recombination) (Tague et al. 2005). The first mitotic division of the oocyte occurs immediately after the parthenogenetic embryogenesis, and the number of embryo per asexual female is much higher than those in sexual females (Miura et al. 2003). The oocytes of asexual aphids lack the asters provided by the male gamete in sexual aphids but they can self-organize the microtubule-based asters (Riparbelli et al. 2005).

Obviously, the oocyte fate toward sexual or asexual differentiation is decided very early, perhaps at the oocyte or pre-oocyte stage. The parthenogenetic embryos express a large number of tissue-specific genes and some highly expressed genes do not share any similarity with other sequences in GenBank (Sabater-Muñoz et al. 2006), indicating the differentiation process specific to parthenogenetic embryogenesis in aphids. Srinivasan et al. (2014) observed the similar expression patterns of all meiosis genes between asexual and sexual ovaries of A. pisum, with the only exception for Spo11. The asexual aphids accumulate unspliced transcripts of Spo11, whereas the sexual aphids accumulate primarily spliced transcripts. The Spo11 protein creates double-strand DNA breaks that initiate the recombination in meiosis, and less Spo11 protein in asexual aphids may prevent the inappropriate recombination, DNA damage and chromosome pairing, revealing that the modification of Spo11 gene activity may play an important role in switching the reproductive modes.

6. The paradox of sex: advantages of sexual reproduction

The cyclically parthenogenesis theoretically has a twofold reproductive disadvantage compared to the asexual reproduction, and the paradox of sex (the prevalence of cyclical parthenogenesis over obligate parthenogenesis) seems more obvious in aphids than most other organisms (Bulmer 1982; Rispe and Pierre 1998). The first advantage of sex maintenance may be that only cyclical parthenogenetic aphids produce the eggs that are resistant to the cold climate, whereas the sexual aphids do not produce eggs, and are hence susceptible to the cold climate. There are two exceptions that some Fordinae aphids produce sexual eggs that do not overwinter, and the parthenogenesis is oviparous in Adelgidae and Phylloxeridae aphids (Simon et al. 2002). The sexual lineages may predominate in cold climate, whereas they are likely to be replaced by asexual lineages in warm climate, and the asexual reproduction is deemed to be a superior reproductive output (Rispe et al. 1998; Dedryver et al. 2001). The sexual eggs are not only cold resistant, but may be also adapted to the drought, and the eggs can be easily dispersal by seeds.

The second advantage of sex maintenance may be related with the genome purging and high diversity. The reproductive mode of aphids determines their genetic diversity to some extent, which is confirmed by genetic markers such as mitochondrial DNA and microsatellite. For example in *R. padi*, the cyclically parthenogenetic lineages possess different mitochondrial DNA than most asexual clones (Simon *et al.* 1996), and the microsatellite

results indicate that the sexual lineages have high allelic polymorphism and heterozygote deficits, whereas the asexual lineages show less allelic polymorphism but high heterozygosity at most loci (Delmotte et al. 2002), which is similar to the results of A. pisum (Kanbe and Akimoto 2009). A great genetic diversity is usually found in cyclically parthenogenetic lineages (Sunnucks et al. 1997; Gómez and Carvalho 2000), whereas the obligate asexual lineages possess fewer genotypes (Fenton et al. 1998; Wilson et al. 1999; Haack et al. 2000). A significant acceleration of deleterious mutation accumulation is also observed in the asexual Tuberolachnus salignus (Normark and Moran 2000). By generating genotypic diversity, sexual aphids can reduce the intraspecific competition and help aphids to escape parasites. Nevertheless, the asexual aphids also possess mitotic recombination, which occurs at a low rate (Wilson et al. 1999). The males produced by asexual lineages can mate with females produced by sexual lineages to shelter the asexual genes in diapausing eggs for overwintering, and there are some evidences of gene flow between sexual and asexual lineages in nature (Rispe et al. 1999), which is benefit for the genetic diversity of asexual lineages.

7. Prospect of a novel RNAi method in aphids

Many issues are not clear in the switch of reproductive modes in aphids: (1) the photoreceptors in protocerebrum are unknown, (2) the regulation of photoperiodism by circadian clock is debated, (3) the role of JH in photoperiodic transduction is not clear, and (4) the genes and proteins involved in the switch of reproductive modes need to be further identified. The sexual and asexual aphids use the same set of genes, and the mechanism of reproductive polyphenism need more molecular proofs. With the establishment of the International Aphid Genomics Consortium and sequencing of aphid genome, many potential genes involved in the reproductive polyphenism will enter the public's awareness. RNA interference (RNAi) allows the transient knock-down of mRNA, which is a good method to analyze the gene function. To our knowledge, there are still some challenges in RNAi for Hemiptera such as the dsRNA delivery, dsRNA persistency and RNAi machinery (Allen and Walker 2012; Christiaens et al. 2014; Christiaens and Smagghe 2014), and thus this method has not yet been adapted to promote the effective and highthroughput mutagenesis in aphids.

The toughest problem to apply RNAi in aphids is the dsRNA delivery method. Considering the limitations of existing dsRNA delivery systems (e.g., the mechanical injury for microinjection method and the low penetration capacity of dsRNA into the body wall for soaking method), the oral

feeding of dsRNA seems more practical. We previously introduced a nanocarrier to establish a novel dsRNA delivery method through oral feeding. The nanocarrier is a cationic dendrimer that consists of peripheral amino acid functionalized arms. The dendrimer can condense random nucleus acid with length ranging from 20-2000 bp into nanocarrier/nucleus acid complexes that are easy to be uptaken through endocytosis (Win and Feng 2005; Yin et al. 2008; Xu et al. 2014). Our nanocarriers deliver the dsRNA to down-regulate the target gene expression through oral feeding, resulting in an obvious phenotype with chewing mouthpart pests (He et al. 2013; Liu et al. 2014; Shen et al. 2014; Xu et al. 2014). However, the feeding method does not seem suitable for sap-sucking aphids that usually have a small oral dose of dsRNA (Yu et al. 2013; Christiaens and Smagghe 2014). Recently, we have established a transdermal delivery system in A. glycines with the help of the nanocarrier and detergent (Fig. 3), which helps the dsRNA to penetrate the body wall into the hemocoel and



Fig. 3 Schematic diagram of nanocarrier mediated RNA interference in aphids. A, the schematic diagram of transdermal dsRNA delivery system. The dsRNA/nanocarrier/detergent formulation is performed by two mixture operation steps, and the amphiphilic droplets can spread on the aphid notum with the help of detergent. B, the schematic diagram to conduct RNAi through the topical application. C, the schematic diagram to conduct RNAi by spraying method.

then spread into various tissues (Zheng *et al.* 2019; Yan *et al.* 2020). The amphiphilic periphery detergent reduces the surface tension of hydrophilic nanocomplex droplets and helps dsRNA/nanocarrier complexes fast adhere to the notum of aphids. Our novel dsRNA delivery system achieves a high RNAi efficiency (95.4%), just by conveniently dropping or spraying dsRNA/nanocarrier/detergent formulation on the notum of aphids to avoid the postoperative side effects (Zheng *et al.* 2019), which will provide an excellent method to identify functional genes and greatly promote the identification of pathway genes involved in the switching of reproductive modes in aphids.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2018YFD0200804 and 2017YFD0201200).

References

- Allen M L, Walker W B III. 2012. Saliva of *Lygus lineolaris* digests double stranded ribonucleic acids. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, **58**, 391–396.
- Beekman M, Allsopp M H, Lim J, Goudie F, Oldroyd B P. 2011. Asexually produced cape honeybee queens (*Apis mellifera capensis*) reproduce sexually. *Journal of Heredity*, **102**, 562–566.
- Beldade P, Mateus A R A, Keller R A. 2011. Evolution and molecular mechanisms of adaptive developmental plasticity. *Molecular Ecology*, **20**, 1347–1363.
- Bermingham J, Wilkinson T. 2009. Embryo nutrition in parthenogenetic viviparous aphids. *Physiological Entomology*, **34**, 103–109.
- Blackman R L. 1974. Life-cycle variation of *Myzus persicae* (Sulz.) (Hem., Aphididae) in different parts of the world, in relation to genotype and environment. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, **63**, 595–607.
- Bulmer M G. 1982. Cyclical parthenogenesis and the cost of sex. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, **94**, 197–207.
- Büning J. 1985. Morphology, ultrastructure, and germ cell cluster formation in ovarioles of aphids. *Journal of Morphology*, **186**, 209–221.
- Campbell C A M, Tregidga E. 2005. Photoperiodic determination of gynoparae and males of damson-hop aphid *Phorodon humuli. Physiological Entomology*, **30**, 189–194.
- Chang C C, Lee W C, Cook C E, Lin G W, Chang T. 2006. Germ-plasm specification and germline development in the parthenogenetic pea aphid *Acyrthosiphon pisum*: Vasa and nanos as markers. *International Journal of Developmental Biology*, **50**, 413–421.
- Chang C C, Lin G W, Cook C E, Horng S B, Lee H J, Huang T Y. 2007. *Apvasa* marks germ-cell migration in the parthenogenetic pea aphid *Acyrthosiphon pisum* (Hemiptera: Aphidoidea). *Development Genes and Evolution*, **217**, 275–287.

- Chen F J, Wu G, Ge F. 2004. Impacts of elevated CO₂ on the population abundance and reproductive activity of aphid *Sitobion avenae* Fabricius feeding on spring wheat. *Journal of Applied Entomology*, **128**, 723–730.
- Christiaens O, Smagghe G. 2014. The challenge of RNAimediated control of hemipterans. *Current Opinion in Insect Science*, **6**, 15–21.
- Christiaens O, Swevers L, Smagghe G. 2014. DsRNA degradation in the pea aphid (*Acyrthosiphon pisum*) associated with lack of response in RNAi feeding and injection assay. *Peptides*, **53**, 307–314.
- Corbitt T S, Hardie J. 1985. Juvenile hormone effects on polymorphism in the pea aphid, *Acyrthosiphon pisum*. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, **38**, 131–135.
- Cortés T, Tagu D, Simon J C, Moya A, Martínez-Torres D. 2008. Sex versus parthenogenesis: a transcriptomic approach of photoperiod response in the model aphid *Acyrthosiphon pisum* (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *Gene*, **408**, 146–156.
- Dedryver C A, Hullé M, Le Gallic J F, Caillaud M C, Simon J C. 2001. Coexistence in space and time of sexual and asexual populations of the cereal aphid *Sitobion avenae*. *Oecologia*, **128**, 379–388.
- Delmotte F, Leterme N, Gauthier J P, Rispe C, Simon J C. 2002. Genetic architecture of sexual and asexual populations of the aphid *Rhopalosiphum padi* based on allozyme and microsatellite markers. *Molecular Ecology*, **11**, 711–723.
- Emlen D J, Nijout H F. 1999. Hormonal control of male horn length dimorphism in the dung beetle *Onthophagus taurus* (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 45, 45–53.
- Fenton B, Woodford J A T, Malloch G. 1998. Analysis of clonal diversity of the peach-potato aphid, *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer), in Scotland, UK and evidence for existence of a predominant clone. *Molecular Ecology*, **7**, 1475–1487.
- Forrest J M S. 1970. The effect of maternal and larval experience on morph determination in *Dysaphis devecta*. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, **16**, 2281–2292.
- Fournier D, Estoup A, Orivel J, Foucaud J, Jourdan H, Le Breton J, Keller L. 2005. Clonal reproduction by males and females in the little fire ant. *Nature*, **435**, 1230–1234.
- Frantz A, Plantegenest M, Simon J C. 2006. Temporal habitat variability and the maintenance of sex in host populations of the pea aphid. *Proceedings of the Royal Society* (B: Biological Sciences), **273**, 2887–2891.
- Gao N, Foster R G, Hardie J. 2000. Two opsin genes from the vetch aphid, *Megoura viciae*. *Insect Molecular Biology*, **9**, 197–202.
- Gao N, Hardie J. 1997. Melatonin and pea aphid, *Acyrthosiphon pisum. Journal of Insect Physiology*, **43**, 615–620.
- Gao N, Von Schantz M, Foster R G, Hardie J. 1999. The putative brain photoperiodic photoreceptors in the vetch aphid, *Megoura viciae*. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, **45**, 1011–1019.
- Gerber H S, Klostermeyer E C. 1970. Sex control by bees: A voluntary act of egg fertilization during oviposition. *Science*, **167**, 82–84.
- Gilbert S F. 2001. Ecological developmental biology: developmental biology meets the real world. *Developmental*

Biology, 233, 1-12.

- Gómez A, Carvalho G R. 2000. Sex, parthenogenesis and genetic structure of rotifers: microsatellite analysis of contemporary and testing egg bank populations. *Molecular Ecology*, **9**, 203–214.
- Guo H, Sun Y, Li Y, Tong B, Harris M, Zhu-Salzman K, Ge F. 2013. Pea aphid promotes amino acid metabolism both in *Medicago truncatula* and bacteriocytes to favor aphid population growth under elevated CO₂. *Global Change Biology*, **19**, 3210–3223.
- Haack L, Simon J C, Gauthier J P, Plantegenest M, dedryver C A. 2000. Evidence for predominant clones in a cyclically parthenogenetic organism provided by combined demographic and genetic analyses. *Molecular Ecology*, 9, 2055–2066.
- Hales D F, Tomiuk J, Wöhrmann K, Sunnucks P. 1997. Evolutionary and genetic aspects of aphid biology: A review. *European Journal of Entomology*, 94, 1–55.
- Hamilton G A. 1953. Thelytokous parthenogenesis for four generations in the desert locust (*Schistocerca gregaria* Forsk). *Nature*, **173**, 1153–1154.
- Hardie J. 1987a. The corpus allatum, neurosecretion and photoperiodically controlled polymorphism in an aphid. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, **33**, 201–205.
- Hardie J. 1987b. Juvenile hormone stimulation of oocyte development and embryogenesis in the parthenogenetic ovaries of an aphid, *Aphis fabae. International Journal of Invertebrate Reproduction and Development*, **11**, 189–202.
- Hardie J. 1990. The photoperiodic counter, quantitative daylength effects and scotophase timing in the vetch aphid *Megoura viciae. Journal of Insect Physiology*, **36**, 939–949.
- Hardie J, Baker F C, Jamieson G C, Lees A D, Schooley D A. 1985. The identification of an aphid juvenile hormone, and its titre in relation to photoperiod. *Physiological Entomology*, **10**, 297–302.
- Hardie J, Lees A D. 1985. The induction of normal and teratoid viviparae by a juvenile hormone and kinoprene in two species of aphids. *Physiological Entomology*, **10**, 65–74.
- Hardie J, Lees A D, Young S. 1981. Light transmission through the head capsule of an aphid, *Megoura viciae*. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 27, 773–775.
- He B, Chu Y, Yin M, Müllen K, An C, Shen J. 2013. Fluorescent nanoparticle delivered dsRNA toward genetic control of insect pests. *Advanced Materials*, **25**, 4580–4584.
- Ishikawa A, Ogawa K, Gotoh H, Walsh T K, Tagu D, Brisson J A, Rispe C, Jaubert-Possamai S, Kanbe T, Tsubota T, Shiotsuki T, Miura T. 2012. Juvenile hormone titre and related gene expression during the change of reproductive modes in the pea aphid. *Insect Molecular Biology*, **21**, 49–60.
- Kanbe T, Akimoto S I. 2009. Allelic and genotypic diversity in long-term asexual populations of the pea aphid, *Acyrthosiphon pisum* in comparison with sexual populations. *Molecular Ecology*, **18**, 801–816.
- Kelber A, Vorobyev M, Osorio D. 2003. Animal colour visionbehavioural tests and physiological concepts. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, **78**, 81–118.

- Lachowska D, Rożek M, Holecová M. 2008. New data on the cytology of parthenogenetic weevils (Coleoptera, Curculionidae). *Genetica*, **134**, 235–242.
- Lamb R J, Pointing P J. 1972. Sexual morph determination in the aphid, *Acyrthosiphon pisum*. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 18, 2029–2042.
- Langham G. 2004. Specialized avian predators repeatedly attack novel color morphs of *Heliconius* butterflies. *Evolution*, **58**, 2783–2787.
- Lees A D. 1964. The location of the photoperiodic receptors in the aphid *Megoura viciae* Buckton. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **41**, 119–133.
- Lees A D. 1973. Photoperiodic time measurement in the aphid *Megoura viciae*. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, **19**, 2279–2316.
- Li H, Yan S, Li Z, Zhang Q, Liu X. 2015. Dim light during scotophase enhances sexual behavior of the oriental tobacco budworm *Helicoverpa assulta* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Florida Entomologist*, **98**, 690–696.
- Little C J, Chapuis M P, Blondin L, Chapuis E, Jourdan-Pineau H. 2017. Exploring the relationship between tychoparthenogenesis and inbreeding depression in the desert locust, *Schistocerca gregaria*. *Ecology and Evolution*, 7, 6003–6011.
- Liu K, Xu Z, Yin M, Yang W, He B, Wei W, Shen J. 2014. A multifunctional perylenediimide derivative (DTPDI) can be used as a recyclable specific Hg²⁺ ion sensor and an efficient DNA delivery carrier. *Journal of Materials Chemistry* (B), 2, 2093–2096.
- Liu Y J, Yan S, Shen Z J, Li Z, Zhang X F, Liu X M, Zhang Q W, Liu X X. 2018. The expression of three opsin genes and phototactic behavior of *Spodoptera exigua* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae): evidence for visual function of opsin in phototaxis. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, **96**, 27–35.
- Mandrioli M, Zanetti E, Nardelli A, Manicardi G C. 2018. Potential role of the heat shock protein 90 (hsp90) in buffering mutations to favour cyclical parthenogenesis in the peach potato aphid *Myzus persicae* (Aphididae, Hemiptera). *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, doi: 0.1017/S0007485318000688
- Marcovitch S. 1923. Plant lice and light exposure. *Science*, **58**, 537–538.
- Marcovitch S. 1924. The migration of the aphididae and the appearance of the sexual forms as affected by the relative length of daily light exposure. *Journal of Agricultural Research*, **27**, 513–522.
- Margaritopoulos J T, Tsitsipis J A. 2002. Production of sexual morphs by apterous virginoparae of *Myzus persicae* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in relation to pre- and postnatal exposure to short day conditions. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, **92**, 321–330.
- Matsuka M, Mittler T E. 1979. Production of males and gynoparae by apterous viviparae of *Myzus persicae* continuously exposed to different scotoperiods. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, **25**, 587–593.
- Matsuura K, Vargo E L, Kawatsu K, Labadie P E, Nakano H, Yashiro T, Tsuji K. 2009. Queen succession through asexual

reproduction in termites. Science, 323, 1687.

- Mittler T E, Eisenbach J, Searle J B, Matsuka M, Nassar S G. 1979. Inhibition by kinoprene of photoperiod-induced male production by apterous and alate viviparae of the aphid *Myzus persicae*. Journal of Insect Physiology, 25, 219–226.
- Mittler T E, Nassar S G, Staal G B. 1976. Wing development and parthenogenesis induced in progenies of kinoprene-treated gynoparae of *Aphis fabae* and *Myzus persicae*. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, **22**, 1717–1725.
- Miura T. 2005. Developmental regulation of caste-specific characters in social-insect polyphenism. *Evolution and Development*, **7**, 122–129.
- Miura T, Braendle C, Shingleton A, Sisk G, Kambhampati S, Stern D L. 2003. A comparison of parthenogenetic and sexual embryogenesis of the pea aphid Acyrthosiphon pisum (Hemiptera: Aphidoidea). Journal of Experimental Zoology Molecular and Developmental Evolution, 295, 59–81.
- Moczek A P. 2015. Developmental plasticity and evolution-quo vadis? Heredity, **115**, 302–305.
- Moran N A. 1992. The evolution of aphid life cycles. *Annual Review of Entomology*, **37**, 321–348.
- Nakane Y, Shimmura T, Abe H, Yoshimura T. 2014. Intrinsic photosensitivity of a deep brain photoreceptor. *Current Biology*, **24**, R596–R597.
- Normark B B, Moran N A. 2000. Testing for the accumulation of deleterious mutations in asexual eukaryote genomes using molecular sequence. *Journal of Natural History*, **34**, 1719–1729.
- Ogawa K, Miura T. 2014. Aphid polyphenisms: Transgenerational developmental regulation through viviparity. *Frontiers in Physiology*, **5**, 1.
- Oka Y, Kagami-Yashima C, Kagawa K, Sonoda S, Murai T. 2018. Clonal variation of sexual morph production in response to temperature and photoperiod in soybean aphid, *Aphis glycines* (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *Applied Entomology and Zoology*, **53**, 509–517.
- Ollivier M, Gabaldón T, Poulain J, Gavory F, Leterme N, Gauthier J P, Legeai F, Tagu D, Simon J C, Rispe C. 2012. Comparison of gene repertoires and patterns of evolutionary rates in eight aphid species that differ by reproductive mode. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, **4**, 155–167.
- Pearcy M, Aron S, Doums C, Keller L. 2004. Conditional use of sex and parthenogenesis for worker and queen production in ants. *Science*, **306**, 1780–1783.
- Peng X, Qiao X, Chen M. 2017. Responses of holocyclic and anholocyclic *Rhopalosiphum padi* populations to lowtemperature and short-photoperiod induction. *Ecology and Evolution*, 7, 1030–1042.
- Perotti M A, Young D K, Braig H R. 2016. The ghost sex-life of the paedogenetic beetle *Micromalthus debilis*. *Scientific Reports*, **6**, 27364.
- Poupoulidou D, Margaritopoulos J T, Kephalogianni T E, Zarpas K D, Tsitsipis J A. 2006. Effect of temperature and photoperiod on the life cycle in lineages of *Myzus persicae nicotianae* and *Myzus persicae* s.str. (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *European Journal of Entomology*, **103**, 337–346.

- Razmjou J, Vorburger C, Moharramipour S, Mirhoseini S Z, Fathipour Y. 2010. Host-associated differentiation and evidence for sexual reproduction in Iranian populations of the cotton aphid, *Aphis gossypii. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, **134**, 191–199.
- Riparbelli M G, Tagu D, Bonhomme J, Callaini G. 2005. Aster self-organization at meiosis: A conserved mechanism in insect parthenogenesis? *Developmental Biology*, **278**, 220–230.
- Rispe C, Bonhomme J, Simon J C. 1999. Extreme life-cycle and sex ratio variation among sexually produced clones of the aphid *Rhopalosiphum padi* (Homoptera: Aphididae). *Oikos*, **86**, 254–264.
- Rispe C, Pierre J S. 1998. Coexistence between cyclical parthenogens, obligate parthenogens, and intermediates in a fluctuating environment. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, **195**, 97–110.
- Rispe C, Pierre J S, Gouyon P H. 1998. Models of sexual and asexual coexistence in aphids based on constraints. *Journal* of Evolutionary Biology, **11**, 685–701.
- Sabater-Muñoz B, Legeai F, Rispe C, Bonhomme J, Dearden P, Dossat C, Duclert A, Gauthier J P, Ducray D G, Hunter W, Dang P, Kambhampati S, Martinez-Torres D, Cortes T, Moya A, Nakabachi A, Philippe C, Prunier-Leterme N, Rahbé Y, Simon J C, *et al.* 2006. Large scale gene discovery in the pea aphid *Acyrthosiphon pisum* (Hemiptera). *Genome Biology*, **7**, R21.
- Sandrock C, Razmjou J, Vorburger C. 2011. Climate effects on life cycle variation and population genetic architecture of the black bean aphid, *Aphis fabae. Molecular Ecology*, 20, 4165–4181.
- Saunders D S. 1981. Insect photoperiodism the clock and the count: A review. *Physiological Entomology*, **6**, 99–116.
- Shen D, Zhou F, Xu Z, He B, Li M, Shen J, Yin M, An C. 2014. Systemically interfering with immune response by a fluorescent cationic dendrimer delivered gene suppression. *Journal of Materials Chemistry* (B), 2, 4653–4659.
- Simon J C, Martinez-Torres D, Latorre A, Moya A, Hebert P D N. 1996. Molecular characterization of cyclic and obligate parthenogens in the aphid *Rhopalosiphum padi* (L.). *Proceedings of the Royal Society* (B: Biological Sciences), 263, 481–486.
- Simon J C, Rispe C, Sunnucks P. 2002. Ecology and evolution of sex in aphids. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **17**, 34–39.
- Srinivasan D G, Abdelhady A, Stern D L. 2014. Gene expression analysis of parthenogenetic embryonic development of the pea aphid, *Acyrthosiphon pisum*, suggests that aphid parthenogenesis evolved from meiotic oogenesis. *PLoS ONE*, **9**, e115099.
- Steel C G H, Lees A D. 1977. The role of neurosecretion in the photoperiodic control of polymorphism in the aphid Megoura viciae. Journal of Experimental Biology, 67, 117–135.
- Stunnikov V. 1975. Sex control in silkworms. *Nature*, **255**, 111–113.
- Sun Y, Guo H, Zhu-Salzman K, Ge F. 2013. Elevated CO₂ increases the abundance of the peach aphid on *Arabidopsis* by reducing jasmonic acid defenses. *Plant Science*, **210**,

128-140.

- Sunnucks P, De Barro P J, Lushai G, Maclean N, Hales D. 1997. Genetic structure of an aphid studied using microsatellites: Cyclic parthenogenesis, differentiated lineages and host specialization. *Molecular Ecology*, **6**, 1059–1073.
- Tagu D, Sabater-Muñoz B, Simon J C. 2005. Deciphering reproductive polyphenism in aphids. *Invertebrate Reproduction and Development*, **48**, 71–80.
- Tanaka S. 2001. Endocrine mechanisms controlling body-color polymorphism in locusts. Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology, 47, 139–149.
- Le Trionnaire G, Hardie J, Jaubert-Possamai S, Simon J C, Tahu D. 2008. Shifting from clonal to sexual reproduction in aphids: physiological and developmental aspects. *Biology of the Cell*, **100**, 441–451.
- Le Trionnaire G, Jaubert S, Sabater-Muñoz B, Benedetto A, Bonhomme J, Prunier-Leterme N, Martinez-Torres D, Simon J C, Tagu D. 2007. Seasonal photoperiodism regulates the expression of cuticular and signaling protein genes in the pea aphid. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, **37**, 1094–1102.
- Uller T. 2008. Developmental plasticity and the evolution of parental effects. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **23**, 432–438.
- Vorburger C, Sunnuck P, Ward S A. 2003. Explaining the coexistence of asexuals with their sexual progenitors: no evidence for general-purpose genotypes in obligate parthenogens of the peach potato aphid, *Myzus persicae*. *Ecology Letters*, **6**, 1091–1098.
- Westerlund S A, Hoffmann K H. 2004. Rapid quantification of juvenile hormones and their metabolites in insect haemolymph by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS). Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, **379**, 540–543.
- Wilson A C C, Sunnucks P, Hales D F. 1999. Microevolution, low clonal diversity and genetic affinities of parthenogenetic *Sitobion* aphids in New Zealand. *Molecular Ecology*, 8, 1655–1666.
- Win K Y, Feng S S. 2005. Effects of particle size and surface coating on cellular uptake of polymeric nanoparticles for oral delivery of anticancer drugs. *Biomaterials*, 26, 2713–2722.
- Xu A Y, Li M W, Sun P J, Zhang Y H, Hou C X. 2004. Review on silkworm (*Bombyx mori*) sex control in China. *International Journal of Industrial Entomology*, 8, 123–127.
- Xu Z, He B, Wei W, Liu K, Yin M, Yang W, Shen J. 2014. Highly water-soluble perylenediimide-cored poly(amido amine) vector for efficient gene transfection. *Journal of Materials Chemistry* (B), **2**, 3079–3086.
- Xue B, Leibler S. 2018. Benefits of phenotypic plasticity for population growth in varying environments. *Proceedings* of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, **115**, 12745–12750.

- Yan S, Li H T, Zhu W L, Zhu J L, Zhang Q W, Liu X X. 2014a. Effects of light intensity on the sexual behavior of the cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Acta Entomologica Sinica*, **57**, 1045–1050. (in Chinese)
- Yan S, Liu Y J, Zhang X F, Qin M, Liu H, Zhu J L, Li Z, Zhang Q W, Liu X X. 2017. Daily expression of *Clock* gene in compound eye of *Helicoverpa armigera*. *Scientia Agricultura Sinica*, **50**, 3733–3744. (in Chinese)
- Yan S, Liu Y J, Zhu J L, Cui W N, Zhang X F, Yang Y H, Liu X M, Zhang Q W, Liu X X. 2019. Daily expression of two circadian clock genes in compound eyes of *Helicoverpa armigera*: evidence for peripheral tissue circadian timing. *Insect Science*, **26**, 217–228.
- Yan S, Ni H, Li H, Zhang J, Liu X, Zhang Q. 2013. Molecular cloning, characterization, and mRNA expression of two *cryptochrome* genes in *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology*, **106**, 450–462.
- Yan S, Qian J, Cai C, Ma Z, Li J, Yin M, Ren B, Shen J. 2020. Spray method application of transdermal dsRNA delivery system for efficient gene silencing and pest control on soybean aphid *Aphis glycines*. *Journal of Pest Science*, **93**, 449–459.
- Yan S, Zhu J, Zhu W, Zhang X, Li Z, Liu X, Zhang Q. 2014b. The expression of three opsin genes from the compound eyes of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) is regulated by a circadian clock, light conditions and nutritional status. *PLoS ONE*, 9, e11683.
- Yashiro T, Matsuura K. 2014. Termite queens close the sperm gates of eggs switch from sexual to asexual reproduction. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, **111**, 17212–17217.
- Yin M, Shen J, Gropeanu R, Pflugfelder G O, Weil T, Müllen K. 2008. Fluorescent core/shell nanoparticles for specific cell-nucleus staining. *Small*, **4**, 894–898.
- Yu N, Christiaens O, Liu J, Niu J, Cappelle K, Caccia S, Huvenne H, Smagghe G. 2013. Delivery of dsRNA for RNAi in insects: An overview and future directions. *Insect Science*, **20**, 4–14.
- Zera A J, Denno R F. 1997. Physiology and ecology of dispersal polymorphism in insects. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 42, 207–230.
- Zhao H, Rossiter S J, Teeling E C, Li C, Cotton J A, Zhang S. 2009. The evolution of color vision in nocturnal mammals. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science of the United States of America, **106**, 8980–8985.
- Zheng Y, Hu Y, Yan S, Zhou H, Song D, Yin M, Shen J. 2019. A polymer/detergent formulation improves dsRNA penetration through the body wall and RNAi-induced mortality in the soybean aphid *Aphis glycines*. *Pest Management Science*, **75**, 1993–1999.

Executive Editor-in-Chief WAN Fang-hao Managing editor SUN Lu-juan