Comparison of amyloid burden in individuals with Down syndrome versus autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease: a cross-sectional study

CrossMark

Anna H Boerwinkle, Brian A Gordon, Julie Wisch, Shaney Flores, Rachel L Henson, Omar H Butt, Nicole McKay, Charles D Chen, Tammie L S Benzinger, Anne M Fagan, Benjamin L Handen, Bradley T Christian, Elizabeth Head, Mark Mapstone, Michael S Rafii, Sid O'Bryant, Florence Lai, H Diana Rosas, Joseph H Lee, Wayne Silverman, Adam M Brickman, Jasmeer P Chhatwal, Carlos Cruchaga, Richard J Perrin, Chengjie Xiong, Jason Hassenstab, Eric McDade, Randall J Bateman, Beau M Ances, on behalf of the Alzheimer's Biomarker Consortium-Down Syndrome* and the Dominantly Inherited Alzheimer Network*

Summary

Background Important insights into the early pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease can be provided by studies of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease and Down syndrome. However, it is unclear whether the timing and spatial distribution of amyloid accumulation differs between people with autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease and those with Down syndrome. We aimed to directly compare amyloid changes between these two groups of people.

Methods In this cross-sectional study, we included participants (aged ≥25 years) with Down syndrome and sibling controls who had MRI and amyloid PET scans in the first data release (January, 2020) of the Alzheimer's Biomarker Consortium-Down Syndrome (ABC-DS) study. We also included carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease genetic mutations and non-carrier familial controls who were within a similar age range to ABC-DS participants (25–73 years) and had MRI and amyloid PET scans at the time of a data freeze (December, 2020) of the Dominantly Inherited Alzheimer Network (DIAN) study. Controls from the two studies were combined into a single group. All DIAN study participants had genetic testing to determine *PSEN1*, *PSEN2*, or *APP* mutation status. *APOE* genotype was determined from blood samples. CSF samples were collected in a subset of ABC-DS and DIAN participants and the ratio of amyloid β42 (Aβ42) to Aβ40 (Aβ42/40) was measured to evaluate its Spearman's correlation with amyloid PET. Global PET amyloid burden was compared with regards to cognitive status, *APOE* ε 4 status, sex, age, and estimated years to symptom onset. We further analysed amyloid PET deposition by autosomal dominant mutation type. We also assessed regional patterns of amyloid accumulation by estimated number of years to symptom onset. Within a subset of participants the relationship between amyloid PET and CSF Aβ42/40 was evaluated.

Findings 192 individuals with Down syndrome and 33 sibling controls from the ABC-DS study and 265 carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations and 169 non-carrier familial controls from the DIAN study were included in our analyses. PET amyloid centiloid and CSF A β 42/40 were negatively correlated in carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations (n=216; *r*=-0.565; p<0.0001) and in people with Down syndrome (n=32; *r*=-0.801; p<0.0001). There was no difference in global PET amyloid burden between asymptomatic people with Down syndrome (mean 18.80 centiloids [SD 28.33]) versus asymptomatic mutation carriers (24.61 centiloids [30.27]; p=0.11) and between symptomatic people with Down syndrome (77.25 centiloids [41.76]) versus symptomatic mutation carriers (69.15 centiloids [51.10]; p=0.34). *APOE* ϵ 4 status and sex had no effect on global amyloid PET deposition. Amyloid deposition was elevated significantly earlier in mutation carriers than in participants with Down syndrome (estimated years to symptom onset -23.0 vs -17.5; p=0.0002). *PSEN1* mutations primarily drove this difference. Early amyloid accumulation occurred in striatal and cortical regions for both mutation carriers (n=265) and people with Down syndrome (n=128). Although mutation carriers had widespread amyloid accumulation in all cortical regions, the medial occipital regions were spared in people with Down syndrome.

Interpretation Despite minor differences, amyloid PET changes were similar between people with autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease versus Down syndrome and strongly supported early amyloid dysregulation in individuals with Down syndrome. Individuals with Down syndrome aged at least 35 years might benefit from early intervention and warrant future inclusion in clinical trials, particularly given the relatively high incidence of Down syndrome.

Funding The National Institute on Aging, Riney and Brennan Funds, the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, the German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases, and the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development.

Copyright © 2022 Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Lancet Neurol 2023; 22: 55–65 See Comment page 5

*Members listed in the appendix (p 11)

Department of Neurology (A H Boerwinkle BS, I Wisch PhD, R L Henson MS, O H Butt MD, Prof A M Fagan PhD, Prof R J Perrin MD, I Hassenstab PhD. Prof E McDade DO, Prof R I Bateman MD. Prof B M Ances MD), Hope Center for Neurological Disorders (B A Gordon PhD, Prof T L S Benzinger MD. Prof A M Fagan, Prof C Cruchaga PhD, Prof R J Perrin, Prof R J Bateman, Prof B M Ances), Department of Radiology (B A Gordon, S Flores BS, N McKav PhD, C D Chen BA, Prof T L S Benzinger Prof B M Ances), Department of Psychiatry (Prof C Cruchaga), Department of Pathology and Immunology (Prof R J Perrin), and Division of Biostatistics, Washington University School of Medicine (Prof C Xiong PhD). Washington University in St Louis, St Louis, MO, USA: Department of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA (Prof B L Handen PhD); Department of Medical Physics and Psychiatry, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA (Prof BT Christian PhD); Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine (Prof E Head PhD), Department of Neurology (Prof M Mapstone PhD), and **Department of Pediatrics** (Prof W Silverman PhD), University of California Irvine School of Medicine, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA; Alzheimer's Therapeutic Research Institute, Keck School of Medicine of USC,

Los Angeles, CA, USA (Prof M S Rafii MD): Institute for Translational Research. University of North Texas Health Science Center, Fort Worth TX USA (Prof S O'Bryant PhD); Department of Neurology, Harvard Medical School. Massachusetts General Hospital and Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA. USA (Prof F Lai MD. Prof H D Rosas MD, Prof I P Chhatwal MD): Department of Neurology (Prof I H Lee DrPH. Prof A M Brickman PhD), Department of Epidemiology (Prof J H Lee), Taub Institute for Research on Alzheimer's Disease and the Aging Brain (Prof A M Brickman), and **G H Sergievsky Center** (Prof A M Brickman), Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University,

New York, NY, USA Correspondence to: Prof Beau M Ances, Department of Neurology, Washington University in St Louis, St Louis, MO 63110. USA

bances@wustl.edu

See Online for appendix

Introduction

Down syndrome, caused by full or partial triplication of chromosome 21, is one of the most common genetic disorders, with approximately one in 700 children born with Down syndrome in the USA each year.¹ Due to this triplication, individuals with Down syndrome have an extra copy of the *APP* gene and overproduce amyloid β (A β). Consequently, almost all adults with Down syndrome develop amyloid plaques and tau neurofibrillary tangles, which are the hallmarks of Alzheimer's disease.² Given this fact and the substantial increase in life expectancy in people with Down syndrome developing Alzheimer's disease.¹³

Previous studies have used cognition, fluid biomarker, and imaging measures to understand the presentation and progression of Alzheimer's disease in individuals with Down syndrome.³⁻⁶ The cognitive symptoms of Alzheimer's disease develop at approximately 50–55 yearsof-age in people with Down syndrome, with CSF markers changing years before the onset of these symptoms.³⁻⁵ PET imaging studies have also identified amyloid accumulation in cortical and subcortical brain regions years before the presentation of clinical symptoms.⁶ However, questions remain regarding the nature of amyloid deposition in individuals with Down syndrome versus individuals with other forms of Alzheimer's disease, particularly autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease.

Autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease, another genetic form of Alzheimer's disease, is caused by mutations in *PSEN1*, *PSEN2*, or *APP* that lead to altered amyloid concentrations. Similar to Down syndrome, carriers of these mutations develop Alzheimer's disease at an earlier age (30–60 years) than do individuals with late-onset Alzheimer's disease (≥65 years).⁷ Much of our understanding about the biomarker cascade in sporadic Alzheimer's disease, particularly autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease.⁸ However, although mutations causing autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease are relatively rare, Down syndrome is the most common chromosomal abnormality and is the more common genetic form of Alzheimer's disease.

Studies assessing biomarker changes in Down syndrome and autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease suggest similarities between these two genetic forms of Alzheimer's disease.² As of February, 2022, only two studies have directly compared Down syndrome with autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease, but were done with a small number of individuals.^{9,10} One study¹⁰ comparing amyloid deposition by PET found no differences between people with autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations

Research in context

Evidence before this study

We searched PubMed for articles in English published between May 1, 2021, and Feb 27, 2022, relating to measures of cerebral amyloid in individuals with Down syndrome or autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease. Search terms included "amyloid", "Alzheimer disease", "Alzheimer's disease", "autosomal dominant", "cerebral", "cerebrospinal fluid", "Down syndrome", "familial", and "positron emission tomography". Most previous studies examining amyloid changes in Down syndrome were limited by the absence of a comparison with autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease. Only two studies directly compared the two cohorts but were limited in sample size and generalisability. The first study reported no differences in amyloid PET accumulation between individuals with Down syndrome and people with autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease, but included only amyloid-positive asymptomatic individuals. The second study evaluated CSF biomarkers and found significantly higher amyloid β40 and amyloid β42 concentrations in individuals with Down syndrome versus those with autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease

Added value of this study

To our knowledge, this study is the largest to date looking at amyloid changes in people with genetic forms of Alzheimer's disease. Our study compared amyloid burden on PET between individuals with Down syndrome and people carrying autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations to assess global and regional amyloid deposition as a function of cognitive performance and age. We also investigated a subset of participants with CSF measures of amyloid to analyse amyloid PET in relation to CSF amyloid concentrations to study the relationship between amyloid clearance and deposition.

Implications of all the available evidence

In our study, amyloid accumulation occurred significantly earlier before symptom onset in carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations (-23.0 years) than in people with Down syndrome (-17.5 years), implying the presence of a potential protective factor delaying amyloid accumulation in individuals with Down syndrome. These findings build on previous evidence that amyloid changes measured by CSF occur before cerebral accumulation measured by PET in two different genetic causes of Alzheimer's disease. Despite the relatively higher incidence of Down syndrome compared with autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease, previous clinical trials in genetic forms of Alzheimer's disease have mainly focused on autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease. Clinical trials in individuals with Down syndrome could help to examine how amyloid-directed interventions might slow, prevent, or treat Alzheimer's disease. The timing and spatial distribution of amyloid accumulation is important to consider when designing and recruiting participants for clinical trials of amyloid-targeting therapies. The similarities we have found in the pattern of amyloid changes suggest the presence of potential overlap for Alzheimer's disease therapies within genetic forms of Alzheimer's disease.

and people with Down syndrome, but included only amyloid-positive asymptomatic participants. Another study,⁹ which evaluated CSF biomarkers, reported greater CSF A β 40 and A β 42 concentrations in people with Down syndrome, whether asymptomatic or symptomatic for Alzheimer's disease, than in people with autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease. These studies suggest that, although Alzheimer's disease pathology might be similar for people with Down syndrome versus autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease, subtle differences might exist and might influence our understanding of genetic forms of Alzheimer's disease.

We aimed to evaluate amyloid deposition in two large cohorts of people with genetic causes of Alzheimer's disease (Down syndrome vs autosomal dominant). We analysed amyloid PET in relation to CSF amyloid concentrations to study the relationship between amyloid clearance and deposition. We also assessed global and regional amyloid PET as a function of cognitive performance and age for individuals with Down syndrome and autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease. Due to evidence of heterogeneity in amyloid accumulation between patients with autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease caused by different mutations, we also aimed to compare amyloid PET measures by mutation type.¹¹ These comparisons will enhance our understanding of genetic forms of Alzheimer's disease and might have important implications for more common forms of Alzheimer's disease, including late-onset Alzheimer's disease. Given the development of novel therapeutics designed to reduce amyloid deposition, our results will have relevance to the use of potential anti-amyloid therapies in individuals with genetic forms of Alzheimer's disease, particularly because clinical trials are now being considered for adults with Down syndrome.¹²

Methods

Study design and participants

In this cross-sectional study, we sourced data from the Alzheimer's Biomarker Consortium-Down Syndrome (ABC-DS) study, which enrols adults (aged \geq 25 years) with Down syndrome and sibling controls from nine sites in the USA and the UK and collects longitudinal clinical. cognitive, imaging, and fluid biomarker data. For this analysis, we only included participants from the first data release (January, 2020) who had MRI and amyloid PET scans. We also sourced data from the observational, longitudinal Dominantly Inherited Alzheimer Network (DIAN) study, which enrols individuals (aged ≥18 years) from families with an autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease genetic mutation, from 20 sites in ten countries worldwide. For this analysis, we included carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations and non-carrier familial controls within DIAN at data freeze 15 (December, 2020) who were within a similar age range to ABC-DS participants (25-73 years) and who had MRI and amyloid PET scans. Informed consent or assent was obtained from all participants, and from their legally authorised representative when necessary. Study protocols were approved by the local institutional review boards of all ABC-DS and DIAN sites.

Procedures

In the ABC-DS study, participants with Down syndrome are given a clinical dementia diagnosis by a committee with clinical training or extensive experience in evaluating dementia in individuals with Down syndrome. This committee considers several variables (appendix p 1) to derive a consensus diagnosis of either cognitively stable, mild cognitive impairment, or dementia due to Alzheimer's disease. If no consensus is reached, a diagnosis of no consensus is given. For some analyses, individuals with a consensus diagnosis of cognitively stable were categorised as asymptomatic and those with a consensus diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment or dementia due to Alzheimer's disease were categorised as symptomatic.

Cognitive status for DIAN participants was determined by use of the Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) scale, on which a score of 0 indicates normal cognitive function, a score of 0.5 represents very mild dementia, a score of 1 represents mild dementia, a score of 2 represents moderate dementia, and a score of 3 represents severe dementia. Only non-carrier control participants with a CDR of 0 were included. For some analyses, participants with autosomal dominant mutations were categorised as asymptomatic (CDR 0) or symptomatic (CDR >0) or as having mild cognitive impairment (CDR 0.5-1) or Alzheimer's disease (CDR>1).

For participants with Down syndrome, karyotype was obtained from medical records or genetic testing. All DIAN study participants had genetic testing to determine *PSEN1, PSEN2,* or *APP* mutation status. For the analyses considering autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutation type, mutation carriers were categorised into four groups: mutation in *PSEN1* before codon 200; mutation in *PSEN1* after codon 200; *PSEN2* mutation; or *APP* mutation. Individuals carrying the *APP* Glu693Gln mutation were excluded from these analyses due to evidence of inconsistent PET tracer uptake.¹³

CSF samples were collected in a subset of ABC-DS and DIAN participants who agreed to have a lumbar puncture, and were processed centrally (Washington University in St Louis, St Louis, MO, USA). Concentrations of A β 40 and A β 42 were measured by use of the Lumipulse G1200 platform (Fujirebio; Malvern, PA, USA; appendix p 1). *APOE* genotype was determined from blood samples by use of KASP genotyping assays (LGC Genomics; Beverly, MA, USA) for ABC-DS participants and a TaqMan assay (Applied Biosystems; Waltham, MA, USA) for DIAN participants. Individuals were categorised as *APOE* ε 4-positive if they had at least one ε 4 allele.

T1-weighted MRI scans were collected for ABC-DS and DIAN participants on 3-T MRI scanners and segmented

For the **ABC-DS study** see https://www.nia.nih.gov/ research/abc-ds

For the **DIAN study** see https:// dian.wustl.edu/our-research/ observational-study/ For more on **FreeSurfer** see https://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard. edu/

Pittsburgh compound B ([11C]-PiB) or [18F]-AV45 (florbetapir; appendix p 1) lasting 20 min (four 5-min frames) at 50-70 min after bolus injection. DIAN participants had amyloid PET with [11C]-PiB (appendix p 1), which either started at the time of injection and lasted for 70 min or was run between 40 min and 70 min after injection. All PET images were processed and aligned to FreeSurfer MRI segmentation by use of an established processing pipeline (the PET Unified Pipeline). Regional standard For the PET Unified Pipeline see https://github.com/ysu001/PUP uptake value ratios were calculated by use of the cerebellar cortex as the reference region. Because the ABC-DS study used different tracers, standard uptake value ratios were transformed to the centiloid scale (appendix p 1).14 [11C]-PiB standard uptake value ratios were calculated from the 50-70 min post-injection time window for both studies and underwent partial volume correction.

into regions of interest by use of FreeSurfer 5.3-HCP, with

identical quality control procedures in each study.

ABC-DS participants had amyloid PET with [11C]-

| | Controls (n=202) | Down syndrome (n=192) | Autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease | p value |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|---------|
| | | | (n=265) | |
| Age, years | 40 (33 to 49) | 41 (35 to 49) | 39 (33 to 48) | 0.14 |
| Sex | | | | 0.0029 |
| Female | 123 (61%) | 84 (44%)* | 140 (53%) | |
| Male | 79 (39%) | 108 (56%) | 125 (47%) | |
| Race | | | | 0.027 |
| White | 186 (92%) | 184 (96%)† | 232 (88%) | |
| Black or African American | <3 (1%)‡ | 2 (1%) | <3 (1%)‡ | |
| Unknown | 3 (1%) | 0 | <3 (1%)‡ | |
| Other | 12 (6%) | 6 (3%) | 29 (11%) | |
| APOE ε4-positive | 57 (28%) | 38 (20%) | 78 (29%) | 0.059 |
| Cognitive status | | | | <0.0001 |
| Asymptomatic | 202 (100%) | 155 (81%)*† | 164 (62%)* | |
| Symptomatic | 0 | 28 (15%) | 101 (38%) | |
| No consensus | NA | 9 (5%) | NA | |
| Down syndrome type§ | | | | |
| Full trisomy 21 | NA | 168 (88%) | NA | |
| Translocation | NA | 12 (6%) | NA | |
| Mosaicism | NA | 6 (3%) | NA | |
| Autosomal dominant mutation | NA | NA | | |
| PSEN1 | NA | NA | 202 (76%) | |
| PSEN2 | NA | NA | 22 (8%) | |
| APP | NA | NA | 41 (15%) | |
| Centiloid score | -2·93 (-5·66 to -0·07) | 8·45 (1·32 to 49·78)*† | 31·72 (4·58 to 67·19)* | <0.0001 |

Data are median (IQR) or n (%). p values refer to comparisons among all three groups. NA=not applicable. *Significantly different from control group (p<0-05 after Benjamini–Hochberg correction for multiple comparisons). †Significantly different from mutation carriers (p<0-05 after Benjamini–Hochberg correction for multiple comparisons). ‡Due to unblinding concerns, it is the policy of DIAN to not include exact values when demographic numbers are <5. \$Karyotype information was not available in six individuals with Down syndrome; however, in these individuals, the diagnosis of Down syndrome was confirmed by medical records.

Table: Participant characteristics

Statistical analysis

We combined controls from the ABC-DS and DIAN studies into a single group. We evaluated differences in demographic characteristics between controls, autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutation carriers, and people with Down syndrome using χ^2 tests for categorical variables and Kruskal–Wallis rank sum tests for continuous variables after determining a non-normal distribution using the Kolmogorov–Smirnoff test. If differences were significant, post-hoc two-sample tests were done (χ^2 test for categorical variables and Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables).

We assessed the correlation between CSF measures of amyloid concentration and amyloid PET uptake using Spearman's correlation test. We plotted global amyloid PET deposition (in centiloids) as a function of CSF A β 42 to A β 40 ratio (A β 42/40), A β 42, and A β 40, and analysed the correlation between these measures for carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations and people with Down syndrome categorised by cognitive status.

We used Mann–Whitney U tests to examine differences in amyloid accumulation between groups categorised by cognitive status (controls, asymptomatic people with Down syndrome, symptomatic people with Down syndrome, asymptomatic carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations, and symptomatic carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations) and within these five groups by *APOE* ɛ4 status and sex, as previous studies of late-onset disease have reported an effect on amyloid for these variables.¹⁵⁻²⁰ Individuals with no committee consensus were excluded from comparisons by cognitive status. We further delineated the symptomatic groups into mild cognitive impairment versus Alzheimer's disease to analyse differences in amyloid accumulation. The Benjamini-Hochberg procedure was used to correct for multiple comparisons.

Using a bootstrapping approach and a generalised additive model with a cubic regression spline (appendix p 2), we assessed amyloid PET deposition between controls, carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations, and people with Down syndrome as a function of age and estimated years to symptom onset, as Alzheimer's disease progression is typically evaluated in people with autosomal dominant disease as a function of estimated years to symptom onset. The median estimated number of years to symptom onset or the median age at which amyloid accumulation for mutation carriers or people with Down syndrome became significantly elevated versus controls were calculated and compared to identify whether accumulation began significantly later in either group. For DIAN participants, the number of years to symptom onset was estimated by subtracting an individual's current age from the age at which their parent began to have symptoms.⁷ Because a method to calculate the number of years to symptom onset in individuals with Down syndrome has not been established, we estimated

the number of years to symptom onset for ABC-DS participants by separately subtracting their current age from three average ages of symptom onset observed in previous studies (50.0 years, 52.5 years, and 55.0 years), focusing on the average age of 52.5 years for comparisons with carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations.^{3,4,21-26} We further analysed amyloid PET deposition by mutation type as a function of age and estimated years to symptom onset (average age of symptom onset 52.5 years for people with Down syndrome). Additionally, we evaluated whether APOE ɛ4 status or sex caused a temporal shift in amyloid PET deposition. We also assessed regional patterns of amyloid accumulation in participants with Down syndrome or autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations by estimated number of years to symptom onset (appendix p 2). For the regional analysis, we compared only the ABC-DS and DIAN participants with a [11C]-PiB PET scan. We examined standard uptake value ratios in 34 cortical and seven subcortical regions. Using a bootstrapping approach, the estimated number of years to symptom onset at which amyloid deposition in each region became significantly elevated compared with controls was determined with 10000 iterations. The median estimated number of years to symptom onset for each region was calculated to assess the spatial pattern of amyloid accumulation. All analyses used R (version 4.1.2) and the packages mgcv, tidymv, ggplot, and ggseg. A p-value threshold of <0.05 was used to determine statistical significance (except in the bootstrapping regional analysis, which used a stricter significance threshold of p < 0.01).

Role of the funding source

The funders of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report.

Results

192 individuals with Down syndrome and 33 sibling controls from the ABC-DS study and 265 carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations and 169 non-carrier familial controls from the DIAN study were included in our analyses. Controls from the two studies were combined into a single group. For the autosomal dominant mutation types present in people included in our study, see appendix (pp 8-9). Participant characteristics for each group are shown in the table. Groups did not differ by age or APOE ɛ4-positivity status. There were fewer women in the Down syndrome group (44%) compared with the control (61%) and mutation carrier (53%) groups. Compared with carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations, a smaller proportion of individuals with Down syndrome identified as non-White (p=0.031), although most people in our analysis identified as White. A higher proportion of mutation carriers (38%) than people with Down syndrome (15%) were categorised as symptomatic (p<0.0001). Of the 101 symptomatic mutation carriers, 57 (56%) had very mild dementia (CDR 0.5), 27 (27%) had mild dementia (CDR 1), and 17 (17%) had moderate or severe dementia (CDR 2-3). Of the 28 symptomatic participants with Down syndrome, 16 (57%) had mild cognitive impairment and 12 (43%) had dementia due to Alzheimer's disease.

In the subset of participants with both CSF and amyloid PET data, people with Down syndrome (n=32) were older (mean age 49.78 years [SD 5.75]) than people with autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations (n=216; 39.75 years [9.74]), but similar with regard to *APOE* ϵ 4 status, sex, cognitive status, and race (appendix p 9). We plotted global amyloid deposition on PET (in centiloids) as a function of CSF A β 42/40, A β 42, and A β 40 for carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations and people with Down syndrome categorised by cognitive status (figure 1;



Figure 1: Global amyloid deposition on PET versus CSF amyloid Global amyloid PET deposition in centiloids plotted against the A β 42/40 in CSF in autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutation carriers (n=216; A) and participants with Down syndrome (n=32; B). Plotted data points were categorised by participants' cognitive status, as measured by the Clinical Dementia Rating in mutation carriers and by consensus diagnosis in people with Down syndrome. A locally weighted estimated scatterplot smoothing curve was added to visualise the relation between CSF amyloid and amyloid PET. MCI=mild cognitive impairment. A β 42/40=amyloid β 42 to amyloid β 40 ratio.



Figure 2: Global amyloid deposition on PET by cognitive status Controls, n=202; asymptomatic Down syndrome, n=155; symptomatic Down syndrome, n=28; asymptomatic autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease, n=164; symptomatic autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease, n=101.

appendix p 4). In mutation carriers, we measured a negative correlation between CSF AB42/40 and amyloid PET deposition (r=-0.565; p<0.0001). The CSF Aβ42/40 was diminished and amyloid PET centiloids were elevated in symptomatic (CDR>0) versus asymptomatic (CDR=0) carriers (figure 1A). We observed a similar relationship between CSF AB42/40 and amyloid PET centiloids when we grouped carriers by mutation type (appendix p 3). We also found that the CSF AB42/40 was negatively correlated with amyloid PET centiloids in people with Down syndrome (r=-0.801; p<0.0001), with participants with a consensus diagnosis of dementia having increased amyloid PET centiloids and reduced CSF amyloid ratios versus cognitively stable (asymptomatic) participants (figure 1B). Similar significant negative correlations were observed when amyloid PET was plotted as a function of CSF AB42 concentrations in both carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations (r=-0.53) and people with Down syndrome (r=-0.61), but no significant correlation was measured between CSF AB40 concentrations and amyloid PET in either group (appendix p 4).

We compared amyloid accumulation between groups categorised by cognitive status (figure 2). As expected, amyloid PET centiloids in controls clustered near zero (mean -1.77 centiloids [SD 8.77]) and were lower than those in asymptomatic people with Down syndrome (18.80 centiloids [28.33]), symptomatic people with Down syndrome (77.25 centiloids [41.76]), asymptomatic carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease

mutations (24.61 centiloids [30.27]), and symptomatic mutation carriers (69.15 centiloids [51.10]; table; figure 2).The symptomatic groups had higher levels of amyloid PET deposition than did their respective asymptomatic group (figure 2). Similar results were seen when the symptomatic groups were further delineated into mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease (data not shown). There was no difference in amyloid PET deposition between asymptomatic people with Down syndrome versus asymptomatic mutation carriers and between symptomatic people with Down syndrome versus symptomatic mutation carriers (figure 2). For individuals with Down syndrome and autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease, no differences in amyloid PET deposition were observed between APOE E4-positive and APOE ɛ4-negative individuals (appendix p 5) or between men and women (appendix p 6).

We evaluated trajectories of amyloid accumulation as a function of estimated years to symptom onset using an average age of onset of 52.5 years for participants with Down syndrome (figure 3). Amyloid PET deposition was elevated in carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations at a significantly earlier timepoint before symptom onset than in participants with Down syndrome (-23.0 years vs -17.5 years; p=0.0002; figure 3A). We found similar results when using an average age of symptom onset of 50.0 years (p<0.0001) and 55.0 years (p=0.056) for participants with Down syndrome (appendix p 6). When we compared people with Down syndrome with people with different autosomal dominant mutation types, amyloid accumulation in participants with Down syndrome was elevated significantly later than in people with PSEN1 mutations after codon 200 (figure 3D) but not in people with PSEN1 mutations before codon 200 (figure 3C). There were no significant differences in the time of amyloid PET elevation between people with Down syndrome versus people with PSEN2 or APP mutations (figure 3). Amyloid PET deposition was elevated at a significantly earlier age in carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations than in participants with Down syndrome (25.4 years vs 34.0 years; p<0.0001; appendix p 7). People with *PSEN1* mutations had elevated amyloid PET at a significantly earlier age than participants with Down syndrome (appendix p 7). Amyloid accumulation in participants with Down syndrome occurred at a similar age as in participants with PSEN2 and APP mutations (appendix p 7). When plotting amyloid PET accumulation by estimated years to symptom onset or age range, we observed no temporal shift by APOE E4 status in people with Down syndrome or autosomal dominant mutations (appendix p 5). A similar analysis examining sex did not show a temporal shift in amyloid PET accumulation between men and women for either people with Down syndrome or people with autosomal dominant mutations (appendix p 6).

We examined standard uptake value ratios in 34 cortical and seven subcortical regions in people with Down



Figure 3: Global amyloid deposition on PET as a function of estimated years to symptom onset Global amyloid PET deposition as a function of estimated years to symptom onset in controls (n=202) versus people with Down syndrome (n=92) versus all carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations (n=265; A), mutation carriers stratified by mutation type (B), mutation carriers with PSEN1 mutations before codon 200 (n=74; C), mutation carriers with PSEN1

mutations after codon 200 (n=128; D), mutation carriers with PSEN2 mutations (n=22; E), and mutation carriers with APP mutations (n=41; F).

syndrome (n=128) or autosomal dominant mutations (n=265) and control participants (n=202) with [11C]-PiB PET scans. Within this subset, most participants with Down syndrome were asymptomatic (88%) and significantly fewer participants with Down syndrome were APOE £4-positive (18%) compared with carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations (29%) and controls (28%; appendix p 9). Amyloid accumulation in mutation carriers occurred earliest in the occipito-parietal cortices, closely followed by the frontal lobe and striatum (figures 4A, 5; video; appendix pp 7, 10). Early amyloid accumulation in participants with Down syndrome occurred in the frontal lobe and striatum, followed by regions in the parietal and temporal lobes (figures 4B, 5; video; appendix p 10). Although we found amyloid accumulation in all cortical regions in carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations, amyloid standard uptake value ratios were not elevated within the medial occipital regions (cuneus, pericalcarine, and lingual cortices) of people with Down syndrome (figures 4, 5; video; appendix p 10).

Discussion

In this cross-sectional study including participants with two different genetic forms of Alzheimer's disease, we compared amyloid deposition within a large cohort of individuals with Down syndrome and carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations. Overall, we observed many similarities between these groups. To our knowledge, this study is the first to assess the relation between PET amyloid deposition and CSF amyloid concentrations in people with Down syndrome. Our results showed an inverse relation between these amyloid measures, suggesting that amyloid two concentrations decrease in the CSF before accumulating in the brain in people with Down syndrome, similar to the pattern seen in people with late-onset Alzheimer's disease and autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease.27,28 When

See Online for video

we assessed amyloid deposition by PET, it was similar between individuals with Down syndrome and people autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease with mutations when grouped by cognitive status. For both groups, asymptomatic participants (ie, individuals with Down syndrome diagnosed as cognitively stable or people with autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease and a CDR of 0) had higher global amyloid PET deposition than controls and symptomatic individuals had even higher amyloid deposition than their asymptomatic counterparts. These results are supported by our finding that amyloid accumulation began approximately two decades before symptom onset in people with Down syndrome and in people with autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease. Our results suggest that amyloid accumulation begins in the early preclinical stages in individuals with Down syndrome and continues to increase as cognitive impairment progresses, similar to what is observed in



Figure 4: Regional amyloid accumulation by estimated years to symptom onset Estimated years to symptom onset at which regional amyloid accumulation in autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutation carriers (A) and individuals with Down syndrome (B) was significantly greater than in controls.

people with late-onset Alzheimer's disease and autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease.^{27,28}

Although our findings suggest that amyloid begins accumulating at the early stages of Alzheimer's disease progression in both groups, we also observed subtle but significant differences between people with Down syndrome and carriers with autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease in the timing of initial amyloid accumulation. In particular, amyloid accumulation began earlier in carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations than in people with Down syndrome but approached similar concentrations at the estimated symptom onset. After differentiating mutation carriers by autosomal dominant mutation type, we found that this difference in timing was mainly driven by PSEN1 mutations. This result is consistent with previous work by Chhatwal and colleagues,11 in which the magnitude of amyloid PET changes was different for PSEN1 mutation carriers than for carriers of other mutations. The time of initial amyloid accumulation in participants with Down syndrome was similar to that of people with APP mutations. One hypothesised explanation for the delay in amyloid accumulation in people with Down syndrome versus autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease is that other triplicated genes on chromosome 21-eg, BACE2, which encodes β -secretase 2—could be protective. The θ -secretase activity of β-secretase 2 could cleave amyloid into smaller, nonamyloidogenic AB isomers instead of amyloidogenic Aβ42.²⁹ However, one study measured significantly higher concentrations of CSF Aβ42 in asymptomatic individuals with Down syndrome compared with asymptomatic carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations, suggesting that amyloidogenic AB42 is still highly produced in individuals with Down syndrome despite BACE2 triplication.9 Another possible explanation for delayed amyloid accumulation in individuals with Down syndrome is that they might clear amyloid more



Figure 5: PiB SUVR by estimated years to symptom onset group

(A) Estimated 20 years before symptom onset. (B) Estimated 10 years before symptom onset. (C) Estimated at symptom onset. (D) Estimated 10 years after symptom onset. We used 99% CIs to adjust for multiple comparisons. PiB SUVR=[¹¹C]-Pittsburgh compound B standard uptake value ratio.

efficiently compared with people with autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease. One study found improved amyloid clearance in mice with upregulated *DSCR1* (also known as *RCAN1*), a gene on chromosome 21.³⁰ Future studies are needed to elucidate hypothesised protective factors in individuals with Down syndrome.

The spatial pattern of amyloid distribution was fairly similar between people with autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease and people with Down syndrome. Our results support previous work showing early amyloid accumulation in carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations in the striatal, occipitoparietal, and frontal regions.^{10,31} Amyloid accumulation was relatively consistent across mutation types. Similar to previous work, we measured early amyloid accumulation in the striatum in people with Down syndrome, in addition to early changes in the anterior cingulate and frontal cortex.³² This finding of early amyloid accumulation in the striatum in both carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations and people with Down syndrome aligns with the results of previous studies and is a key deviation from late-onset Alzheimer's disease.^{10,32,33} However, although we found amyloid accumulation in the medial occipital lobe in carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations, we did not observe significant amyloid accumulation in this region in individuals with Down syndrome. This finding is consistent with the results of a previous amyloid PET study,³² which showed that the occipital lobe was one of the last regions to accumulate amyloid in individuals with Down syndrome, a temporal pattern closely resembling late-onset Alzheimer's disease.³⁴ Despite being subtle, these regional differences in amyloid deposition between people with Down syndrome, autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease, and late-onset Alzheimer's disease are important to consider for future clinical trials. Different brain regions might need to be evaluated when determining amyloid-positivity and the efficacy of antiamyloid therapies for these groups.

The presence of at least one APOE ɛ4 allele is associated with earlier amyloid changes in people with lateonset Alzheimer's disease.¹⁸ However, similar to previous work, we did not observe an effect of APOE £4 on the timing of amyloid accumulation in carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations.^{7,35,36} In people with Down syndrome, several studies have observed a significant effect of APOE ɛ4 on cognitive outcomes, 23,37,38 but results have been mixed for amyloid PET measures.³⁹⁻⁴¹ Despite several studies reporting no effect, ^{39,40} the study by Bejanin and colleagues⁴¹ found more amyloid PET deposition in APOE ɛ4-positive participants with Down syndrome aged 41-54 years compared with APOE E4negative participants with Down syndrome. In our study, we found no differences between APOE ɛ4-positive and APOE ɛ4-negative participants with Down syndrome in amyloid PET deposition or the timing of amyloid accumulation. When we replicated the comparison by age range from the study by Bejanin and colleagues,⁴¹ we did not observe differences in amyloid PET deposition between *APOE* ϵ 4-positive and negative participants with Down syndrome. Overall, our results suggest that the presence of the *APOE* ϵ 4 allele does not affect the amount or timing of amyloid accumulation in genetic forms of Alzheimer's disease. Genetic mutations in Down syndrome and autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease might overshadow the effects of *APOE* genotype on amyloid. Previously observed changes in cognition with *APOE* ϵ 4 positivity in people with Down syndrome could be mediated by tau, but additional longitudinal studies of multiple biomarkers are needed.

Previous studies have also identified a potential role for sex on the trajectory and development of lateonset Alzheimer's disease.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Whether sex influences the presentation of Alzheimer's disease in individuals with Down syndrome is unclear. Some studies have found no effect for sex on the prevalence or timing of dementia diagnosis in adults with Down syndrome.37,42 Other studies have reported an effect of sex in individuals with Down syndrome on the risk of developing dementia or on the age of dementia onset.^{23,43} We found no differences in the amount or timing of amyloid PET deposition between men and women with Down syndrome, consistent with previous studies that also did not observe any effect of sex on amyloid PET.^{32,39,44-46} These findings suggest that, although sex might affect the cognitive presentation of Alzheimer's disease, it does not affect amyloid deposition in adults with Down syndrome.

The large size of the cohort of participants with Down syndrome and autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease in this study represents a notable strength, but limitations should also be acknowledged. Although these two populations are genetically predisposed to develop Alzheimer's disease, most autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations alter the processing of the APP protein by affecting y-secretase activity. The closest direct comparison to Down syndrome would therefore be individuals with a rare APP duplication. Only fewer than ten carriers of autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations in our study had an APP duplication. A future study with a larger sample of APP duplication carriers would be useful in assessing other genes on chromosome 21 and their effects on Alzheimer's disease progression. Information on which ABC-DS participants with Down syndrome and sibling controls were related was unavailable, preventing us from adjusting for potential correlations between related participants. The cross-sectional nature of this study is another important limitation. Future longitudinal studies are necessary to better our understanding of how amyloid accumulates in people with these two genetic forms of Alzheimer's disease. Additionally, we estimated the number of years to symptom onset for individuals with Down syndrome using a set of three average ages of symptom onset from several previous studies. However, using a fixed average age of onset does not account for

individual differences. Future analyses are necessary to improve our ability to predict the number of years to symptom onset for an individual with Down syndrome.

Despite these limitations, we used PET to observe important similarities between two genetic forms of Alzheimer's disease. We found similar amounts of amyloid deposition on PET between individuals with Down syndrome and people with autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease mutations, with amyloid beginning to accumulate at the earliest stages of the Alzheimer's disease cascade, around 20 years before the onset of cognitive symptoms. The safety and efficacy of potential amyloid-lowering Alzheimer's disease therapies have yet to be evaluated in individuals with Down syndrome. On the basis of our results, potential anti-amyloid therapies would be better evaluated in people with Down syndrome aged at least 35 years. In conclusion, this study shows that, although there are subtle differences, Alzheimer's disease pathophysiology is similar among people with Down syndrome and people with autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease.

Contributors

AHB, BMA, and BAG conceptualised and designed the study and had access to raw data. AMF, BLH, BTC, EH, MM, SO'B, HDR, FL, JHL, WS, AMB, JPC, CC, RJP, CX, JH, EMD, RJB, and BMA contributed to data collection. AHB, WS, BAG, SF, RLH, OHB, NMK, and CDC contributed to data analysis. AHB, BAG, JW, SF, OHB, NMK, CDC, and BMA contributed to data interpretation. AHB and BMA were responsible for writing the manuscript. BTC, EH, BLH, MM, CX, and RJB verified the data. All authors contributed to the critical review of the manuscript, had full access to all the data in the study, and have seen and approved the final version. BMA had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Declaration of interests

TLSB has received funding from the National Institutes of Health and Siemens; has a licensing agreement from Sora Neuroscience but receives no financial compensation: has received honoraria for lectures. presentations, speakers bureaus, or educational events from Biogen and Eisai Genetech; has served on a scientific advisory board for Biogen; holds a leadership role in other board, society, committee, or advocacy groups for the American Society for Neuroradiology (unpaid) and Quantitative Imaging Biomarkers Alliance (unpaid); and has participated in radiopharmaceuticals and technology transfers with Avid Radiopharmaceuticals, Cerveau, and LMI, EMD received support from the National Institute on Aging, an anonymous organisation, the GHR Foundation, the DIAN-TU Pharma Consortium, Eli Lilly, and F Hoffmann La-Roche; has received speaking fees from Eisai and Eli Lilly; and is on the data safety and monitoring board and advisory boards of Eli Lilly, Alector, and Alzamend. WS has received research funding from the National Institute on Aging and the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. JPC serves as the chair of the American Neurological Association Dementia and Aging Special Interest Group and is on the medical advisory board of Humana Healthcare. CC has received consulting fees from GSK and Alector. AMF reports personal fees from Roche Diagnostics, Araclon/Grifols, and Diadem Research and grants from Biogen, outside the submitted work. BLH has received research funding from Roche and Autism Speaks; receives royalties from Oxford University Press for book publications; and is the chair of the data safety and monitoring board for the Department of Defense-funded study, "Comparative Effectiveness of EIBI and MABA". BTC receives research funding from the National Institutes of Health. EH receives research funding from the National Institutes of Health and the BrightFocus Foundation. FL is supported by grants from the National Institute on Aging. HDR has received funding from the National Institutes of Health and is on the scientific advisory committee for the

Hereditary Disease Foundation. JHL has received research funding from the National Institutes of Health and the National Institute on Aging. RJP receives research funding from the National Institutes of Health and the National Institute on Aging. RJB is Director of DIAN-TU and Principal Investigator of DIAN-TU001; receives research support from the National Institute on Aging of the National Institutes of Health, DIAN-TU trial pharmaceutical partners (Eli Lilly, F Hoffmann-La Roche, Janssen, Eisai, Biogen, and Avid Radiopharmaceuticals), the Alzheimer's Association, the GHR Foundation, an anonymous organisation, the DIAN-TU Pharma Consortium (active members Biogen, Eisai, Eli Lilly, Janssen, and F Hoffmann-La Roche/Genentech; previous members AbbVie, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Forum, Mithridion, Novartis, Pfizer, Sanofi, and United Neuroscience), the NfL Consortium (F Hoffmann-La Roche, Biogen, AbbVie, and Bristol Myers Squibb), and the Tau SILK Consortium (Eli Lilly, Biogen, and AbbVie); has been an invited speaker and consultant for AC Immune, F Hoffmann-La Roche, the Korean Dementia Association, the American Neurological Association, and Janssen; has been a consultant for Amgen, F Hoffmann-La Roche, and Eisai; and has submitted the US non-provisional patent application named "Methods for Measuring the Metabolism of CNS Derived Biomolecules In Vivo" and a provisional patent application named "Plasma Based Methods for Detecting CNS Amyloid Deposition". BMA receives research funding from the National Institutes of Health and has a patent pending ("Markers of Neurotoxicity in CAR T patients"). MSR has received consulting fees from AC Immune, Embic, and Keystone Bio and has received research support from the National Institutes of Health, Avid, Baxter, Eisai, Elan, Genentech, Janssen, Lilly, Merck, and Roche. All other authors declare no competing interests.

Data sharing

The data used in this analysis are available on request to the respective studies (ABC-DS and DIAN), provided data request applications are approved by the studies' committees. The data request application is available for ABC-DS at_https://pitt.co1.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/ SV_cu0pNCZZlrdSxUN and for DIAN at https://dian.wustl.edu/ our-research/for-investigators/dian-observational-study-investigatorresources/data-request-form/.

Acknowledgments

Data collection and sharing for this project was supported by the ABC-DS (U01AG051406 and U01AG051412), funded by the National Institute on Aging and the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. We are grateful to the adults with Down syndrome, their siblings, and their families and care providers, as well as the ABC-DS research and support staff, for their invaluable contributions to this study. Data collection and sharing for this project was also supported by The Dominantly Inherited Alzheimer Network (DIAN, U19AG032438) funded by the National Institute on Aging (NIA), the Alzheimer's Association (SG-20-690363-DIAN), the German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Raul Carrea Institute for Neurological Research (FLENI), Partial support by the Research and Development Grants for Dementia from Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development, AMED, and the Korea Health Technology R&D Project through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute (KHIDI), Spanish Institute of Health Carlos III (ISCIII), Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR), Canadian Consortium of Neurodegeneration and Aging, Brain Canada Foundation, and Fonds de Recherche du Québec-Santé. This manuscript has been reviewed by DIAN study investigators for scientific content and consistency of data interpretation with previous DIAN study publications. We acknowledge the altruism of the participants and their families and the contributions of the DIAN research and support staff at each of the participating sites. This research was also supported by the National Institute for Health and Care Research Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre (BRC-1215-20014*). The views expressed in this Article are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the National Institute for Health and Care Research or the Department of Health and Social Care. We also acknowledge the additional support provided by the Barnes-Jewish Hospital Foundation, the Charles F and Joanne Knight Alzheimer's Research Initiative, the Hope Center for Neurological Disorders, the Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology, the Paula and Rodger Riney fund, and the Daniel J Brennan fund.

References

- Presson AP, Partyka G, Jensen KM, et al. Current estimate of Down Syndrome population prevalence in the United States. *J Pediatr* 2013; 163: 1163–68.
- 2 Fortea J, Zaman SH, Hartley S, Rafii MS, Head E, Carmona-Iragui M. Alzheimer's disease associated with Down syndrome: a genetic form of dementia. *Lancet Neurol* 2021; 20: 930–42.
- 3 Fortea J, Vilaplana E, Carmona-Iragui M, et al. Clinical and biomarker changes of Alzheimer's disease in adults with Down syndrome: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet* 2020; 395: 1988–97.
- 4 Sinai A, Mokrysz C, Bernal J, et al. Predictors of age of diagnosis and survival of Alzheimer's disease in Down syndrome. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2018; **61**: 717–28.
- 5 Henson RL, Doran E, Christian BT, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers of Alzheimer's disease in a cohort of adults with Down syndrome. Alzheimers Dement (Amst) 2020; 12: e12057.
- 6 Hartley SL, Handen BL, Devenny D, et al. Cognitive decline and brain amyloid-β accumulation across 3 years in adults with Down syndrome. *Neurobiol Aging* 2017; 58: 68–76.
- 7 Ryman DC, Acosta-Baena N, Aisen PS, et al. Symptom onset in autosomal dominant Alzheimer disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neurology* 2014; 83: 253–60.
- 8 Frisoni GB, Altomare D, Thal DR, et al. The probabilistic model of Alzheimer disease: the amyloid hypothesis revised. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2022; 23: 53–66.
- 9 Fagan AM, Henson RL, Li Y, et al. Comparison of CSF biomarkers in Down syndrome and autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet Neurol* 2021; 20: 615–26.
- 10 Cohen AD, McDade E, Christian B, et al. Early striatal amyloid deposition distinguishes Down syndrome and autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease from late-onset amyloid deposition. *Alzheimers Dement* 2018; 14: 743–50.
- 11 Chhatwal JP, Schultz SA, McDade E, et al. Variant-dependent heterogeneity in amyloid β burden in autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease: cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses of an observational study. *Lancet Neurol* 2022; 21: 140–52.
- 12 Rafii MS. Development of treatments for Down syndrome. *Lancet Neurol* 2022; 21: 22–23.
- 13 Schultz AP, Kloet RW, Sohrabi HR, et al. Amyloid imaging of dutchtype hereditary cerebral amyloid angiopathy carriers. *Ann Neurol* 2019; 86: 616–25.
- 14 Su Y, Flores S, Wang G, et al. Comparison of Pittsburgh compound B and florbetapir in cross-sectional and longitudinal studies. *Alzheimers Dement (Amst)* 2019; 11: 180–90.
- 15 Wisch JK, Meeker KL, Gordon BA, et al. Sex-related differences in tau positron emission tomography (PET) and the effects of hormone therapy (HT). Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 2021; 35: 164–68.
- 16 Gao S, Hendrie HC, Hall KS, Hui S. The relationships between age, sex, and the incidence of dementia and Alzheimer disease: a meta-analysis. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1998; 55: 809–15.
- 17 Buckley RF, Mormino EC, Rabin JS, et al. Sex differences in the association of global amyloid and regional tau deposition measured by positron emission tomography in clinically normal older adults. *JAMA Neurol* 2019; 76: 542–51.
- 18 Mishra S, Blazey TM, Holtzman DM, et al. Longitudinal brain imaging in preclinical Alzheimer disease: impact of APOE ε4 genotype. Brain 2018; 141: 1828–39.
- 19 Morris JC, Roe CM, Xiong C, et al. APOE predicts amyloid-beta but not tau Alzheimer pathology in cognitively normal aging. Ann Neurol 2010; 67: 122–31.
- 20 Gottesman RF, Schneider AL, Zhou Y, et al. The ARIC-PET amyloid imaging study: brain amyloid differences by age, race, sex, and APOE. *Neurology* 2016; 87: 473–80.
- 21 Krinsky-McHale SJ, Zigman WB, Lee JH, et al. Promising outcome measures of early Alzheimer's dementia in adults with Down syndrome. *Alzheimers Dement (Amst)* 2020; **12**: e12044.
- 22 McCarron M, McCallion P, Reilly E, Dunne P, Carroll R, Mulryan N. A prospective 20-year longitudinal follow-up of dementia in persons with Down syndrome. *J Intellect Disabil Res* 2017; 61: 843–52.
- 23 Hithersay R, Startin CM, Hamburg S, et al. Association of dementia with mortality among adults with Down syndrome older than 35 years. JAMA Neurol 2019; 76: 152–60.
- 24 Lai F, Mercaldo N, Wang CM, Hersch GG, Rosas HD. Association between inflammatory conditions and Alzheimer's disease age of onset in Down syndrome. J Clin Med 2021; 10: 3116.

- 25 Coppus AMW, Schuur M, Vergeer J, et al. Plasma β amyloid and the risk of Alzheimer's disease in Down syndrome. *Neurobiol Aging* 2012; **33**: 1988–94.
- 26 Iulita MF, Garzón Chavez D, Klitgaard Christensen M, et al. Association of Alzheimer disease with life expectancy in people with Down syndrome. JAMA Netw Open 2022; 5: e2212910.
- 27 Fagan AM, Mintun MA, Mach RH, et al. Inverse relation between in vivo amyloid imaging load and cerebrospinal fluid Abeta42 in humans. *Ann Neurol* 2006; 59: 512–19.
- 28 Fagan AM, Xiong C, Jasielec MS, et al. Longitudinal change in CSF biomarkers in autosomal-dominant Alzheimer's disease. *Sci Transl Med* 2014: 6: 226ra30.
- 29 Alić I, Goh PA, Murray A, et al. Patient-specific Alzheimer-like pathology in trisomy 21 cerebral organoids reveals *BACE2* as a gene dose-sensitive AD suppressor in human brain. *Mol Psychiatry* 2021; 26: 5766–88.
- 30 Choi C, Park J, Kim H, Chang KT, Park J, Min KT. DSCR1 upregulation enhances dural meningeal lymphatic drainage to attenuate amyloid pathology of Alzheimer's disease. J Pathol 2021; 255: 296–310.
- 31 Gordon BA, Blazey TM, Su Y, et al. Spatial patterns of neuroimaging biomarker change in individuals from families with autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease: a longitudinal study. *Lancet Neurol* 2018; 17: 241–50.
- 32 Annus T, Wilson LR, Hong YT, et al. The pattern of amyloid accumulation in the brains of adults with Down syndrome. *Alzheimers Dement* 2016; **12**: 538–45.
- 33 Koivunen J, Verkkoniemi A, Aalto S, et al. PET amyloid ligand [11C] PIB uptake shows predominantly striatal increase in variant Alzheimer's disease. *Brain* 2008; 131: 1845–53.
- 34 Villain N, Chételat G, Grassiot B, et al. Regional dynamics of amyloid- β deposition in healthy elderly, mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: a voxelwise PiB-PET longitudinal study. *Brain* 2012; **135**: 2126–39.
- 35 McDade E, Wang G, Gordon BA, et al. Longitudinal cognitive and biomarker changes in dominantly inherited Alzheimer disease. *Neurology* 2018; 91: e1295–306.
- 36 Morenas-Rodríguez E, Li Y, Nuscher B, et al. Soluble TREM2 in CSF and its association with other biomarkers and cognition in autosomal-dominant Alzheimer's disease: a longitudinal observational study. *Lancet Neurol* 2022; 21: 329–41.
- 37 Lai F, Mhatre PG, Yang Y, Wang MC, Schupf N, Rosas HD. Sex differences in risk of Alzheimer's disease in adults with Down syndrome. Alzheimers Dement (Amst) 2020; 12: e12084.
- 38 Startin CM, Hamburg S, Hithersay R, et al. Cognitive markers of preclinical and prodromal Alzheimer's disease in Down syndrome. *Alzheimers Dement* 2019; 15: 245–57.
- 39 Lao PJ, Betthauser TJ, Hillmer AT, et al. The effects of normal aging on amyloid-β deposition in nondemented adults with Down syndrome as imaged by carbon 11-labeled Pittsburgh compound B. Alzheimers Dement 2016; 12: 380–90.
- 40 Zammit MD, Tudorascu DL, Laymon CM, et al. PET measurement of longitudinal amyloid load identifies the earliest stages of amyloid-beta accumulation during Alzheimer's disease progression in Down syndrome. *Neuroimage* 2021; 228: 117728.
- 41 Bejanin A, Iulita MF, Vilaplana E, et al. Association of apolipoprotein E ε4 allele with clinical and multimodal biomarker changes of Alzheimer disease in adults with Down syndrome. JAMA Neurol 2021; 78: 937–47.
- 42 Bayen E, Possin KL, Chen Y, Cleret de Langavant L, Yaffe K. Prevalence of aging, dementia, and multimorbidity in older adults with Down syndrome. JAMA Neurol 2018; 75: 1399–406.
- 43 Mhatre PG, Lee JH, Pang D, et al. The association between sex and risk of Alzheimer's disease in adults with Down syndrome. *J Clin Med* 2021; 10: 2966.
- 44 Lao PJ, Gutierrez J, Keator D, et al. Alzheimer-related cerebrovascular disease in Down syndrome. *Ann Neurol* 2020; 88: 1165–77.
- 45 Hartley SL, Handen BL, Devenny D, et al. Cognitive indicators of transition to preclinical and prodromal stages of Alzheimer's disease in Down syndrome. *Alzheimers Dement (Amst)* 2020; 12: e12096.
- 46 Cole JH, Annus T, Wilson LR, et al. Brain-predicted age in Down syndrome is associated with beta amyloid deposition and cognitive decline. *Neurobiol Aging* 2017; 56: 41–49.