



The Covid-19 outbreak, corporate financial distress and earnings management

Abdullah A. Aljughaiman^{a,*}, Tam Huy Nguyen^{b,c}, Vu Quang Trinh^d, Anqi Du^b

^a King Faisal University, School of Business, Finance Department, Al-ahsa 31982, Saudi Arabia

^b Nottingham University Business School, Nottingham, UK

^c University of Economics and Business – Vietnam National University, Hanoi, Viet Nam

^d Newcastle University Business School, Newcastle University, UK

ARTICLE INFO

JEL classification:

G01
G30
H12
M41

Keywords:

Earnings management
Covid-19 pandemic
Financial distress
China

ABSTRACT

This study explores the association between the Covid-19 outbreak, corporate financial distress and earnings management practices in China. We investigate whether firms took advantage of the downturn in economic conditions during the pandemic to adjust their earnings using different earnings management techniques. Utilising a sample of 1832 listed firms and underlying theoretical frameworks (i.e., positive accounting and signalling theory), we find that firms were more inclined to manage earnings during the pandemic period. They favoured using the accrual-based rather than the real activity-based earnings management technique. We also find that firms engaged more in income-increasing practices in the shadow of the outbreak. In addition, our results further demonstrate that financially distressed firms were involved in earnings management, particularly accrual-based earnings management. However, compared to privately-owned firms, state-owned enterprises seem to be involved less in earnings management during the Covid-19 pandemic. Findings from this study raise some concerns for policymakers about the credibility of financial reporting information during Covid-19.

1. Introduction

The global coronavirus (i.e., Covid-19) pandemic and the associated economic recession brought many severe challenges to companies around the world, posing a profound threat to the viability of many businesses (Barai and Dhar, 2021). The crisis is regarded as the century's largest health, economic and social crisis so far (The New Yorker, 2020). In addition to the human losses and the disease itself, Covid-19 slowed down global economic activities due to its extensive impacts and the different measures implemented by countries to control the disease's spread. It caused a significant negative impact on employment (high unemployment rate), reduced economic activity and created uncertainties in many financial markets (Zhang, Hu, and Ji, 2020). Consequently, many firms faced financial distress and bankruptcy due to operational disruption (Lassoued & Kanchel, 2021).

The Covid-19 lockdowns had a profound effect on financial markets and corporate financial performance (Ruiz, Koutronas, and Lee, 2020; Zhang et al., 2020). Managerial behaviours were inevitably affected by the pandemic. In response to the poor market environment during the pandemic, many managers were under high pressure; hence, they may

have manipulated earnings or polished their financial statements by using accounting discretion to achieve their targets (Ali, Amin, Mostafa, and Mohamed, 2022; Choi, Kim, and Lee, 2011; Liu & Sun, 2022). Their firms may make use of accounting techniques to improve their deteriorating income statements and balance sheets during a crisis (Arnold, 2009; Laux and Leuz, 2010) or possibly during a pandemic (Ali et al., 2022; Chen, Liu, Liu, and Wang, 2022; He and Jianqun, 2021; Liu & Sun, 2022; Ozili, 2021; Rahman, Ding, Hossain, & Khan, 2022). Earnings management can be done through *accrual-based* earnings management (hereafter, AEM), and *real activity-based* earnings management (hereafter, REM) (Graham, Harvey, and Rajgopal, 2005; Kim, Udawatte, and Yin, 2018). AEM occurs when executives manage the accrual component of earnings, while REM can be conducted by changing business operational activities, which has a direct influence on a company's cash flow (Cimini, 2015). The financial report is an important basis for investors, analysts and stakeholders to make decisions, so it should be able to reflect the actual situation of firms. Arguably, there are numerous gaps in financial reporting that can provide space for executives to take part in earnings management (Azizah, 2021), especially because of the impact of Covid-19 social distancing on audit quality (Albitar, Gerged,

* Corresponding author at: King Faisal University, Finance Department, School of Business, King Faisal University Street, Al-ahsa 31982, Saudi Arabia.
E-mail address: abjuqhaiman@kfu.edu.sa (A.A. Aljughaiman).

Kikhia, & Hussainey, 2020). This leads to a lack of authenticity of accounting information and a lack of stability of enterprise development (Chen et al., 2022).

Theoretically, accrual accounting principles create flexibility for businesses to justify their accounting policy choices, thus generating opportunities for accountants and businesses to be involved in earnings management during uncertain times (Chen et al., 2022; He and Jianqun, 2021). Substantial empirical studies have argued that businesses manage earnings through manipulating various investment, operational and financial activities (Xu, Taylor, and Dugan, 2007) as well as discretionary expenditures (Roychowdhury, 2006). However, the purpose behind this behaviour appears to be controversial. Some studies believe that corporations have more incentives to manipulate earnings upward or to inflate earnings (Healy and Wahlen, 1999). Specifically, managers may be motivated to disclose financial reports with optimistic content to mitigate the effect of the crisis to maintain relationships with stakeholders during turbulent times (Lisboa and Kacharava, 2018). In terms of reassuring investors, managers can also smooth earnings to lower cost volatility from one period to another and show more stable earnings (Khanchel, 2011; Lisboa and Kacharava, 2018). On the contrary, managers manage earnings downward during a crisis to justify the losses caused by their previous poor management behaviour, thereby covering up the negative performance that may result in the dismissal of the manager (Liu & Sun, 2022); or to avoid any political sanctions such as higher taxes and stricter regulations, as well as supervision (Hamza and Zaatir, 2021); or even to accept a stimulus package or bailout funds (Lassoued, 2021; Ozili and Arun, 2020).

While many studies have surveyed earnings management practice during global financial crisis (Cimini, 2015; Filip and Raffournier, 2014; Kousenidis, Ladas, and Negakis, 2013) and oil crises (Bugshan, Lafferty, Bakry, and Li, 2020; Kjærland, Kosberg, and Misje, 2021), there is a very limited number of studies on earnings management behaviour during the pandemic. Interestingly, more recent studies have started exploring the impact of Covid-19 on earnings management practices. For example, Abdul et al. (2021) examined the potential influence of the Covid-19 lockdowns on earnings management in the context of Iraq. Lassoued & Khanchel (2021) explored the relationship between the coronavirus outbreak and earnings management practices of European companies, while He and Jianqun (2021) examined the relationship between the Covid-19 outbreak and Chinese listed firms' earnings management practices, focusing on the moderating effect of corporate social responsibility. Also, Liu & Sun (2022) examined an impact of Covid-19 pandemic on earnings management in the USA. However, existing studies provide inconclusive and contradictory findings on the relationship between the crisis and earnings management, and none of them provide details on the differential effects on earnings management techniques and the moderating role of financial constraints, especially in China, where businesses have been significantly impacted by a large scale of lockdown imposed by the Chinese government. To fill this gap, this study examines the impact of the Covid-19 pandemic, with consideration given to corporate financial distress, and compares the effects on the *accrual-based* and *real activity-based* earnings management techniques in an emerging market. The decision to explore the context of China stems from the devastating impact of the Covid-19 pandemic in this region. Also, China was among the first countries to be hit by the Covid-19 outbreak. Azizah (2021) mentioned that the 2019 coronavirus disease was first detected in Wuhan city, China, around late December 2019. It led to a downturn in the economy of China, which was depressed by around 7% (Song, Hao, Hu, and Lu, 2021).

This study uses a large sample of 1832 listed companies in China for the period from 2015 to 2021. To capture earnings management, we use different methods to measure AEM (i.e., the Modified Jones model by Dechow, Sloan, and Sweeney, 1995 and the cross-sectional model of Kothari, Leone, and Wasley, 2005), and REM is measured by the sum of the absolute values of the abnormal production costs, the abnormal cash flow from operations and the abnormal discretionary expenditures

(Cohen, Dey, and Lys, 2008; Roychowdhury, 2006). The results show that businesses were more inclined to get involved in earnings management during the pandemic than in the prior period. This finding could indicate that financial reporting information seems to have been less reliable during the Covid-19 pandemic. Further investigation suggests that companies were more in favour of income-increasing practices during the pandemic period. In addition, this study also finds that companies in a situation of financial distress tended to adopt more accrual-based earnings management. However, this behaviour and practice was different in the case of state-owned enterprises compared to privately owned firms during the Covid-19 pandemic. These findings are robust to a series of robustness tests that consider different measures of earnings management and the impact of endogeneity issues.

The results of the study contribute to the existing literature and practice in the following aspects. First, despite the increasing research on the corporate response to the Covid-19 pandemic (Chen et al., 2022; Ding, Fan, and Lin, 2022; He and Jianqun, 2021; Lassoued & Khanchel, 2021; Rahman et al., 2022; Ruiz et al., 2020), our study contributes to earnings management literature by providing evidence that if a business was involved in earnings management during the outbreak, this was done through an *accrual-based* earnings management method or a *real activity-based* earnings management approach. In addition, we consider a new channel in our examination: whether firms would practise earnings management more under financial distress conditions compared to others. Second, prior studies suggest inconsistent findings regarding the impact of the pandemic on earnings management. For example, based on evidence from Indonesia, Azizah (2021) concluded that the extent of earnings management during the coronavirus pandemic was lower than that before the pandemic. However, Lassoued & Khanchel (2021) surveyed 2031 listed companies from 15 European countries and found that the sample enterprises were more likely to manage earnings during the pandemic than during the preceding period. Therefore, our study attempts to find a more definitive answer to the relationship between earnings management practice and the pandemic in an enormous emerging economy (i.e., China). Third, the study makes a significant contribution to practice, as findings from this study can inform practitioners, such as external auditors, investors and other stakeholders, to take extra care when using financial information from the period of the pandemic. Finally, this paper helps policymakers and investors investing in China gain better understanding of earnings management practices during the Covid-19 pandemic and take appropriate actions in evaluating the performance of businesses. Findings from this study highlights the importance of concentrating on the financial report's reliability during the Covid-19 pandemic. In another word, investors need to be more careful as firms seem to hide their true financial conditions during pandemic periods. Furthermore, the study emphasises the importance of paying more attention to earning management practices for firms with higher financial distress.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 discusses the related theories, literature and hypotheses development. Section 3 describes the research methodology and empirical design. Section 4 discusses the results and findings, while Section 5 concludes the paper.

2. Literature review, theoretical framework and hypothesis development

2.1. Earnings management and reasons for engaging in earnings management

The definition of 'earnings management' has been debated by a large number of studies. According to Walker (2013) and Jones (2011), earnings management is defined as exploiting the flexibility of accounting rules to manage the measurement and presentation of accounts for the preferable interests of preparers. Similarly, Healy and Wahlen (1999) pointed out that earnings management occurs when managers use ambiguities in specific accounting standards to portray a

desired or biased picture of financial performance to either mislead certain stakeholders regarding the underlying corporate economic performance or influence contractual outcomes that depend on reported accounting figures. Healy and Wahlen (1999) also stated that judgement is used in financial reporting and in structuring transactions to alter financial reports to manipulate performance towards a desired, mostly predetermined target. Moreover, earnings management can be understood as the use of managerial discretion within the regulatory framework, in the selection practices of recognition and measurement of accounting elements, to deliberately achieve the most favourable interests of some stakeholders (Healy, 1985; Healy and Wahlen, 1999; Jones, 1991; Sweeney, 1994).

Prior studies have suggested that companies have many reasons and motivations for getting involved in earnings management, such as to meet capital market expectations (Burgstahler and Eames, 1998; Healy and Wahlen, 1999), maximise compensation contracts (Guidry, Leone, and Rock, 1999; Healy, 1985; Holthausen, Larcker, and Sloan, 1995) or satisfy regulators and avoid political costs (Beatty, Chamberlain, and Magliolo, 1995; Cahan, 1992; Collins, Shackelford, and Wahlen, 1995; Jones, 1991; Petroni, 1992; Watts and Zimmerman, 1978). This motivates us to explore whether businesses that are facing challenges from an unprecedented event (i.e., the Covid-19 pandemic) will use earnings management to manage their bottom lines.

2.2. The impact of the Covid-19 pandemic on organisational behaviour

The 2019 coronavirus outbreak was an unprecedented event, and none of the other crises over the last few decades are comparable to the economic crisis caused by the Covid-19 pandemic. It was sudden and caused by non-economic factors which had an intense economic and social effect all over the world (Šušak, 2020). Several studies have documented an increase in earnings manipulation during financial and economic crises (Da Silva, Weffort, Flores, and Silva, 2014; Flores, Weffort, da Silva, and Carvalho, 2016; Koowattananianchai, 2018). Such behaviour is motivated by the need to attract and retain investors (Cimini, 2015). While other studies have suggested that companies are more likely to reduce earnings management practices during a crisis, earnings management has become less preferable due to poor corporate performance (Chintrakarn, Jiraporn, and Kim, 2018) or possibly because of the global Covid-19 pandemic, which made managers more cautious in managing companies (Azizah, 2021).

Under pressure from the operating environment (for example, the significant impact of the Covid-19 pandemic), management may have intentionally adopted specific accounting policies to achieve their goals (such as, retaining bonus targets or avoiding political costs). It is expected that the changes in the operational environment will change the incentives of managers regarding earnings management practices (Silva, Weffort, Flores, and Silva, 2014). Fields, Lys, and Vincent (2001) argued that senior executives exercise accounting discretion to meet or exceed the company's earnings target, so as to maximise the present value of their compensation and bonuses. Bergstresser and Philippon (2006) also stated that the bonus plan offers incentives for executives to manipulate earnings. However, it is unknown whether the changes in the business environment due to the Covid-19 pandemic had any impact on earnings management incentives, since motivations for earnings manipulation practices derive primarily from incentives of capital markets, contracts and political expenses (Healy and Wahlen, 1999; Watts and Zimmerman, 1978).

Interestingly, the literature has recently documented the effect of the pandemic on audit work, which impacts the verification of accounting information and the quality of financial reporting (Illuzzi, Landes, Durak, and Groskopf, 2020). Ritonga and Suyanto (2021) and Sonu, Ahn, and Choi (2017) found that both time and resources allocated for auditing decreased during the crisis, so the audit risk increased during this period. Similarly, during a pandemic, the risk of material misstatements increases, since local governments will prioritise dealing

with the economy and public health (World Bank, 2020).

As the world was hit by the Covid-19 pandemic, various countries were forced to curtail their economic activities. To prevent the wider spread of the pandemic, many countries implemented lockdown policies to restrict the movement of people and goods, causing global demand, production and distribution to be hampered in the business world. As a result, economic development was depressed in almost all countries (Azizah, 2021). China implemented the first blockade from 23 January to 8 April 2020, which resulted in the disruption of corporate activities in China. The cessation in China's supply of raw materials and capital goods had an influence on domestic production activities in the first quarter of 2020. In addition, the Covid-19 pandemic had an impact on the export and import sectors, followed by a chain effect on household consumption and investment decisions, leading investors to be likely to delay investments due to uncertain market conditions (Azizah, 2021).

Positive accounting theory (PAT) attempts to explain the rationale for management's choice of accounting policies and how management responds to the environmental changes (such as changes in accounting regulations). Thus, PAT can be applied to explain managerial decisions in relation to earnings management (Watts and Zimmerman, 1990). Specifically, the dispute over motivations for corporations to practise earnings manipulation was originally developed in positive accounting theory because businesses are essentially driven by contractual issues, such as compensation contracts (Gaver, Gaver, and Austin, 1995), debt contracts (DeFond and Jiambalvo, 1994) and political costs (Watts and Zimmerman, 1986).

Consistent with positive accounting theory, recent research suggests that firms will try to maximise their chances of survival while minimising transaction costs. Therefore, the Covid-19 pandemic followed by the economic recession may have meant that companies in various countries had contractual incentives to stabilize their operation (Dichev and Skinner, 2002; Roychowdhury, 2006; Sweeney, 1994; Watts and Zimmerman, 1990), or capital market incentives (Graham et al., 2005; Roychowdhury, 2006), compensation incentives (Bergstresser and Philippon, 2006; Healy, 1985) and incentives to avoid government regulation and political costs (Watts and Zimmerman, 1986). Thus, enterprises may be more motivated to carry out earnings management activities to cover up their inefficiency and mistakes in the context of Covid-19; maintain favourable leverage ratios, market share and competitiveness; maintain their credit rating and the confidence of investors; and achieve performance goals (Ali et al., 2022; Chen et al., 2022; Liu & Sun, 2022). Thus, we propose the first hypothesis, as follows:

H₁. Due to the Covid-19 pandemic, firms are more likely to engage in earnings management.

2.3. The impact of financial distress on earnings management

Prior studies have debated whether firms in financial distress will get involved more in earnings management (Li, Li, Xiang, and Djajadikerta, 2020). Conceptually, financial distress happens when a company's liquidated total assets are less than the total amount of creditor claims (Chen, Weston, and Altman, 1995). Existing literature finds that the decision-making processes and behaviours of the management may be influenced when companies are in financial distress (Iatridis and Kadorinis, 2009). This is due to the fact that when a listed company falls into financial difficulties, its earnings may fall short of investors' expectations, resulting in the decline of its share price and company value (Campa and Camacho-Miñano, 2015).

Previous research has demonstrated that financially distressed companies have a strong incentive to manage their earnings to meet certain goals, thereby misleading stakeholders about their underlying financial performance (Campa and Camacho-Miñano, 2015; Graham et al., 2005; Zang, 2012). According to Rosner (2003), companies that go bankrupt ex-post but do not appear distressed ex-ante conduct

income-increasing earnings management practices. In addition, evidence from China suggests that under the current delisting rules and guidance in China, companies that want to keep their listing status but are in financial distress may have strong motivations to manage their earnings (Chu, Du, and Jiang, 2011; Ding, Zhang, and Zhang, 2007; Du and Lai, 2018; Jiang and Wang, 2008). Some studies have shown that Chinese listed companies tend to carry out earnings manipulation to avoid losses, particularly a three-year consecutive loss under the specific context of China (Chen, Chen, and Su, 2001; Haw, Qi, Wu, and Wu, 2005).

When an enterprise has the intention to manage its bottom-line items due to financial distress, this can be done by applying different accounting practices, such as accrual earnings management, or real earnings management through real operation activities or transactions (Cohen et al., 2008; Dinh, Kang, and Schultze, 2016; Gunny, 2010; Mao and Renneboog, 2015; Roychowdhury, 2006; Zang, 2012). Particularly, Jaggi and Lee (2002) found that the severity of financial distress influences the choice of upward or downward discretionary accruals, and Saleh and Ahmed (2005) found that managers of struggling enterprises are more likely to adopt income-decreasing accruals. Liu, He, and Luo (2011) also showed that the approaches for managing earnings in Chinese listed companies have converted gradually from accrual-based earnings management, which is typically easy to conduct but is more likely to be detected, to real earnings manipulation, which is less likely to be detected. However, not many studies have investigated the impact of financial distress on the choice between real earnings management and accrual earnings management, and the lack of such research is unexpected, since financial distress may change the trend from accrual to real earnings manipulation, as discussed above. That is because this is an extreme financial situation, in which corporate behaviours, including earnings management practices, can be distorted (Graham et al., 2005).

According to the perspective of signalling theory, companies strive to tackle information problems by sending signals to the market (Morck, Shleifer, and Vishny, 1990). For example, executives can smooth earnings to reduce information asymmetry and disclose more information about the company's cash flows and future earnings (Tucker and Zarowin, 2006). In these cases, discretion improves the informativeness of earnings (Ben Rejeb Attia, Sassi, & Lassoued, 2013). Accounting figures can be viewed as signals that aim at reducing informational asymmetry exacerbated by the crisis (Lakhal and Dedaj, 2020; Oskouei and Surehjani, 2021).

The coronavirus pandemic is regarded as the century's largest economic, health and social crisis around the world. During the Covid-19 pandemic, investor confidence declined significantly, and most of the listed companies experienced downward pressure on their share prices (Lassoued & Khanchel, 2021). The Covid-19 lockdowns had a significant impact on price volatility in financial markets and corporate profitability (Barai and Dhar, 2021; Ruiz et al., 2020), which to some extent contributes, indirectly, to financial distress suffered by companies. The financial difficulties experienced by companies provide motivation for managers to manipulate earnings (Habib, Bhuiyan, and Islam, 2013).

In addition, if a company gets into financial distress, its executives can expect to have their bonuses cut, be replaced or suffer reputation loss (Gilson, 1989; Liberty and Zimmerman, 1986). According to PAT, earnings manipulation can be explained by the opportunistic intention of executives, who consciously manipulate earnings to show financial reporting in their favour (Lassoued, 2021; Azizah, 2017;). Thus, conventional wisdom suggests that executives have motivations to cover up such a deteriorating performance by utilising accounting choices that increase earnings. Thus, our second hypothesis is:

H₂. Due to the Covid-19 pandemic, firms in a condition of financial distress are more likely to engage in earnings management.

3. Methodology

3.1. Data and sample

The data of this study is collected from the China Stock Market and Accounting Research (CSMAR) database. The sample period is determined as follows: the pre-pandemic phase is from 2015 to 2019, during which the pandemic crisis had not yet emerged (Lassoued & Khanchel, 2021). For the pandemic period, we consider the whole years of 2020 and 2021. The first case of this particular coronavirus disease occurred at the end of December 2019 in China, and the disease spread widely to various nations around the world in a very short period (Azizah, 2021). The confirmation of the first case by the World Health Organization (WHO) took place on 31 December 2019. The beginning of the pandemic period in Europe was in January. Thus, the pandemic's impact was not reflected in the financial statements of the 2019 fiscal year, which were solely based on events occurring in 2019 until 31 December.

For our research, all A-share companies in China listed on the Shanghai and Shenzhen stock exchange markets were considered as an initial sample. After excluding financial firms, our sample comprised 3518 listed companies. We then removed companies with missing data, leaving a final sample of 1832 companies for the period from 2015 to 2021, with 8590 firm-year observations.

3.2. Measurement of variables

3.2.1. Accrual earnings management

Prior studies (Lassoued & Khanchel, 2021; Li et al., 2020) have used discretionary accruals to measure accrual earnings management practices. Such a discretionary component differs from non-discretionary accruals because it is subject to managers' manipulation from their opportunistic behaviour. In this study, we follow Lassoued & Khanchel (2021) and Gill-de-Albornoz and Illueca (2005) to estimate accrual earnings management (AEM) using the Modified Jones model (Dechow et al., 1995). Since discretionary accruals can be positive or negative, we use the absolute value of the residual as a proxy for AEM to prevent the offset effect of the positive and negative figures of earnings management. Thus, earnings manipulation can be reflected more precisely (Cohen et al., 2008; Yung and Root, 2019). A higher AEM indicates that companies conduct a higher level of earnings management through discretionary accruals.

$$TACC_{it}/TA_{it-1} = \alpha_{1i} 1/TA_{it-1} + \alpha_{2i} (\Delta REV_{it} - \Delta REC_{it}) / TA_{it-1} + \alpha_{3i} PPE_{it}/TA_{it-1} + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (1)$$

where $TACC_{it}$ = total accruals for firm i in year t ; TA_{it-1} = total assets at the beginning of the period; ΔREV_{it} = change in total sales; ΔREC_{it} = change in accounts receivables; and PPE_{it} = gross property, plant and equipment.

3.2.2. Real earnings management

A company can also manage its earnings through its operations (i.e., sales, production and expenses) besides the adjustment in discretionary accruals (Roychowdhury, 2006). First, it can inflate its sales income by providing more lenient credit terms or price discounts. This typically results in an unusually low cash flow from operations (CFO). The gap between the normal level of CFO and the actual CFO can be captured by abnormal CFO (ab.CFO). The normal levels of CFO are calculated as follows (Kim, Park, and Wier, 2012; Kuo, Ning, and Song, 2014; Roychowdhury, 2006).

$$CFO_t / TA_{t-1} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 (1/TA_{t-1}) + \beta_2 (Sales_t / TA_{t-1}) + \beta_3 (\Delta Sales_t / TA_{t-1}) + \varepsilon_t \quad (2)$$

where CFO represents cash flow from operations; TA is total assets; Sales is net sales; and $\Delta Sales$ is the change in sales.

Second, earnings can be managed through the production level. Generally, a higher production level reduces the fixed costs per unit (Roychowdhury, 2006). In this case, the cost of goods sold (COGS) is unusually low, and the operating margin rises accordingly. The

Table 1
Variable definitions.

Variable	Abbreviation	Description	References
<i>Dependent variable</i>			
Earnings management proxies	AEM	Discretionary accruals estimated using the cross-sectional model of Dechow et al. (1995). (As model 1)	Dechow et al. (1995)
	AEM1	Discretionary accruals estimated using the cross-sectional model of Kothari et al. (2005). AEM1 (DA1) (As model 10)	Kothari et al. (2005)
	REM	Real activity-based earnings management. $REM = -ab_CFO + ab_PROD - ab_DISEXP$	Roychowdhury (2006); Kim et al. (2012); Kuo et al. (2014)
	REM1	Real activity-based earnings management.	Cohen et al. (2008)
	R_CFO	Real activity-based earnings management. $CFO_t / TA_{t-1} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 (1 / TA_{t-1}) + \beta_2 (Sales_t / TA_{t-1}) + \beta_3 (\Delta Sales_t / TA_{t-1}) + \epsilon_t$	Cohen et al. (2008)
	R_PROD	Real activity-based earnings management. $PROD_t / TA_{t-1} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 (1 / TA_{t-1}) + \beta_2 (Sales_t / TA_{t-1}) + \beta_3 (\Delta Sales_t / TA_{t-1}) + \beta_4 (\Delta Sales_{t-1} / TA_{t-1}) + \epsilon_t$	Cohen et al. (2008)
	R_DISEXP	Real activity-based earnings management. $DISEXP_t / TA_{t-1} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 (1 / TA_{t-1}) + \beta (Sales_{t-1} / TA_{t-1}) + \epsilon_t$	Cohen et al. (2008)
<i>Independent variable</i>			
The pandemic period	PAND_COVID	Dummy variable that takes 1 if the observation is from the first quarter of 2020 to the fourth quarter of 2020, and 0 otherwise.	Lassoued & Khanchel (2021)
Financial distress	DISTRESS	Measured by the Z-score with components of X1-X5. X1 is the ratio of working capital to total assets; X2 is the ratio of retained earnings to total assets; X3 is the ratio of EBIT to total assets; X4 is the ratio of the market value of equity to total liabilities, and X5 is the ratio of sales to total assets. The higher Z-score implies more likelihood of bankruptcy for a firm	Altman (1968),
<i>Firm-level control variables</i>			
Leverage	LEV	Total debt by total assets.	Ben Rejeb Attia et al. (2013); Press and Weintrop (1990)
Market -to- Book ratio	MTB	The firm's market value to its book value.	Larcker and Richardson (2004); Zang (2012)
Firm Age	Age	Number of years since the establishment of the firm.	Kim et al. (2012); Kuo et al. (2014)
Board size	Board	The logarithm of the number of board members.	Kim et al. (2018)
Duality	Duality	Dummy variable that takes 1 if the CEO and the chairman is the same person, and 0 otherwise.	Cheng et al. (2010); Kim et al. (2012)
Board independence	IND	The number of independent directors divided by the total number of directors.	Kuo et al. (2014); Kim et al. (2018);
Audit committee size	Audit size	The number of members of the Audit Committee.	Bozzolan et al. (2015); Martínez-Ferrero et al. (2015)
Audit fee	Fee	The natural logarithm of annual audit fees that firms paid.	Kim et al. (2012)
Firm performance	ROA	The firm's net income versus total asset.	Lassoued & Khanchel (2021)
OCF	OCF	The cash flow from operations scaled by the total assets.	Burgstahler and Dichev, 1997
Firm size	Size	Log of total assets.	Gong, Ke, and Yu (2013); Barka and Hamza (2020)
Audit quality	Big4	Dummy variable that takes 1 if the firm's auditor is one of the BIG 4 accounting firms, and 0 otherwise.	Becker, Defond, Jiambalvo, and Subramanyam (1998)
Growth opportunities	Growth	Change in total sales in quarter t and quarter t - 1 scaled by total sales in quarter t - 1.	Teoh et al. (1998)
Property right nature	SOE	Dummy variable that takes 1 if the state-owned equity is greater than 51%, and 0 otherwise.	Leuz et al. (2003)
Top 10 ownership	Top 10	The firm's largest ten shareholders' ownership percentage.	Bolton, Scheinkman, and Xiong (2006); Leuz et al. (2003)
Tobin Q	Tobin Q	The ratio between a firm's physical asset's market value and its replacement value.	Kuo et al. (2014); Kim et al. (2018)

Table presents the definitions and measurements of all variables. *, ** and *** Indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5% and 1% levels. See Table 1 for full variable definitions.

production costs (PROD) are defined as the sum of COGS and changes in inventory during the period. Therefore, abnormal production costs (ab_PROD) are calculated by subtracting the normal production costs (calculated using the following estimation model) from the actual production costs (Kim et al., 2012; Kuo et al., 2014; Roychowdhury, 2006).

$$PROD_t / TA_{t-1} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 (1 / TA_{t-1}) + \beta_2 (Sales_t / TA_{t-1}) + \beta_3 (\Delta Sales_t / TA_{t-1}) + \beta_4 (\Delta Sales_{t-1} / TA_{t-1}) + \epsilon_t \quad (3)$$

Third, management can boost reported earnings by cutting discretionary expenditures, such as expenditures on research and development, advertising and general administration. This unusual reduction in spending can be detected by the abnormal discretionary expenditures metric (ab_DISEXP) – that is, the difference between an abnormally low level of discretionary expenses and the normal discretionary expenses estimated from the following model (Kim et al., 2012; Kuo et al., 2014; Roychowdhury, 2006):

$$DISEXP_t / TA_{t-1} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 (1 / TA_{t-1}) + \beta (Sales_{t-1} / TA_{t-1}) + \epsilon_t \quad (4)$$

where DISEXP refers to discretionary expenses for year t.

Following Kim et al. (2012), Kuo et al. (2014) and Bozzolan, Fabrizi, Mallin, and Michelin (2015), REM is calculated as below.

$$REM = -ab_CFO + ab_PROD - ab_DISEXP \quad (5)$$

This REM represents the firm's overall real activity-based earnings management level. Similar to AEM, a higher REM indicates that more earnings management has been undertaken through operational changes.

3.2.3. Financial distress

When the current assets and current debt do not match, or when a company's cash flow is insufficient to pay off its existing liabilities, the company will be in danger of going bankrupt (Li et al., 2020). We follow prior studies that use the Z-score, proposed by Altman (1968), to measure financial distress. This proxy is regarded as the most commonly used financial health proxy (Bugeja, 2015) and is computed as follows:

$$Z\text{-score}_{it} = 1.2 \times X_1 + 1.4 \times X_2 + 3.3 \times X_3 + 0.6 \times X_4 + 1.0 \times X_5 \quad (6)$$

where $Z\text{-score}_{it}$ measures financial distress; X_1 is the ratio of working capital to total assets; X_2 is the ratio of retained earnings to total assets; X_3 is the ratio of EBIT to total assets; X_4 is the ratio of the market value of equity to total liabilities; and X_5 is the ratio of sales to total assets. A higher Z-score implies a greater likelihood of bankruptcy for a firm

Table 2
Descriptive statistics.

Stats	N	Mean	sd	Min	P25	p50	P75	Max
<i>AEM</i>	8590	0.055	0.055	0.000	0.017	0.038	0.074	0.266
<i>AEMI</i>	8590	0.046	0.048	0.000	0.014	0.031	0.061	0.230
<i>REM</i>	8590	0.125	0.118	0.000	0.042	0.091	0.165	0.570
<i>REMI</i>	8590	0.077	0.077	0.000	0.023	0.053	0.102	0.395
<i>R_CFO</i>	8590	0.054	0.051	0.000	0.018	0.039	0.074	0.259
<i>R_PROD</i>	8590	0.058	0.058	0.000	0.018	0.040	0.076	0.285
<i>R_DISEXP</i>	8590	0.050	0.059	0.000	0.014	0.035	0.059	0.349
<i>PAND_COVID</i>	8590	0.339	0.474	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	1.000
<i>LEV</i>	8590	0.458	0.198	0.076	0.306	0.452	0.605	0.907
<i>ROA</i>	8590	0.000	0.001	-0.003	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.002
<i>Size</i>	8590	22.716	1.380	20.155	21.729	22.517	23.541	26.808
<i>Big4</i>	8590	0.090	0.286	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000
<i>Growth</i>	8590	0.129	0.405	-0.609	-0.050	0.072	0.214	2.592
<i>SOE</i>	8590	0.456	0.498	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	1.000
<i>Duality</i>	8590	0.232	0.422	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000
<i>Board</i>	8590	2.142	0.196	1.609	1.946	2.197	2.197	2.708
<i>IND</i>	8590	0.376	0.053	0.333	0.333	0.364	0.429	0.571
<i>Top10</i>	8590	0.589	0.152	0.235	0.479	0.593	0.703	0.919
<i>MTB</i>	8590	0.660	0.271	0.115	0.452	0.665	0.873	1.216
<i>Age</i>	8590	2.316	0.842	0.000	1.609	2.708	2.996	3.296
<i>Tobin Q</i>	8590	1.979	1.394	0.822	1.145	1.504	2.214	8.663
<i>Audit size</i>	8590	1.187	0.186	1.099	1.099	1.099	1.099	1.609
<i>Fee</i>	8590	14.137	0.720	12.899	13.653	13.998	14.506	16.694
<i>OCF</i>	8590	0.055	0.066	-0.142	0.017	0.053	0.094	0.246
<i>DISTRESS</i>	8590	0.289	0.453	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	1.000

Table presents the descriptive statistics of all variables employed. *, ** and *** Indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5% and 1% levels. See Table 1 for full variable definitions.

(*DISTRESS*).

3.3. Empirical model

To examine the impact of the Covid-19 pandemic on earnings management, we use the ordinary least square (OLS) with robust standard errors on the pooled panel sample. The model is specified as follows:

$$EM_{it} = \beta_{1i} PAND_COVID + \sum_{i=1}^{n=16} FIRM_CONTROL \quad (7)$$

EM refers to earnings management proxies, which are subdivided into *AEM* and *REM*. We take the absolute value of *AEM* and *REM* as they represent the extent of EM. Definitions and measurements of all variables are presented in Table 1. The main variable of interest is *PAND_COVID*, which takes the value of 1 if the observation is from the pandemic period of 2020, and 0 otherwise.

We include a vector of firm-level control variables (*FIRM CONTROL*). We first include a financial (book) leverage variable (*LEV*): this measures the risk of violating debt contracts, which is the ratio of total debt and total assets. Prior studies indicate that executives inflate earnings to avoid violating debt covenants (Ben rejeb attia et al., 2013; Press and Weintrop, 1990). We next add firm performance (*ROA*: the ratio of income before extraordinary items to the total asset) to the model (Las-soued & Khanchel, 2021). This reflects the firm's motivation to adopt accounting policies that can reduce current earnings or smooth earnings to conceal their good financial conditions. Instead, poorly performing companies may tend to manage earnings to boost their income. The firm size (*Size*), measured by the log of total assets, is also considered, since large companies may have more accounting treatments for transactions. Thus, they are more able to manipulate earnings than small companies, especially when they have the intention to cut political costs (Nelson, Elliott, and Tarpley, 2002; Ben rejeb attia et al., 2013; Barka and Hamza, 2020). We also control the audit quality (*Big4*), which measures the control constraints on accounting manipulation. A better quality of audit services is helpful to restrict the management tendency to manage earnings (Kim, Chung, and Firth, 2003). *Big4* is a dummy variable that takes 1 if the auditor is from the *Big4* accounting firms, and 0 otherwise.

Growth opportunities (*Growth*) is also introduced as a control

variable, since companies with growth potential are likely to raise capital for future investments. Therefore, the management of such companies seem to have greater motivations to manipulate earnings if they have an intention to raise capital (Teoh, Welch, and Wong, 1998). We also include state-owned enterprises (*SOE*), which is a dummy variable that takes 1 if the state-owned equity is greater than 51%, and 0 otherwise. The *SOE* is considered as a control variable, since Leuz, Nanda, and Wysocki (2003) stated that earnings manipulation is less frequent in economies with better law enforcement and stronger outside investor rights. The Market-to-book (*MTB*) ratio, measured by the market capitalisation at the end of the fiscal year divided by the book value of common equity, is included. Prior studies indicate that firms presenting growth in their operations tend to have large values of accruals (Burgstahler, Hail, and Leuz, 2006; Larcker and Richardson, 2004; McNichols, 2000; Othman and Zeghal, 2006).

We also use a series of control variables in line with previous earnings management studies and Chinese contexts, especially the corporate governance information (e.g., Bozzolan et al., 2015; Cheng, Aerts, and Jorissen, 2010; Kim et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2018; Kuo et al., 2014; Martínez-Ferrero, Gallego-Álvarez, and García-Sánchez, 2015): *Top10* (the company's largest ten shareholders' ownership percentage); *Tobin Q* (the ratio between the market value of an enterprise's physical assets and its replacement value); *Duality* (a dummy variable that takes 1 if the CEO is also the chairman, and 0 otherwise); *IND* (the ratio of the number of independent directors to the total number of directors); *Board* (the logarithm of the number of board members); *Audit size* (the number of members of the audit committee, to indicate the size of the audit committee); *Fee* (the natural logarithm of annual audit fees that companies paid); *Age* (the number of years since the establishment of the corporation); and *OCF* (the cash flow from operations scaled by the total assets).

4. Results

4.1. Descriptive statistics and correlation matrix

Table 2 presents the descriptive statistics for the key variables. To mitigate the impact of outliers, the continuous variables are winsorised

Table 3
Correlation matrix.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1. PAND_COVID	1																	
2. LEV	-0.0268*	1																
3. ROA	0.0188	-0.3653*	1															
4. Size	-0.0011	0.5076*	0.0124	1														
5. Big4	-0.0003	0.1031*	0.0408*	0.3670*	1													
6. Growth	-0.1055*	0.0247*	0.2231*	0.0450*	0.0137	1												
7. SOE	-0.0625*	0.2256*	0.3085*	0.3885*	0.1309*	-0.0658*	1											
8. Duality	0.0446*	-0.0979*	0.0283*	-0.1610*	0.0618*	0.0321*	-0.2964*	1										
9. Board	-0.0424*	0.1147*	0.006	0.2528*	0.0547*	-0.0370*	0.2378*	-0.1906*	1									
10. IND	0.0322*	0.0266*	-0.0143	0.0472*	0.0646*	0.0083	-0.0358*	0.1044*	-0.5084*	1								
11. Top10	0.0315*	-0.0315*	0.2205*	0.2393*	0.2165*	0.0833*	0.0227*	0.0303*	0.0412*	0.0017	1							
12. MTB	0.0323*	0.3997*	-0.1842*	0.5934*	0.1447*	-0.0483*	0.2486*	-0.1283*	0.1479*	0.0969*	0.0969*	1						
13. Age	-0.0425*	0.2808*	-0.1856*	0.3428*	0.0678*	-0.0622*	0.4458*	-0.2465*	0.1536*	-0.0321*	-0.3417*	0.2032*	1					
14. Tobin Q	0.0156	-0.2970*	0.1939*	-0.4115*	-0.0907*	0.0455*	0.0817*	-0.1081*	0.0173	-0.0570*	-0.8085*	-0.0999*	0.2321*	1				
15. Audit size	-0.0332*	0.1447*	-0.021	0.2079*	0.0559*	-0.003	0.2243*	-0.1528*	0.2561*	-0.0320*	0.0083	0.1223*	-0.0647*	0.2321*	1			
16. Fee	0.0267*	0.3692*	-0.0456*	0.7866*	0.4658*	0.0139	0.1839*	-0.1043*	0.1747*	0.0710*	0.2536*	0.4363*	-0.2990*	0.2108*	0.1367*	1		
17. OCF	0.0886*	-0.1868*	0.4175*	0.0236*	0.0521*	0.0451*	-0.0663*	0.0069	0.0335*	-0.0106	0.1659*	-0.1328*	-0.1132*	0.1476*	0.0002	0.0358*	1	
18. DISTRESS	-0.0314*	0.6336*	-0.3765*	0.4482*	0.0735*	-0.0470*	0.1961*	-0.0844*	0.1182*	0.0377*	0.0116	0.5355*	0.2147*	-0.3569*	0.1234*	0.3106*	-0.1700*	1

Table presents the correlation matrix of independent variables employed. * denotes statistical significance at the 5%. See Table 1 for full variable definitions.

at the 1% level. After obtaining the absolute value, earnings management proxies, namely *AEM* and *REM*, have a mean (median) value of 0.055 (0.038) and 0.125 (0.091), respectively. The mean of financial distress (*DISTRESS*) is 0.289, which means around 30% of the firm-year observations in our sample are under financial distress. Turning to the main control variables, the total debt reported to total assets (*LEV*) is 0.458. Less than one-tenth of our sample is audited by one of the Big4 auditors (9%). The average rate of growth is 12.9%. Table 3 presents the correlation matrix among all independent variables. Results show no severe multicollinearity issues, which is further supported by the unreported low variance inflation factor (*VIF*).

4.2. The Covid-19 pandemic and earnings management

Table 4 reports regression results for the impacts of the pandemic crisis on earnings management. We find that while *PAND_COVID* has a significant and positive association with *AEM*, it shows a significant and negative effect on *REM*. Economically, during the Covid-19 period, firms increased their discretionary accruals (*AEM*) by 0.4% and reduced their real earnings management (*REM*) by 1.1%. The findings hold after we control industry fixed effects (columns 3 and 6). These results suggest that managers may have been more inclined to manipulate their companies' earnings through *AEM* than *REM* during the pandemic. Since the Covid-19 outbreak was an unexpected (health crisis) event, and given that *REM* requires management to manipulate the operational, financial and investment activities throughout the financial year, it is difficult for managers to manage earnings through *REM*. Furthermore, among the most affected companies, it is more difficult and more costly, and more easily detectable, to manipulate cash flow through operational, financial and investment activities (Xiao and Xi, 2021).

In addition, since we use absolute value for the measurement of *AEM* and *REM* in our model to represent the extent of EM, the results can also indicate that Chinese companies manipulated more earnings compared to before the pandemic. These findings corroborate those of Xiao and Xi (2021), which suggested that Chinese enterprises managed earnings during the pandemic. Abdul et al. (2021) found the same evidence for their Iraqi sample. However, the results are inconsistent with those of prior studies conducted on European countries during other crises. For example, Filip and Raffournier (2014) concluded that earnings manipulation decreased during the sub-prime crisis. Similar findings were obtained by Arthur, Tang, and Lin (2015) and Kousenidis et al. (2013). One plausible explanation for this divergence in findings is the nature of the crisis itself. Indeed, the impact of the unprecedented crisis triggered by the coronavirus pandemic on earnings management practices appears to be different from the impact during a financial crisis.

4.3. The impact of Covid-19 on EM in different directions

We are also concerned with the direction of earnings management (upward versus downward). As the absolute values of *AEM* and *REM* could be in both directions (i.e., either income increasing or income decreasing), the effect of the pandemic on upward earnings management and downward earnings management will be examined separately in this section. The results are presented in Table 5. The first two columns display the results of accrual-based earnings management in both upward (*Upward AEM*) and downward (*Downward AEM*) directions. As shown, the coefficient of the income-increasing regression of accrued earnings management is positive and significant at the 1% level, and its coefficient is 0.007. However, the pandemic had no significant effect on downward accrual-based earnings management.

From the regression results of the third and fourth columns, we find that the income-increasing regression of real earnings management (*Upward REM*) is insignificant, while the pandemic has a significantly positive impact on downward real earnings management (*Downward REM*), which is significant to the extent of 1%, and its coefficient is 0.017. The findings suggest that during the pandemic, firms were more

Table 4
The impact of the pandemic on earnings management.

VARIABLES	Panel A: Discretionary accruals EM			Panel B: Real activity-based EM		
	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
	AEM	AEM	AEM	REM	REM	REM
<i>PAND_COVID</i>	0.004*** [0.000]	0.004*** [0.001]	0.004*** [0.004]	-0.011*** [0.000]	-0.011*** [0.000]	-0.011*** [0.000]
<i>LEV</i>	-0.041*** [0.000]	-0.041*** [0.000]	-0.044*** [0.000]	0.039*** [0.000]	0.039*** [0.001]	0.034*** [0.004]
<i>ROA</i>	-20.240*** [0.000]	-20.240*** [0.000]	-20.817*** [0.000]	12.776*** [0.000]	12.776*** [0.001]	12.025*** [0.002]
<i>Size</i>	0.006*** [0.000]	0.006*** [0.000]	0.007*** [0.000]	0.002 [0.416]	0.002 [0.597]	0.004 [0.219]
<i>Big4</i>	0.001 [0.798]	0.001 [0.880]	0.002 [0.589]	0.007 [0.140]	0.007 [0.365]	0.009 [0.268]
<i>Growth</i>	0.012*** [0.000]	0.012*** [0.000]	0.012*** [0.000]	0.048*** [0.000]	0.048*** [0.000]	0.047*** [0.000]
<i>SOE</i>	-0.011*** [0.000]	-0.011*** [0.000]	-0.010*** [0.000]	-0.017*** [0.000]	-0.017*** [0.000]	-0.015*** [0.001]
<i>Duality</i>	0.002* [0.083]	0.002 [0.229]	0.001 [0.586]	0.007* [0.052]	0.007 [0.195]	0.004 [0.396]
<i>Board</i>	-0.012*** [0.000]	-0.012** [0.024]	-0.012** [0.030]	-0.008 [0.302]	-0.008 [0.506]	-0.007 [0.593]
<i>IND</i>	-0.027** [0.033]	-0.027 [0.156]	-0.027 [0.165]	0.070** [0.013]	0.070* [0.086]	0.074* [0.071]
<i>Top10</i>	0.030*** [0.000]	0.030*** [0.000]	0.029*** [0.000]	0.028*** [0.005]	0.028* [0.068]	0.028* [0.069]
<i>MTB</i>	-0.031*** [0.000]	-0.031*** [0.000]	-0.031*** [0.000]	-0.038*** [0.000]	-0.038*** [0.003]	-0.038*** [0.002]
<i>Age</i>	0.003*** [0.000]	0.003** [0.021]	0.001 [0.456]	0.011*** [0.000]	0.011*** [0.001]	0.006 [0.127]
<i>Tobin Q</i>	0.007*** [0.000]	0.007*** [0.000]	0.008*** [0.000]	0.011*** [0.000]	0.011*** [0.000]	0.011*** [0.000]
<i>Audit size</i>	-0.006** [0.044]	-0.006 [0.190]	-0.006 [0.167]	0.025*** [0.000]	0.025** [0.033]	0.024** [0.040]
<i>Fee</i>	-0.002 [0.189]	-0.002 [0.389]	-0.002 [0.400]	-0.005* [0.061]	-0.005 [0.225]	-0.006 [0.214]
<i>OCF</i>	0.159*** [0.000]	0.159*** [0.000]	0.159*** [0.000]	-0.022 [0.476]	-0.022 [0.589]	-0.023 [0.561]
Constant	-0.025* [0.079]	-0.025 [0.268]	-0.043* [0.062]	0.071** [0.042]	0.071 [0.199]	0.038 [0.491]
Firm clustered	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Industry fixed effect	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
Observations	8590	8590	8590	8590	8590	8590
R-squared	0.175	0.175	0.185	0.097	0.097	0.104
Wald Chi 2 [p-value]	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***

Table presents the effects of Covid-19 pandemic on the earning management activities. Models 1 and 3 exclude firm-clusters while Models 2 and 4 include firm-clusters. *, ** and *** Indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5% and 1% levels. P-values are reported in the square brackets. See Table 1 for full variable definitions.

likely to adopt income-increasing discretionary accruals to manage earnings upward. Given that the profitability of most enterprises was influenced by the Covid-19 crisis because of the suspension of activities during general lockdowns, it is possible that they then had the motivation to manage earnings upwards to improve their reported performance in order to restore the confidence of investors and stakeholders (Arthur et al., 2015), to reduce the likelihood of violating earnings-based debt covenants (DeFond and Jiambalvo, 1994) and to signal that their condition was not worse than their competitors and consequently avoid a large deterioration of their share price (Lisboa and Kacharava, 2018; Ozili, 2021). Furthermore, the result indicates that firms may have been more inclined to undertake income-decreasing real earnings management during the pandemic crisis. Companies deflate earnings to justify their previous bad practices by lowering returns, and even to avoid any political sanctions such as higher taxes and tighter regulation (Hamza and Zaatir, 2021). Moreover, the losses reported by enterprises can be regarded as a signal of distress, so they can get stimulus packages or bailout money (Lassoued, 2021; Ozili and Arun, 2020).

4.4. Channel analysis: Financial distress risk

We further explore the channel in which the pandemic can affect

earnings management practices. We expect that corporate financial distress (*DISTRESS*) is likely to moderate the association between Covid-19 and *AEM/REM*. To do so, we add the interaction terms between *DISTRESS* and *PAND_COVID*. Table 6 shows a significantly positive association between *DISTRESS* and *AEM*, which suggests that firms under a condition of financial distress are engaged in accrual-based earnings management. This result is consistent with several prior studies in the context of emerging economies; especially, in China, due to the pressure of delisting rules from regulators, firms in financial distress have more incentives to engage in earnings management (Li et al., 2020). However, Table 6 also shows a negative and significant coefficient of interaction between Covid-19 pandemic and financial distress on accrual-based earnings management (*AEM*), but a negative and insignificant coefficient on real earnings management (*REM*). The results imply that during the pandemic, firms in financial distress tended to engage less in *AEM* activities. In order to understand the reason why firms in financial distress were less engaged in earnings management during the pandemic period, we conducted a further test to see whether this behaviour was impacted by ownership structure (i.e., state ownership vs private ownership), since state ownership has been found to have significant impact on earnings management practice in China (Ding et al., 2007; Dong, Wang, Zhang, and Zhou, 2020). The results are discussed in the

Table 5
The impact of the Covid-19 on EM in different directions.

VARIABLES	Panel A: Discretionary accruals EM		Panel B: Real activity-based EM	
	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]
	<i>Upward AEM</i>	<i>Downward AEM</i>	<i>Upward REM</i>	<i>Downward REM</i>
<i>PAND_COVID</i>	0.007*** [0.000]	0.003 [0.130]	0.004 [0.126]	-0.017*** [0.000]
<i>LEV</i>	0.001 [0.807]	0.001 [0.891]	0.044*** [0.000]	0.021 [0.256]
<i>ROA</i>	107.110*** [0.000]	-74.172*** [0.000]	-22.082*** [0.000]	41.388*** [0.000]
<i>Size</i>	0.006*** [0.000]	-0.004*** [0.001]	0.005* [0.061]	-0.002 [0.713]
<i>Big4</i>	0.001 [0.478]	0.003 [0.453]	-0.010* [0.081]	0.013 [0.249]
<i>Growth</i>	-0.006** [0.033]	0.034*** [0.000]	0.039*** [0.000]	0.060*** [0.000]
<i>SOE</i>	-0.003** [0.037]	0.001 [0.575]	0.006 [0.158]	-0.031*** [0.000]
<i>Duality</i>	0.002 [0.137]	0.000 [0.868]	0.002 [0.560]	0.005 [0.464]
<i>Board</i>	-0.006* [0.090]	0.002 [0.597]	-0.022** [0.024]	0.004 [0.817]
<i>IND</i>	-0.004 [0.728]	0.002 [0.901]	0.017 [0.619]	0.069 [0.258]
<i>Top10</i>	0.014*** [0.007]	0.019*** [0.009]	0.005 [0.717]	0.041* [0.070]
<i>MTB</i>	-0.007* [0.085]	0.011* [0.069]	-0.020* [0.089]	-0.033* [0.065]
<i>Age</i>	0.004*** [0.000]	0.001 [0.680]	0.007** [0.010]	0.010** [0.019]
<i>Tobin Q</i>	0.002* [0.073]	0.005*** [0.000]	0.001 [0.667]	0.006* [0.077]
<i>Audit size</i>	0.000 [0.973]	-0.002 [0.601]	0.021* [0.051]	0.023 [0.167]
<i>Fee</i>	-0.003*** [0.009]	-0.000 [0.998]	-0.007* [0.097]	0.002 [0.723]
<i>OCF</i>	-0.041*** [0.000]	0.042*** [0.004]	-1.061*** [0.000]	0.804*** [0.000]
Constant	-0.087*** [0.000]	0.102*** [0.000]	0.108** [0.015]	-0.064 [0.429]
Firm clustered	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	5639	2951	4587	4003
R-squared	0.686	0.723	0.408	0.315
Wald Chi 2 [p-value]	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***

Table presents the effects of Covid-19 pandemic on the earning management activities in different directions (i.e., upward and downward EM). All models include firm-clusters *, ** and *** Indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5% and 1% levels. P-values are reported in the square brackets. See Table 1 for full variable definitions.

following section. In addition, we find that the observed negative effect of the pandemic on *REM* was cancelled out for financially distressed firms.

4.5. The impact of state ownership

Prior studies document the influences of the level of state ownership on the behaviour and performance of Chinese firms (Ding et al., 2007; Dong et al., 2020). We therefore next examine whether this ownership factor follows through into the earnings management response to the pandemic. Our analysis sheds further light on the results related to financial distress since firms with a high degree of state ownership may be unlikely to go bankrupt. To carry out this analysis, we classified the full sample into two sub-samples including state-owned enterprises (SOEs) and privately owned enterprises (POEs). The results are reported in Table 7 (Panel A: SOEs and Panel B: POEs). We generally find that the positive effect of the health crisis on both *AEM* (model 1 and 5) and *REM* (model 3 and 7) is indifferent between SOEs and POEs. However, we

Table 6
Channel analysis: Covid-19 pandemic, financial distress risk, and earnings management activities.

VARIABLES	[1]	[2]
	<i>AEM</i>	<i>REM</i>
<i>PAND_COVID</i> x <i>DISTRESS</i>	-0.008*** [0.009]	-0.009 [0.129]
<i>PAND_COVID</i>	0.007*** [0.000]	-0.008** [0.017]
<i>DISTRESS</i>	0.009*** [0.000]	-0.000 [0.956]
<i>Control variables</i>	Yes	Yes
Firm clustered	Yes	Yes
Constant	-0.016 [0.462]	0.068 [0.223]
Observations	8590	8590
R-squared	0.178	0.097
Wald Chi 2 [p-value]	0.000***	0.000***

Table presents the channel analysis on corporate financial distress risk. *, ** and *** Indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5% and 1% levels. All models include firm-clusters. P-values are reported in the square brackets. See Table 1 for full variable definitions.

further find that the moderating effect of financial distress on the association between the pandemic and *AEM* is more pronounced in the SOEs than POEs (negative and significant coefficient of interaction between *PAND_COVID* and *DISTRESS* in the case of state-owned firms – model 2, but not significant in the case of privately owned firms – model 6). This implies a protective role of the governments on the SOEs' default positions; thereby, SOEs are less likely to engage in *AEM* activities even during the pandemic (Ding et al., 2007). However, for POEs, the result shows that the health crisis is likely to increase a firm's *AEM* regardless of insolvency situations. Regarding *REM*, we find that the financial distress of POEs has no role in the impact of the crisis. Meanwhile, the negative effect of the pandemic is more pronounced in the financially distressed SOEs.

4.6. Alternative measures of earnings management

To ensure the robustness of our primary findings, we performed a robustness test using alternative measures of earnings management. In particular, with regard to accrual earnings management, we used the cross-sectional model, as suggested by Kothari et al. (2005) (*AEM1*). In terms of real earnings management, our alternative measures include each component (*R_CFO*; *R_PROD* and *R_DISEXP*) and the sum of the standardised three real earnings management proxies (*REMI*) (Cohen et al., 2008). See definitions and measurements of all these variables in Table 1.

The results are presented in Table 8. We find results that are consistent with our main test – that *PAND_COVID* has a significant and positive impact on accrued earnings management, and is negatively associated with real earnings management. These results support our earlier findings on the significant effect of the pandemic on accrual-based earnings management, implying that during the pandemic, firms were more likely to manage earnings through *AEM*. These results once again suggest that under the challenges caused by the Covid-19 pandemic, firms in China used the accrual earnings management technique to manage earnings upward in order to demonstrate their sound financial capability, with the aim of avoiding public scrutiny and the pressure of being delisted by the government (Liu and Lu, 2007).

5. Conclusion

The Covid-19 pandemic had a catastrophic impact on global health and economic systems. Businesses saw a drop in profitability because of the pandemic, as most economic activities were suspended during lockdown measures. This paper examines the impact of the Covid-19

Table 7
The impact of state ownership.

VARIABLES	Panel A: State-owned enterprises				Panel A: Private-owned enterprises			
	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]
	AEM	AEM	REM	REM	AEM	AEM	REM	REM
PAND_COVID	0.004** [0.039]	0.007*** [0.003]	-0.009** [0.028]	-0.002 [0.679]	0.004** [0.032]	0.007*** [0.002]	-0.013*** [0.001]	-0.013*** [0.004]
PAND_COVID x DISTRESS		-0.007** [0.048]		-0.017** [0.017]		-0.008 [0.127]		0.001 [0.890]
DISTRESS		0.002 [0.551]		-0.006 [0.381]		0.018*** [0.000]		0.005 [0.576]
Control variables	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Firm clustered	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Constant	-0.026 [0.375]	-0.027 [0.364]	0.075 [0.220]	0.060 [0.323]	-0.084** [0.032]	-0.069* [0.081]	-0.066 [0.524]	-0.062 [0.554]
Observations	3920	3920	3920	3920	4670	4670	4670	4670
R-squared	0.122	0.123	0.109	0.111	0.187	0.193	0.107	0.108
Wald Chi 2 [p-value]	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***

Table presents the effects of state ownership on the association between the Covid-19 pandemic on the earning management activities, as well as the moderating impact of corporate financial distress. All models include firm-clusters. *, ** and *** Indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5% and 1% levels. P-values are reported in the square brackets. See Table 1 for full variable definitions.

Table 8
Alternative measures of earnings management.

VARIABLES	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
	AEM1	REM1	R_CFO	R_PROD	R_DISEXP
PAND_COVID	0.005** [0.021]	-0.006*** [0.001]	-0.001 [0.294]	-0.005*** [0.002]	-0.006*** [0.000]
LEV	-0.029*** [0.000]	0.017** [0.033]	0.023*** [0.000]	0.029*** [0.000]	-0.008 [0.252]
ROA	-18.265*** [0.000]	-0.064 [0.982]	9.408*** [0.000]	-0.523 [0.801]	2.794 [0.194]
Size	0.004*** [0.000]	-0.001 [0.755]	-0.000 [0.918]	0.004*** [0.003]	-0.006*** [0.001]
Big4	0.000 [0.873]	0.003 [0.564]	0.002 [0.577]	-0.001 [0.792]	0.000 [0.930]
Growth	0.013*** [0.000]	0.039*** [0.000]	0.023*** [0.000]	0.023*** [0.000]	0.014*** [0.000]
SOE	-0.006*** [0.000]	-0.013*** [0.000]	-0.003 [0.123]	-0.005** [0.040]	-0.012*** [0.000]
Duality	0.002 [0.313]	0.010*** [0.003]	0.002 [0.314]	0.004* [0.076]	0.004 [0.144]
Board	-0.008* [0.089]	-0.006 [0.424]	-0.006 [0.252]	-0.017*** [0.002]	0.014* [0.073]
IND	-0.017 [0.256]	0.012 [0.643]	0.016 [0.357]	-0.005 [0.782]	0.044* [0.078]
Top10	0.017*** [0.003]	0.017* [0.082]	0.006 [0.319]	0.013* [0.059]	0.012 [0.211]
MTB	-0.035*** [0.000]	-0.026*** [0.001]	-0.015*** [0.005]	-0.019*** [0.001]	-0.017** [0.020]
Age	0.004*** [0.001]	0.007*** [0.000]	0.003*** [0.005]	0.005*** [0.001]	0.005*** [0.011]
Tobin Q	0.005*** [0.000]	0.002 [0.232]	0.003*** [0.008]	0.004*** [0.000]	0.002 [0.225]
Audit size	-0.005 [0.159]	0.003 [0.710]	0.003 [0.528]	0.004 [0.427]	0.006 [0.375]
Fee	-0.000 [0.954]	0.000 [0.904]	-0.005*** [0.004]	-0.007*** [0.002]	0.010*** [0.000]
OCF	0.112*** [0.000]	0.074*** [0.000]	-0.021 [0.325]	0.011 [0.519]	0.065*** [0.000]
Constant	-0.011 [0.538]	0.068* [0.055]	0.113*** [0.000]	0.065*** [0.008]	-0.018 [0.592]
Firm clustered	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	8590	8590	8590	8590	8590
R-squared	0.143	0.082	0.089	0.077	0.070
Wald Chi 2 [p-value]	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***

Table presents the effects of Covid-19 pandemic on the earning management activities using alternative measures of EM. All models include firm-clusters. *, ** and *** Indicate statistical significance at the 10%, 5% and 1% levels. P-values are reported in the square brackets. See Table 1 for full variable definitions.

pandemic on the earnings management practices in a sample of listed companies in China. This is an important issue, given the relevance of the authenticity and reliability of accounting information to

stakeholders during troubling periods.

Based on a sample of 1832 companies listed on the Shanghai and Shenzhen stock exchanges during the pre-pandemic period, from 2015

to 2019, and the pandemic period (2020–2021), with 8590 firm-year observations conducted, we examined the earnings management practice using both accrual earnings management and real earnings management techniques. Our findings reveal that the quality of financial reporting by Chinese companies tended to be lower during the pandemic. Listed companies affected by the pandemic were more likely to engage in AEM than REM. In particular, the results indicate that Chinese listed companies were more likely to engage in upward earnings management during the Covid-19 pandemic, which can be explained by the argument that businesses tried to show acceptable levels of losses and thus mitigate the impact of the pandemic in the eyes of investors and stakeholders. This is consistent with the finding of [Lassoued & Khanchel \(2021\)](#) that companies manage earnings upward by mitigating the reported losses level to rebuild the confidence of stakeholders and thus support economic recovery. Furthermore, the results also indicate that financially distressed firms engaged in earnings manipulation, especially accrual-based earnings management. However, this behaviour seems to be less prevalent during the Covid-19 pandemic, especially in the case of state-owned enterprises. The findings were robust after considering the factors that may influence the incentives and behaviours of earnings management. In detail, we used the corresponding company-level variables, such as debt performance, opportunity growth, board independence and audit quality. Furthermore, we also used other alternative proxies as dependent variables and panel data fixed effects to ensure the robustness of our results.

To date, studies on the Covid-19 outbreak have mostly focused on the market reactions to the pandemic. Currently, studies on the relationship between the Covid-19 pandemic and earnings management practices, and the impact of the pandemic on corporate financial reporting, are limited, particularly in China. Furthermore, existing research provides inconclusive and contradictory conclusions on the relationship between the pandemic and the earnings management practices of Chinese listed companies. This study, therefore, draws on evidence from Chinese listed companies during the Covid-19 pandemic to debate whether earnings quality was lowered during the period of the pandemic.

The findings from this study have several implications for practice and academia. They provide valuable insights which may be of assistance to investors, fund providers, academics and auditors, as well as all other parties interested in understanding the quality of financial reports. In that context, investors and lenders should be especially careful, since companies seem not to reflect their true financial conditions during pandemic periods in their financial statements. Auditors should be aware that, in future periods of intense crisis, they should scrutinise financial information in more detail, with particular attention to changes in accruals. The findings also provide deeper insights into the reliability of accounting information.

The findings of the research could also be valuable for regulators and standards setters who need to learn how the coronavirus pandemic crisis may have influenced the quality of financial reporting. The research has found that corporations had the motivation to increase earnings to attract potential investors. In this regard, given the presence of such behaviour, standards setters should be aware that a set of stand-alone accounting standards is insufficient to limit misrepresentation of financial information resulting from earnings management. A limitation of our research is that the conclusions are drawn from evidence from Chinese listed companies. In view of the different national conditions in emerging countries and developed countries, differences in corporate governance and accounting standards could have an impact on the earnings management practices of emerging markets. Therefore, future research could examine the international market (a cross-country study).

Funding

This work was supported by the Deanship of Scientific Research, Vice Presidency for Graduate Studies and Scientific Research, King Faisal

University, Saudi Arabia [Grant No. GRANT2316].

Author contribution statement

1. Abdullah A Aljughaiman: *Research idea discussion, Data collection and Analysis, Writing and Editing*
2. Tam Huy Nguyen: *Research idea discussion, Literature review/Hypothesis, Data collection and Analysis, Writing and Editing.*
3. Vu Quang Trinh: *Research idea discussion, Data collection and Analysis, Writing and Editing.*
4. Anqi Du: *Research idea discussion, Data Analysis, Writing and Editing.*

Declaration of Competing Interest

There is no conflict of interest to declare.

References

- Abdul, B., Aljawaheri, W., Kadhem, H., Machi, A. H., Ojah, H. K., & Almagtome, A. (2021). Covid-19 lockdown, earnings manipulation and stock market sensitivity. An empirical study in Iraq. *Journal of Asian Finance Economics and Business*, 8(5), 707–715.
- Ali, H., Amin, H. M., Mostafa, D., & Mohamed, E. K. (2022). Earnings management and investor protection during the COVID-19 pandemic: Evidence from G-12 countries. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 37(7), 775–797.
- Albitar, K., Gerged, A. M., Kikhia, H., & Hussainey, K. (2020). Auditing in times of social distancing: The effect of Covid-19 on auditing quality. *International Journal of Accounting and Information Management*, 29(1), 169–178.
- Altman, E. I. (1968). Financial ratios, discriminant analysis and the prediction of corporate bankruptcy. *The Journal of Finance*, 23(4), 589–609.
- Arnold, P. J. (2009). Global financial crisis: The challenge to accounting research. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 34(6–7), 803–809.
- Arthur, N., Tang, Q., & Lin, Z. (2015). Corporate accruals quality during the 2008–2010 global financial crisis. *Journal of International Accounting Auditing, Taxation*, 25, 1–15.
- Azizah, W. (2017). Opportunistic perspective off accrual and real earnings management in Indonesia. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management*, 19(11), 1–5.
- Azizah, W. (2021). Covid-19 in Indonesia: Analysis of differences earnings management in the first quarter. *Jurnal Akuntansi*, 11(1), 23–32.
- Barai, K. M., & Dhar, B. S. (2021). Covid-19 pandemic: Inflicted costs and some emerging global issues. *Global Business Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0972150921991499> [online] Available at. [Accessed 11 January 2022].
- Barka, Z., & Hamza, T. (2020). The effect of large controlling shareholders on equity prices in France: Monitoring or entrenchment. *Journal of Management and Governance*, 24(3), 769–798.
- Beatty, A., Chamberlain, S., & Magliolo, J. (1995). Managing financial reports of commercial banks: The influence of taxes, regulatory capital and earnings. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 33(2), 231–261.
- Becker, C. L., Defond, M. L., Jiambalvo, J., & Subramanyam, K. R. (1998). The effect of audit quality on earnings management. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 15(1), 1–24.
- Ben Rejeb Attia, M., Sassi, H., & Lassoued, N. (2013). Signaling over income smoothing and IFRS adoption by banks: A panel data analysis on MENA countries. *Economics Bulletin*, 33(3), 2340–2356.
- Bergstresser, D., & Philippon, T. (2006). CEO incentives and earnings management. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 80(3), 511–529.
- Bolton, P., Scheinkman, J., & Xiong, W. (2006). Executive compensation and short-termist behavior in speculative markets. *Review of Economic Studies*, 73(3), 577–610.
- Bozzolan, S., Fabrizi, M., Mallin, C. A., & Michelon, G. (2015). Corporate social responsibility and earnings quality: International evidence. *The International Journal of Accounting*, 50(4), 361–396.
- Bugeja, M. (2015). The impact of target firm financial distress in Australian takeovers. *Accounting and Finance*, 55(2), 361–396.
- Bugshan, A., Lafferty, G., Bakry, W., & Li, Y. (2020). Earnings management during the oil price crisis. *Journal of Applied Economic Sciences*, 68(2), 297–309.
- Burgstahler, D., & Dichev, I. (1997). Earnings management to avoid earnings decreases and losses. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 24(1), 99–126.
- Burgstahler, D., & Eames, M. (1998). Management of earnings and analysts forecasts. In *Working Paper*. University of Washington.
- Burgstahler, D., Hail, L., & Leuz, C. (2006). The importance of reporting incentives: Earnings management in European private and public firms. *The Accounting Review*, 81(5), 983–1016.
- Cahan, S. F. (1992). The effect of antitrust investigations on discretionary accruals: A refined test of the political-cost hypothesis. *Accounting Review*, 67, 77–95.
- Campa, D., & Camacho-Miñano, M. (2015). The impact of SME's pre-bankruptcy financial distress on earnings management tools. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 42, 222–234.
- Chen, C., Chen, S., & Su, X. (2001). Profitability regulation, earnings management, and modified audit opinions: Evidence from China. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory*, 20(2), 9–30.

- Chen, H., Liu, S., Liu, X., & Wang, J. (2022). Opportunistic timing of management earnings forecasts during the COVID-19 crisis in China. *Accounting & Finance*, 62, 1495–1533.
- Chen, Y., Weston, J. F., & Altman, E. I. (1995). Financial distress and restructuring models. *Financial Management*, 24, 57–75.
- Cheng, P., Aerts, W., & Jorissen, A. (2010). Earnings management, asset restructuring, and the threat of exchange delisting in an earnings-based regulatory regime. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 18(5), 438–456.
- Chintrakarn, P., Jiraporn, P., & Kim, Y. S. (2018). Did firms manage earnings more aggressively during the financial crisis. *International Review of Finance*, 18(3), 477–494.
- Choi, J. H., Kim, J. B., & Lee, J. J. (2011). Value relevance of discretionary accruals in the Asian financial crisis of 1997–1998. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 30(2), 166–187.
- Chu, A. G., Du, X., & Jiang, G. (2011). Buy, lie, or die: An investigation of Chinese ST firms' voluntary interim audit motive and auditor independence. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 102(1), 135–153.
- Cimini, R. (2015). How has the financial crisis affected earnings management? A European study. *Applied Economics*, 47(3), 302–317.
- Cohen, D. A., Dey, A., & Lys, T. Z. (2008). Real and accrual-based earnings management in the pre-and post-Sarbanes-Oxley periods. *The Accounting Review*, 83(3), 757–787.
- Collins, J. H., Shackelford, D. A., & Wahlen, J. M. (1995). Bank differences in the coordination of regulatory capital, earnings, and taxes. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 33(2), 263–291.
- Da Silva, A. F. D., Weffort, E. F. J., Flores, E. D. S., & Silva, G. P. D. (2014). Earnings management and economic crises in the Brazilian capital market. *Revista de Administração de Empresas*, 54(3), 268–283.
- Dechow, P. M., Sloan, R. G., & Sweeney, A. P. (1995). Detecting earnings management. *The Accounting Review*, 70(2), 193–225.
- DeFond, M. L., & Jiambalvo, J. (1994). Debt covenant violation and manipulation of accruals. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 17(1–2), 145–176.
- Dichev, I. D., & Skinner, D. J. (2002). Large-sample evidence on the debt covenant hypothesis. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 40(4), 1091–1123.
- Ding, H., Fan, H., & Lin, S. (2022). Covid-19, firm exposure, and firm value: A tale of two lockdowns. *China Economic Review*, 71, Article 101721.
- Ding, Y., Zhang, H., & Zhang, J. (2007). Private vs state ownership and earnings management: Evidence from Chinese listed companies. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 15(2), 223–238.
- Dinh, T., Kang, H., & Schultze, W. (2016). Capitalizing research and development: Signaling or earnings management. *European Accounting Review*, 25(2), 373–401.
- Dong, N., Wang, F., Zhang, J., & Zhou, J. (2020). Ownership structure and real earnings management: Evidence from China. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 39(3), Article 106733.
- Du, X., & Lai, S. (2018). Financial distress, investment opportunity, and the contagion effect of low audit quality: Evidence from China. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 147(3), 565–593.
- Fields, T. D., Lys, T. Z., & Vincent, L. (2001). Empirical research on accounting choice. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 31(1–3), 255–307.
- Filip, A., & Raffournier, B. (2014). Financial crisis and earnings management: The European evidence. *The International Journal of Accounting*, 49(4), 455–478.
- Flores, E., Weffort, E. F. J., da Silva, A. F., & Carvalho, L. N. G. (2016). Earnings management and macroeconomic crises: Evidences from Brazil and USA capital markets. *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies*, 6(2), 179–202.
- Gaver, J. J., Gaver, K. M., & Austin, J. R. (1995). Additional evidence on bonus plans and income management. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 19(1), 3–28.
- Gill-de-Albornoz, B., & Illueca, M. (2005). Earnings management under price regulation: Empirical evidence from the Spanish electricity industry. *Energy Economics*, 27(2), 279–304.
- Gilson, S. C. (1989). Management turnover and financial distress. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 25(2), 241–262.
- Gong, G., Ke, B., & Yu, Y. (2013). Home country investor protection, ownership structure and cross-listed firms' compliance with SOX-mandated internal control deficiency disclosures. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 30(4), 1490–1523.
- Graham, J. R., Harvey, C. R., & Rajgopal, S. (2005). The economic implications of corporate financial reporting. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 40(1–3), 3–73.
- Guidry, F., Leone, A. J., & Rock, S. (1999). Earnings-based bonus plans and earnings management by business-unit managers. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 26(1–3), 113–142.
- Gunny, K. A. (2010). The relation between earnings management using real activities manipulation and future performance: Evidence from meeting earnings benchmarks. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 27(3), 855–888.
- Habib, A., Bhuiyan, B. U., & Islam, A. (2013). Financial distress, earnings management and market pricing of accruals during the global financial crisis. *Managerial Finance*, 39(2), 155–180.
- Hamza, T., & Zaatir, E. (2021). Does corporate tax aggressiveness explain future stock price crash? Empirical evidence from France. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, 19(1), 55–76.
- Haw, I. M., Qi, D., Wu, D., & Wu, W. (2005). Market consequences of earnings management in response to security regulations in China. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 22(1), 95–140.
- He, X., & Jianqun, X. (2021). The COVID-19 and earnings management: China's evidence. *Journal of Accounting and Taxation*, 13(2), 59–77.
- Healy, P. M. (1985). The effect of bonus schemes on accounting decisions. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 7(1–3), 85–107.
- Healy, P. M., & Wahlen, J. M. (1999). A review of the earnings management literature and its implications for standard setting. *Accounting Horizons*, 13(4), 365–383.
- Holthausen, R. W., Larcker, D. F., & Sloan, R. G. (1995). Annual bonus schemes and the manipulation of earnings. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 19(1), 29–74.
- Iatridis, G., & Kadorinis, G. (2009). Earnings management and firm financial motives: A financial investigation of UK listed firms. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 18(4), 164–173.
- Illuzzi, K., Landes, C., Durak, R., & Groskopf, T. (2020). *Consequences of Covid-19 Potential Auditing Challenges [Special Report]*. AICPA.
- Jaggi, B., & Lee, P. (2002). Earnings management response to debt covenant violations and debt restructuring. *Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance*, 17(4), 295–324.
- Jiang, G., & Wang, H. (2008). Should earnings thresholds be used as delisting criteria in stock market. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 27(5), 409–419.
- Jones, J. J. (1991). Earnings management during import relief investigations. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 29(2), 193–228.
- Jones, M. J. (2011). *Creative Accounting, Fraud and International Accounting Scandals* (p. 7). The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester. England: John Wiley and Sons Ltd.
- Khanchel, I. (2011). An examination of the naïve-investor hypothesis in accruals mispricing in Tunisian firms. *Journal of International Financial Management and Accounting*, 22(2), 131–164.
- Kim, J. B., Chung, R., & Firth, M. (2003). Auditor conservatism, asymmetric monitoring, and earnings management. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 20(2), 323–359.
- Kim, S. H., Udawatte, P., & Yin, J. (2018). The effects of corporate social responsibility on real and accrual-based earnings management: Evidence from China. *Australian Accounting Review*, 29(3), 580–594.
- Kim, Y., Park, M. S., & Wier, B. (2012). Is earnings quality associated with corporate social responsibility. *The Accounting Review*, 87(3), 761–796.
- Kjærland, F., Kosberg, F., & Misje, M. (2021). Accrual earnings management in response to an oil price shock. *Journal of Commodity Markets*, 22, Article 100138.
- Koowattananaiachai, N. (2018). The extent to which earnings are manipulated in the construction sector of the Stock Exchange of Thailand and its exogenous macroeconomic factors. *International Institute of Social and Economic Sciences*, 101–117.
- Kothari, S. P., Leone, A. J., & Wasley, C. E. (2005). Performance matched discretionary accrual measures. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 39(1), 163–197.
- Kousenidis, D. V., Ladas, A. C., & Negakis, C. I. (2013). The effects of the European debt crisis on earnings quality. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 30, 351–362.
- Kuo, J. M., Ning, L., & Song, X. (2014). The real and accrual-based earnings management behaviors: Evidence from the split share structure reform in China. *The International Journal of Accounting*, 49(1), 101–136.
- Lakhal, N., & Dedaj, B. (2020). R&D disclosures and earnings management: The moderating effects of IFRS and the global financial crisis. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, 18(1), 111–130.
- Larcker, D. F., & Richardson, S. A. (2004). Fees paid to audit firms, accrual choices, and corporate governance. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 42(3), 625–658.
- Lassoued, N. (2021). Capital structure and earnings quality in microfinance institutions. *International Journal of Managerial Finance*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJMF-08-2020-0454> [online] Available at. [Accessed 11 January 2022].
- Lassoued, N., & Khanchel, I. (2021). Impact of Covid-19 pandemic on earnings management: An evidence from financial reporting in European firms. *Global Business Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09721509211053491> [online] [Accessed 11 January 2022].
- Laux, C., & Leuz, C. (2010). Did fair-value accounting contribute to the financial crisis. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 24(1), 93–118.
- Leuz, C., Nanda, D., & Wysocki, P. D. (2003). Earnings management and investor protection: An international comparison. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 69(3), 505–527.
- Li, Y., Li, X., Xiang, E., & Djajadikerta, H. G. (2020). Financial distress, internal control, and earnings management: Evidence from China. *Journal of Contemporary Accounting and Economics*, 16(3), Article 100210.
- Liberty, S. E., & Zimmerman, J. L. (1986). Labor union contract negotiations and accounting choices. *Accounting Review*, 61(4), 692–712.
- Lisboa, I., & Kacharava, A. (2018). Does financial crisis impact earnings management evidence from Portuguese and UK. *European Journal of Applied Business and Management*, 4(1), 80–100.
- Liu, G., & Sun, J. (2022). The impact of Covid-19 pandemic on earnings management and the value relevance of earnings: US evidence. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 37(7), 850–868.
- Liu, Q., He, W., & Luo, L. (2011). Mandatory adoption of IFRS, implementation of new laws, and accrual and real earnings management. *China Accounting and Finance Review*, 13(1), 57–121.
- Liu, Q., & Lu, Z. J. (2007). Corporate governance and earnings management in the Chinese listed companies: A tunneling perspective. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 13(5), 881–906.
- Mao, Y., & Renneboog, L. (2015). Do managers manipulate earnings prior to management buyouts. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 35, 43–61.
- Martínez-Ferrero, J., Gallego-Álvarez, I., & García-Sánchez, I. M. (2015). A bidirectional analysis of earnings management and corporate social responsibility: The moderating effect of stakeholder and investor protection. *Australian Accounting Review*, 25(4), 359–371.
- McNichols, M. F. (2000). Research design issues in earnings management studies. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 19(4–5), 313–345.
- Morck, R., Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1990). Do managerial objectives drive bad acquisitions. *The Journal of Finance*, 45(1), 31–48.
- Nelson, M. W., Elliott, J. A., & Tarpley, R. L. (2002). Evidence from auditors about managers' and auditors' earnings management decisions. *The Accounting Review*, 77(s-1), 175–202.

- Oskouei, Z. H., & Sureshjani, Z. H. (2021). Studying the relationship between managerial ability and real earnings management in economic and financial crisis conditions. *International Journal of Finance and Economics*, 26(3), 4574–4589.
- Othman, H. B., & Zeghal, D. (2006). A study of earnings-management motives in the Anglo-American and euro-continental accounting models: The Canadian and French cases. *The International Journal of Accounting*, 41(4), 406–435.
- Ozili, P. K. (2021). Accounting and Financial Reporting During a Pandemic [online]. Available at: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3613459> [Accessed 11 January 2022].
- Ozili, P. K., & Arun, T. (2020). *Spillover of Covid-19: Impact on the Global Economy*. Available at SSRN 3562570.
- Petroni, K. R. (1992). Optimistic reporting in the property-casualty insurance industry. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 15(4), 485–508.
- Press, E. G., & Weintrop, J. B. (1990). Accounting-based constraints in public and private debt agreements: Their association with leverage and impact on accounting choice. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 12(1–3), 65–95.
- Rahman, M. J., Ding, J., Hossain, M. M., & Khan, E. A. (2022). COVID-19 and earnings management: A comparison between Chinese family and non-family enterprises. *Journal of Family Business Management, ahead-of-print*(ahead-of-print). Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFBM-01-2022-0011>.
- Ritonga, I. T., & Suyanto, S. (2021). Impacts of the Covid-19 pandemic on the audit of local government financial statements: Experience from Indonesia. *Public Money and Management*, 1–8.
- Rosner, R. L. (2003). Earnings manipulation in failing firms. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 20(2), 361–408.
- Roychowdhury, S. (2006). Earnings management through real activities manipulation. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 42(3), 335–370.
- Ruiz, E. M., Koutrouas, E., & Lee, M. (2020). *Staggression: The economic and financial impact of Covid-19 pandemic*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3593144>. Available at: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3593144>.
- Saleh, N. M., & Ahmed, K. (2005). Earnings management of distressed firms during debt renegotiation. *Accounting and Business Research*, 35(1), 69–86.
- Silva, A. F. D., Weffort, E. F. J., Flores, E. D. S., & Silva, G. P. D. (2014). Earnings management and economic crises in the Brazilian capital market. *Revista de Administração de Empresas*, 54, 268–283.
- Song, Y., Hao, X., Hu, Y., & Lu, Z. (2021). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on China's manufacturing sector: A global value chain perspective. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 9, 509.
- Sonu, C. H., Ahn, H., & Choi, A. (2017). Audit fee pressure and audit risk: Evidence from the financial crisis of 2008. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 24(1–2), 127–144.
- Šušak, T. (2020). The effect of regulatory changes on relationship between earnings management and financial reporting timeliness: The case of Covid-19 pandemic. *Zbornik radova Ekonomskog fakulteta u Rijeci: časopis za ekonomsku teoriju i praksu*, 38(2), 453–473.
- Sweeney, A. P. (1994). Debt-covenant violations and managers' accounting responses. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 17(3), 281–308.
- Teoh, S. H., Welch, I., & Wong, T. J. (1998). Earnings management and the long-run market performance of initial public offerings. *The Journal of Finance*, 53(6), 1935–1974.
- The New Yorker. (2020). *The Pandemic Isn't a Black Swan But a Portent of a More Fragile Global System*. available at: www.newyorker.com/news/daily-comment/the-pandemic-isnt-a-black-swan-but-a-portent-of-a-more-fragile-global-system.
- Tucker, J. W., & Zarowin, P. A. (2006). Does income smoothing improve earnings informativeness. *The Accounting Review*, 81(1), 251–270.
- Walker, M. (2013). How far can we trust earnings numbers? What research tells us about earnings management. *Accounting and Business Research*, 43(4), 445–481.
- Watts, R. L., & Zimmerman, J. L. (1978). Towards a positive theory of the determination of accounting standards. *Accounting Review*, 53(1), 112–134.
- Watts, R. L., & Zimmerman, J. L. (1990). Positive accounting theory: A ten year perspective. *Accounting Review*, 65(1), 131–156.
- Watts, R. L., & Zimmerman, J. R. (1986). Positive accounting theory. In *Contemporary Topics in Accounting*. Prentice Hall Inc.
- World Bank. (2020). *Role of supreme audit institutions in governments, response to Covid-19*. World Bank.
- Xiao, H., & Xi, J. (2021). The Covid-19 and earnings management: Chinas evidence. *Journal of Accounting and Taxation*, 13(2), 59–77.
- Xu, R. Z., Taylor, G. K., & Dugan, M. T. (2007). Review of real earnings management literature. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 26(1), 195–228.
- Yung, K., & Root, A. (2019). Policy uncertainty and earnings management: International evidence. *Journal of Business Research*, 100, 255–267.
- Zang, A. Y. (2012). Evidence on the trade-off between real activities manipulation and accrual-based earnings management. *The Accounting Review*, 87(2), 675–703.
- Zhang, D., Hu, M., & Ji, Q. (2020). Financial markets under the global pandemic of COVID-19. *Finance Research Letters*, 36, Article 101528.